

ANNUAL INFORMATION FORM

EASTMAIN RESOURCES INC.

FOR THE YEAR ENDING OCTOBER 31, 2017

January 29, 2018

GLOSSARY

The following is a glossary of terms and abbreviations used in this annual information form (the “AIF” or the “Annual Information Form”).

TERM	DESCRIPTION
\$	Canadian dollar; all amounts shown are denoted in Canadian dollars unless otherwise indicated.
“Ag”	Chemical symbol for the element silver.
“alteration”	Any change in the mineral composition of a rock that is brought about by physical or chemical means.
“amphibolite facies”	Metamorphic rocks formed under moderate to high pressure and temperatures of 450 to 700 degrees C.
“anomaly”	Geochemical and geophysical data, which deviates from neighbouring background results either in value or distribution.
“Archean”	Oldest rocks of the Precambrian Era, older than about 2,500 million (2.5 billion) years. Also, archaean.
“arsenic”	Metallic element with the chemical symbol As, can occur as a native mineral or commonly as a sulphide mineral.
“arsenopyrite”, “aspy”	Iron-arsenic sulphide, FeAsS.
“As”	Chemical symbol for the element arsenic.
“assay”	Analytical procedure to determine the presence, abundance or quantity of one or more chemical components.
“Au”	Chemical symbol for the element gold.
“auriferous”	Containing gold.
“base metal”	Metal, such as copper, lead, nickel, zinc or cobalt, of comparatively low unit value often used in large volumes in construction and manufacture. Chemically inferior in certain properties (such as resistance to corrosion) compared to ‘noble metals’ such as gold, silver or platinum.
“basic rock”	Igneous rock having relatively low silica content.
“biotite”	Generally dark coloured iron, magnesium and potassium rich mica.
“breccia”	Rock derived from grinding or fluidization processes in which angular fragments are surrounded by a mass of finer-grained material.
“Cambrian”	The oldest system of rocks in which fossils can be used for age-dating and correlation; the first period in the Palaeozoic Era (about 600 million years ago).
“carbonate”	Mineral or rock composed principally of calcium carbonate (CaCO ₃) with or without additional elements such as iron or magnesium.
“chalcopyrite”, “cpy”	Iron-copper sulphide, CuFeS ₂ and abbreviation.

“channel sample”	A sample cut extracted from a small trench or channel, usually a few centimetres wide and two to five centimetres deep used for surface sampling of veining or altered rock in surface exposures.
“chlorite”	A green iron-magnesium rich metamorphic mineral.
“Co”	Chemical symbol for the metallic element cobalt.
“conductor”	A Geophysical channel through which an electric current can be generated by an electrical charge or an imposed electromagnetic field.
“conglomerate”	A sedimentary rock composed of rounded to subrounded, transported fragments greater than 2 millimetres (pebbles, cobbles, boulders) cemented into a solid mass.
“Cu”	Chemical symbol for the metallic element copper.
“diamond drill”	A rotary type of rock drill with a diamond set or diamond impregnated bit used to obtain a cylindrical core of rock.
“dyke”	Tabular body of igneous rock crosscutting host strata at a high angle.
“EM”	Abbreviation: Electromagnetic.
“facies”	A group of rocks, rock body or part of a rock body having similar characteristics which differentiate it from other groups in appearance, composition, petrogenesis, etc.
“Fe”	Chemical symbol for the metallic element iron.
“feldspar”	A group of common aluminosilicate minerals with variable amounts of calcium, potassium and sodium.
“felsic”	Igneous rock composed principally of feldspar and quartz.
“fold”	Bend in strata or any planar structure.
“foliation”	Parallel orientation of platy minerals or mineral banding in rocks.
“formation”	A single or multiple unit(s) of rock identified by lithological characteristics and stratigraphic position.
“g”	gramme / gram
“g/t or gpt”	Grams per tonne.
“geochemical survey”	Method of gathering samples of like material (rock, soil, vegetation, water) in order to determine the abundance of certain chemical elements in those substances.
“geophysics”	Study of variations in the values of the physical parameters of the earth by quantitative methods. Commonly the study of gravitational, magnetic, electrical and radioactive properties.
“gneiss”	A term applied to banded rocks formed during high-grade regional metamorphism; often characterized by alternating bands of light and dark minerals.
“greenstone”	Field term for volcanic rocks predominated by mafic composition
“greenstone belt”	Area underlain by volcanic and sedimentary rocks, usually in a continental shield.
“group”	A number of contiguous or associated formations having significant

	lithologic features in common.
“hectare”, “ha”	Area of land equal to 100 metres by 100 metres (10,000 m ²).
“horizon”	A defined layer within a stratigraphic sequence, which has unique characteristics distinguishing it from the rest of the sequence, also ‘marker horizon’.
“igneous”	Rock or material, which solidified from molten material.
“intrusive”	Igneous rock that typically forms at depth that invades older rocks.
“IP” or “induced polarization”	Method of ground geophysical surveying employing an electrical current to determine indications of mineralization through the measurement of rock resistivity and chargeability.
“JV”	Joint venture.
“kg”	kilogram
“km”	kilometre
"LIDAR"	(Light Detection And Ranging) is an optical remote sensing technology that can measure the distance to, or other properties of, targets by illuminating the target with laser light and analyzing the backscattered light. LIDAR technology has applications in geomatics, archaeology, geography, geology, geomorphology, seismology, forestry, remote sensing and contour mapping.
“line cutting”	Technique consisting of making corridors of equal spacing on the ground to have precise reference locations over a specific area; making of a grid pattern on the ground as a basis for control of geologic or geotechnical surveys.
“lithochemical survey”	Geochemical survey that involves the sampling of rocks to determine their chemical characteristics.
“m”	metre or metres
“M”	million
“mafic”	Igneous rocks composed predominantly of dark, magnesium- and iron-rich minerals.
“magnetic survey”	Geophysical survey technique which measures variations in the earth’s magnetic field caused by variations in rock type or geologic structures.
“metamorphic rocks”	Rocks that have undergone a change in texture or composition as the result of heat and/or pressure.
“Mineral Resource”	<i>Ref., CIM Standing Committee on Reserve Definitions, May 10, 2014</i> A Mineral Resource is a concentration or occurrence of solid material of economic interest in or on the Earth’s crust in such form, grade or quality and quantity that there are reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction. The location, quantity, grade or quality, continuity and other geological characteristics of a Mineral Resource are known, estimated or interpreted from specific geological evidence and knowledge, including sampling.
“mineralization”	In exploration, a reference to a notable concentration of metals and their associated mineral compounds, or a specific mineral, within a

	body of rock.
“NI 43-101”	Ref., <i>National Instrument 43-101 Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects (and Form)</i> . This instrument governs disclosure, including oral statements, written documents and websites. The disclosure must be based on information provided by a "qualified person" (as defined in NI 43-101)
“Ni”	Chemical symbol for the metallic element nickel.
“NSR”	Net Smelter Royalty – Royalty based on the actual metal sale price received less the cost of refining at an off-site refinery.
“ore”	Rock containing mineral(s) or metal(s) that can be economically extracted. “Ore body” A natural concentration or mass of material that can be extracted and sold at a profit.
“ounce(s)” “oz”	Troy ounce unless stated otherwise
“outcrop”	An exposure of bedrock at the surface.
“plagioclase”	Any of a series of triclinic minerals of the feldspar family, ranging in composition from sodium (albite) to calcium (anorthite) and found in many rock types.
“ppb”	Parts per billion.
“P.Eng.”	Professional Engineer
“P.Geo., Géo.”	Professional Geoscientist
“pyrite”	Iron sulphide (FeS ₂).
“pyroclastic”	Volcanic materials that have been explosively ejected from a volcanic vent.
“pyrrhotite”	A magnetic iron sulphide material (Fe ₇ S ₈).
“Quartz”, “qtz”	Mineral of silica SiO ₂ and abbreviation, a common rock forming and alteration mineral
“SEDAR”	System for Electronic Document Analysis and Retrieval - Official site for access to most public securities documents and information filed by issuers registered with provincial and territorial securities regulatory authorities ("Canadian Securities Administrators" or "CSA") www.sedar.com
“sphalerite”, “sph”	A zinc sulphide mineral (Zn,Fe)S and abbreviation
“siliceous”	A rock rich in silica.
“stringer”	A very small vein or irregular filament of mineral(s) traversing a rock mass; occurs independently or as a branch of a larger vein;
“sulphide”	A mineral in which one or more element is found in combination with sulphur.
"Tellurium, Te"	Tellurium, a chemical element forming tellurides often with gold and silver, often appear as a silver-white metalloid which looks similar to tin; Applications in solar panels and as a semiconductor material
“tonalite”	A felsic intrusive rock composed mainly of quartz and feldspar.
“tonne”, “t”	1,000 kilograms, metric equivalent to 1.102 short tons.

"troy ounce"	a troy ounce (oz/t) is a unit of imperial measure., used to gauge the mass of precious metals. One troy ounce is defined as exactly 0.0311034768 kg or 31.1034768 g.
“trenching”	The act of blasting or digging through overburden and outcrop to expose fresh outcrop for mapping and sampling.
“tuff”	A rock composed of fine volcanic fragments and ash, generally less than 4 millimetres in diameter.
“ultramafic”	Igneous rock consisting of ferro-magnesium minerals (olivine and pyroxene) and containing virtually no quartz or feldspar.
“volcanic”	Originating from volcanic activity.
“volcanogenic”	Formed by processes directly connected with volcanism.
“volcano-sedimentary”	A mix of rocks formed by volcanic and sedimentary processes.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

GLOSSARY	2
TABLE OF CONTENTS	7
STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS.....	9
CORPORATE STRUCTURE	10
1.1 Name and Incorporation	10
1.2 Intercorporate Relationships	10
1.3 Employees.....	10
2.0 GENERAL DEVELOPMENT OF THE BUSINESS	10
2.1 Developments	10
2.2 Three-Year History	10
2.2.1 Fiscal Year 2017	10
2.2.2 Fiscal Year 2016	12
2.2.3 Fiscal Year Ended October 2015	14
3.0 MINERAL PROJECTS	14
3.1 CLEARWATER PROJECT	15
3.1.1 Property Description and Location	15
3.1.2 Accessibility, Climate, Local Resources, Infrastructure and Physiography	16
3.1.3 History	16
3.1.4 Geological Setting.....	17
3.1.5 Metallurgical Studies	17
3.1.6 2015 Mineral Resource Estimate	18
3.1.7 2015 Clearwater Exploration	20
3.1.8 2016 Clearwater Exploration	20
3.1.9 2017 Mineral Resource Estimate	21
3.1.10 2017 Exploration Program.....	24
3.1.11 Project Development Progress and Review	25
3.2 EASTMAIN MINE PROJECT.....	25
3.2.1 Description and Location of the Project	25
3.2.2 Accessibility, Climate, Infrastructure, and Physical Geography	25
3.2.3 History	25
3.2.4 Geological Setting.....	26
3.2.5 Exploration Work, Mineralization and Drilling.....	27
3.2.6 2016 Exploration.....	27
3.2.7 2017 Exploration.....	29
3.2.8 2018 Mineral Resource Estimate	30
3.3 ÉLÉONORE SOUTH PROPERTY	34
3.3.1 2015 Éléonore South Property Exploration	34
3.3.2 2016 Éléonore South Property Exploration	34
3.3.3 Summary of Gold Mineralization	35
3.3.4 2017 Éléonore South Property Exploration	35
3.3.5 Exploration in 2018	37
4.0 SECURITY OF SAMPLES.....	38
4.1 Sampling and Analytical Procedure and Quality Control and Assurance	38
4.2 Scientific and Technical Disclosure.....	39
5.0 DIVIDENDS	39
6.0 CAPITAL STRUCTURE.....	39
7.0 MARKET FOR THE TRADING OF SHARES	40
Trading Price and Volume	40
8.0 RISK FACTORS.....	40
8.1 Exploration and Development	40

8.2	Regulatory Matters	41
8.3	Reliability of Resource Estimates	41
8.4	No History of Mineral Production	42
8.5	Permits, Licenses and Approvals	42
8.6	Title to Property	42
8.7	Competition	43
8.8	Additional Funding	44
8.9	Dependence on Management	44
8.10	Conflicts of Interest	44
8.11	Commercialization	44
8.12	Uninsured Hazards	44
8.13	Land Claims	45
9.0	DIRECTORS AND OFFICERS	45
9.1.1	Conflicts of Interest	47
9.2	Audit Committee	47
9.2.1	The Audit Committee Charter	47
9.2.2	Composition of the Audit Committee	48
9.2.3	Relevant Education Experience and Pre-Approval Policies / Procedures	48
9.2.4	Audit Fees	48
10.0	LEGAL PROCEEDINGS	49
11.0	INTEREST OF MANAGEMENT AND OTHERS IN MATERIAL TRANSACTIONS	49
11.1	Registrar and Transfer Agent	49
11.2	Material Contracts	49
12.0	EXPERTS	49
12.1.1	Names of Experts	49
12.1.2	Interests of Experts	49
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION		50
“SCHEDULE A”		51
	Charter of the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors	51
SCHEDULE “B”		57
	Position Description for the Chairman of the Audit Committee	57
SCHEDULE “C”		59
	National Instrument 52-110 <i>Audit Committees</i> (“NI 52-110”)	59
SCHEDULE “D”		62
	Procedures for Receipt of Complaints and Submissions	62
SCHEDULE “E”		63
	Procedures for Approval of Non-Audit Services	63

STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS

Certain statements contained in this Annual Information Form about anticipated future events or results are forward-looking statements. These statements may include, but are not limited to: statements with respect to the future financial or operating performance of the Corporation and its projects; the future price of gold or other metal prices; the estimation of Mineral Resources; the realization of Mineral Resource estimates; the timing and amount of estimated future production; costs of production; capital; operating and exploration expenditures; costs and timing of the development of new deposits; costs and timing of future exploration; requirements for additional capital; proposed exploration activities, the proposed Preliminary Economic Assessment in respect of the Clearwater project, government regulation of mining operations; environmental risks; reclamation expenses; title disputes or claims; limitations of insurance coverage and the timing and possible outcome of regulatory matters. Forward-looking statements often, but not always, are identified by the use of words such as “seek”, “anticipate”, “believe”, “plan”, “estimate”, “expect”, “targeting” and “intend” and statements that an event or result “may”, “will”, “should”, “could”, or “might” occur or be achieved and other similar expressions. The forward-looking statements that are contained in this Annual Information Form involve a number of risks and uncertainties. As a consequence, actual results might differ materially from results forecast or suggested in these forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties, assumptions and other factors that may cause the actual results, performance or achievements of the Corporation to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements. Such factors include, among others: general business, economic, competitive, political and social uncertainties; reliability of resource estimates; the actual results of current exploration activities; actual results of reclamation activities; conclusions of economic evaluations; fluctuations in the value of Canadian and United States dollars relative to each other; changes in project parameters as plans continue to be refined; changes in labour costs or other costs of production; future prices of gold and other metal prices; possible variations of mineral grade or recovery rates; failure of plant, equipment or processes to operate as anticipated; accidents, labour disputes and other risks of the mining industry, including but not limited to environmental hazards, cave-ins, pit-wall failures, flooding, rock bursts and other acts of God or unfavourable operating conditions and losses; political instability, insurrection or war; delays in obtaining governmental approvals or financing or in the completion of development or construction activities; and the factors discussed in the section entitled “Risk Factors” in this Annual Information Form.

Additional information regarding these factors and other important factors that could cause results to differ materially may be referred to as part of particular forward-looking statements. The forward-looking statements are qualified in their entirety by reference to the important factors discussed under the heading “Risk Factors” and to those that may be discussed as part of particular forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties, assumptions and other factors that may cause the actual results, performance or achievements of the Company to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements contained herein are made as of the date of this Annual Information Form and the Company disclaims any obligation to update any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or results or otherwise, other than as required by applicable securities laws. There can be no assurance that forward-looking statements will prove to be accurate, as actual results and future events could differ materially from those anticipated in such statements. Accordingly, readers should not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements.

This Annual Information Form uses the terms “indicated” and “inferred” Mineral Resources. “Inferred mineral resources” have a great amount of uncertainty as to their existence, and great uncertainty as to their economic and legal feasibility. It cannot be assumed that all or any part of an inferred mineral resource will ever be upgraded to a higher category. Estimates of inferred Mineral Resources may not form the basis of feasibility or other economic studies except a Preliminary Economic Assessment. Readers are cautioned not to assume that all or any part of an inferred Mineral Resource exists, or is economically or legally mineable. Readers are also cautioned not to assume that all or any part of indicated Mineral Resources will ever be converted into reserves.

CORPORATE STRUCTURE

1.1 Name and Incorporation

Eastmain Resources Inc. ("Eastmain" or the "Company") was incorporated under the *Business Corporations Act* (Ontario) by articles of incorporation dated April 28, 1982 as 512332 Ontario Limited. By articles of amendment dated March 19, 1985, the Company changed its name to "Eastmain Resources Inc." and by articles of amendment dated August 19, 1985, the Company changed its authorized capital to consist of an unlimited number of common shares (the "Common Shares"). By further articles of amendment dated April 15, 1986, the Company removed the private company restriction provisions in its articles.

The registered office of the Company is located at 82 Richmond Street East, Toronto, Ontario M5C 1P1. The principal office of the Company is located at 120 Adelaide Street West, Suite 2400, Toronto, Ontario, M5H 1T1.

1.2 Intercorporate Relationships

The Company owns 100% interest in Eastmain Mines Inc., which exists under the federal laws of Canada.

1.3 Employees

As at October 31, 2017, the Company had 40 employees.

2.0 GENERAL DEVELOPMENT OF THE BUSINESS

The Company's activities consist mainly of acquisition, exploration and discovery of mineral resources, with the intent of advancing, developing and ultimately operating its key assets. In circumstances where considered appropriate, the company may also sell or joint venture mineral resources to a production corporation while retaining a royalty interest. The Company's strategy is to pursue exploration activities on its key properties, which are primarily located in under-explored regions that are geologically comparable to the major mining camps in Canada, and to make joint venture or option agreements on its non-key assets.

The Company maintains a focus on gold exploration within the James Bay Region of Northern Québec, where it holds 100% interest in the Eau Claire and Eastmain gold deposits as well as interests in 11 other properties covering approximately 137,800 ha in total of this promising mineral district. The Company also has a 36.7% Joint Venture interest in the Éléonore South project ("Éléonore South JV"). Management believes these properties have excellent development potential.

2.1 Developments

The Company continues to execute its 2017/2018 exploration and work programs across its three key projects. Highlights from the 2017 program are described below. The Company is currently preparing its 2018 exploration programs which include the completion of 2017 work as well as the testing of additional targets which have been identified during previous work.

2.2 Three-Year History

2.2.1 Fiscal Year 2017

On January 2, 2017, 740,000 share purchase options with an exercise price of \$0.51 and expiry date of January 2, 2022, were issued to certain executives, employees and contractors of the Company. One-third

of the options vest immediately, one-third vest on the first anniversary and one-third on the second anniversary.

The Company held its Annual General Meeting on April 27, 2017. Shareholders voted in favour of existing directors and the appointment of new director, Herve Thiboutot, at the time SVP Exploration at Integra Gold Corp, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Eldorado Gold Corporation as of July 10, 2017. In conjunction with his new role, Mr. Thiboutot was granted 250,000 options on May 15, 2017 with an exercise price of \$0.42, an expiry date of May 15, 2022 and are subject to standard vesting provisions.

On June 8, 2017, the Company completed a private placement with a syndicate of underwriters led by Cormark Securities Inc., pursuant to which the underwriters purchased 10,000,000 common shares at a price of \$0.40 per common shares and 7,582,000 flow-through common shares at a price of \$0.68. The bought deal, on a private placement basis, raised aggregate gross proceeds to the Company of approximately \$9.15 million. Integra Gold, who was engaged in a friendly acquisition by Eldorado Gold at the time, elected to not participate in the financing. This resulted in the termination of Integra's Investor Rights Agreement.

On June 21, 2017, the Company began trading on the OTCQX under the symbol EANRF.

During the year ended October 31, 2017, the Company granted 340,000 restricted stock unit ("RSU") to certain employees under its RSU Plan. These RSU vest as follows: one-third of the RSUs vest immediately, one-third vest on the first anniversary and one-third on the second anniversary.

On December 14, 2017, the Company closed a non-brokered offering of 6,000,000 flow-through common shares at a price of \$0.38 per flow-through common shares, to raise aggregate gross proceeds of \$2,280,000. The net proceeds of the offering are expected to be used to fund exploration and development of the Company's mineral concessions in Quebec. All flow-through common shares issued pursuant to the offering are subject to a statutory hold period expiring April 15, 2018.

By year-end, the Company completed 75,166 m drilling program at the Clearwater Project. The majority of this work focused on resource definition and infill drilling of the Eau Claire deposit in support of a Mineral Resource Update.

On September 11th, 2017, Eastmain announced an updated Mineral Resource Estimate for the Eau Claire gold deposit (the "2017 Resource Estimate"), located on its 100%-owned Clearwater Project. The 2017 Resource Estimate describes (i) a measured and indicated ("M&I") Mineral Resources of 4.17 Mt at an average grade of 6.16 g/t Au containing 826,000 ounces of gold, and (ii) an additional 2.23 Mt at an average grade of 6.49 g/t Au classified as Inferred Mineral Resources, containing 465,000 ounces of gold^[1].

Since September 2017, drilling continues at Eau Claire and results will be incorporated into an updated Mineral Resource Estimate to be issued in conjunction with a Preliminary Economic Assessment (PEA) expected in calendar Q2/18.

^[1] *Mineral resources are not mineral reserves and as such have not demonstrated economic viability. Mineral resources are only a preliminary estimation, through exploration and sampling, of a concentration of material of intrinsic economic interest, which has been identified in such form, grade, quality and quantity that may have reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction. Mineral resources are the first step in the process to establishing potential economic viability. Both the quality and quantity of mineral resources may subsequently be re-defined and re-estimated, through additional consideration and the application of several de-risking modifying factors during preliminary economic assessment, to potentially mineable mineral resources.*

The Company completed an 11,788 m of drilling at the Eastmain Mine Project (6,632 in the 4th quarter) in the fiscal year; announced a discovery at the Julien target and completed a twin hole campaign at Eastmain Mine Gold Deposit in support of Mineral Resource Estimate. Eastmain also completed an Induced Polarization survey in a large area around Julien Target and two other target areas and initiated review work on the conversion of the historic mineral resource to a new Mineral Resource Estimate in accordance with NI 43-101”, completing this work in December 2017.

After completing its review work and drilling additional holes to verify historical data in December 2017, Eastmain announced a new Mineral Resource Estimate at Eastmain Mine in January 2018. The 2018 Mineral Resource Estimate describes (i) an Indicated Mineral Resource of 0.9 Mt at an average grade of 8.2 g/t Au containing 236,500 ounces of gold and (ii) an 0.57 Mt at an average grade of 7.5 g/t Au for 139,300 gold ounces classified as Inferred Mineral Resources.

The Company completed a 5,000 m drill program at the Éléonore South Joint Venture; approved and initiated a new 8,000 m drilling program for calendar H2/17-H2/18. Based on a variety of exploration initiatives including geophysical analysis, surface sampling, mapping, extensive stripping and drilling, the ES JV partners announced discovery of a large gold system containing high-grade veins, in October 2017. Drilling will continue on the Moni Trend and the area surrounding the discovery in Q1/18.

In January 2018, Eastmain announced the appointment of Tamara Brown to the board of directors. Ms. Brown currently holds the role of VP Corporate Development at Primero Mining and sits on the Board of Superior Gold. In conjunction with Ms. Brown’s appointment, she was granted 250,000 options on January 26, 2018 with an exercise price of \$0.30, an expiry date of January 26th, 2023 and are subject to standard vesting provisions.

2.2.2 Fiscal Year 2016

On December 15, 2015, the Company completed a private placement consisting of 880,000 flow-through Common Shares at a price of \$0.50 per share for aggregate gross proceeds of \$440,000. No warrants were issued as part of the placement. All the Common Shares issued were subject to a hold period of four months ending April 16, 2016. No finder’s fees were paid in conjunction with the offering.

In November 2015, the Company announced the appointment of Claude Lemasson, P.Eng, MBA, as independent non-executive director.

In March 2016, Michael Hoffman was appointed to the Board of Directors as an independent, non-executive director succeeding Dr. John Hansuld.

In March 2016, the Company received a Notice of Nomination for new directors from representatives of Columbus Gold Corp. (“Columbus”). The nomination sought to replace the Board of Directors with nominees of Columbus (the “Proxy Contest”) at the Company’s annual and special meeting of shareholders scheduled for April 29, 2016 (the “AGM”). Eastmain commissioned financial and legal advisors as well as a special independent committee (the “Special Committee”) to oversee the process.

In connection with the Proxy Contest, the Special Committee considered a number of strategic alternatives. Among the alternatives considered was a proposal by Integra Gold Corp. (“Integra”) which included a \$6 million private placement into Eastmain (the “Integra Private Placement”) that was ultimately recommended by the Special Committee and completed by the Company, and Columbus withdrew its Notice of Nomination.

Pursuant to the Integra Private Placement on May 10, 2016, Eastmain issued 3,100,000 flow-through Common Shares at \$0.50 per share and 12,800,000 units (“Units”) at \$0.35 per Unit to raise aggregate gross proceeds of \$6,030,000. Each Unit consists of one Common Share of Eastmain and one-half of one

transferable Common Share purchase warrant (each whole share purchase warrant, a “Warrant”). Each full Warrant is exercisable to acquire one additional Common Share of Eastmain at an exercise price of \$0.50 until November 2018. In addition, Integra agreed to provide technical expertise and assistance to Eastmain. Integra’s placement represented a 9.9% ownership interest in Eastmain at closing.

Upon the closing of the Integra Private Placement, Eastmain entered into an Investor Rights Agreement providing Integra the right to nominate one director for election to the Board of Directors of Eastmain. Given the strategic relationship and investment, Eastmain supported the nomination of an additional director from Integra. In addition, Integra has the right to maintain its pro rata ownership in Eastmain in any subsequent financings or at its option, increase its ownership in such offerings to 15% of the outstanding common shares, subject to the right of Eastmain to limit Integra’s participation in any one financing to 50% of the offering. Integra’s rights under the Investor Rights Agreement will terminate upon Integra ceasing to maintain at least a 5% interest in the outstanding common shares of Eastmain.

Eastmain completed a private placement on April 11, 2016 (the “April 2016 Private Placement”), to other investors pursuant to which it issued 9,500,000 flow-through Common Shares at \$0.50 per share and 999,999 Units at \$0.35 per Unit to raise additional aggregate gross proceeds of approximately \$5,100,000.

In conjunction with the evolution of the Company, management changes occurred including the resignation of Chief Executive Officer (“CEO”) Don Robinson, Chief Financial Officer (“CFO”) James Bezeau and Exploration Manager Cathy Butella, all effective April 28, 2016. Existing director, Claude Lemasson, was appointed President and CEO.

In addition to recent appointees to its Board, Chairman Laurie Curtis, President and CEO Claude Lemasson and director Michael Hoffman, Eastmain also nominated Blair Schultz and Timo Jauristo to the Board at the AGM. George Salamis and Stephen De Jong were also nominated on behalf of Integra for election at the AGM. All of the foregoing nominees were elected by shareholders at the AGM on April 29, 2016.

On May 31, 2016, the Company announced the appointment of Joseph Fazzini, CPA, CA, CFA as CFO and Vice President, Corporate Development.

Eastmain also completed a private placement on July 20, 2016 (the “July 2016 Private Placement”) to other investors pursuant to which it issued 9,803,000 flow-through Common Shares at \$0.918 per share and 4,197,000 Common Shares at \$0.51 per share for aggregate gross proceeds of \$11,139,624.

In July 2016, the Company announced the appointment of Vice President, Exploration, William McGuinty, P.Geo.; Project Engineer, Manuel Ng Lai, P.Eng.; and Manager, Investor Relations, Alison Dvoskin, CPIR. In August, 2016, Eastmain appointed Carl Corriveau, P.Geo, SEG, as Exploration Manager, Michel Leblanc (Géo) as Clearwater Project Senior Geoscientist and David Rivard P.Geo. as Eastmain Mine Project Senior Geologist.

On August 11, 2016, Eastmain announced staking of the 600 claim (31,600 ha) Lac Clarkie Project (“Clarkie”) located immediately east of the Company’s Clearwater Property. The Clearwater and Clarkie claims cover a combined total of 51,614 ha of prospective greenstone belt in the Eastmain/Opinaca district of James Bay, Quebec. Eastmain intends to explore the Clarkie claims, beginning with airborne geophysical and LIDAR surveys, followed by prospecting.

In September 2016, Eastmain commenced extensive exploration programs across its three key properties. These include the Clearwater Project, the past-producing Eastmain Mine Project and the Éléonore South JV. Based on funds raised and targets identified, management elected to undertake a 63,300 m drill program at Clearwater. This included over 55,700 m targeting the Eau Claire deposit along with another

7,600 m testing prospective targets outside the Eau Claire footprint. Drilling to date has predominantly focused on in-fill testing with some exploration drilling on Snake Lake. Please refer to the “2016 Clearwater Exploration” section for more details.

At Eastmain Mine, the Company budgeted a 7,500 m program to test targets located to the northwest located, along the mine trend. These targets lie outside of the footprint of the historical resource area and were identified through the combination of geophysics, surface sampling and trenching. Please refer to the “2016 Exploration Highlights” in the Eastmain Mine Projects section for more details.

At the Éléonore South JV, Eastmain (36.7% owner) and its JV partners, Les Mines Opinaca, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Goldcorp Inc. (36.7%) and Azimut Exploration (26.6%) agreed to a 5,000 m, two stage exploration program. The new program is focused on testing unexplored ground to the east of the previously identified JT zone and to the south of Sirios Resources’, Cheechoo discovery. The first stage of the exploration program, including prospecting sampling and 2,500 m of drilling was completed and reported in calendar 2016. Data collected is being analyzed and assessed ahead of the second stage of the program (2,500 m of drilling) which is expected to begin in February 2017.

2.2.3 Fiscal Year Ended October 2015

During fiscal 2015, Eastmain completed 18 regional drill holes for a total of 5,079 m (ER14-535 to ER14-552, which finished December 16, 2014) and 19 definition drill holes totalling 7,822 m within the Eau Claire gold deposit (ER15-553 to ER 15-571). This definition drilling was part of a 29-hole drill campaign that concluded by December of 2015.

In April 2015, SRK Consulting (Canada) Inc. (“SRK”) completed a block model Mineral Resource Estimate for the Eau Claire deposit, which resulted in an increase in Measured and Indicated Mineral Resources to 951,000 ounces of gold contained within 7.2 Mt at an average grade of 4.09 g/t Au. Also during the period, surface trenching and channel sampling has confirmed a potential resource target two km east of the Eau Claire gold deposit.

Eleven diamond drill holes were completed on the Lac Lessard project totalling 1,995 m with option partner Darnley Bay Resources Limited. Drill hole LL15-02 collared within the Crete-du-Coq ultramafic intrusion intersected disseminated sulphides over a 12.5 m interval assaying 0.38% Ni and 0.13% Cu. Semi-massive sulphides intersected at the bottom of this interval contained 1.08% Ni and 0.31% Cu over a width of 2.5 m.

3.0 MINERAL PROJECTS

Eastmain Resources 100% interest in 11 mineral properties and a 36.7% in one mineral property as summarized below. During the 2017, the Company completed exploration activities on the Clearwater, Eastmain Mine, Lac Clarkie and Éléonore South JV properties.

All claims on all key properties (Clearwater, Eastmain Mine, Lac Clarkie and Éléonore South) have been renewed or are otherwise in good standing into 2018. Portions of the Lac Hudson, Reservoir and Radisson properties will be reviewed for exploration merit in early 2018 before being renewed. Some claims on these properties require additional exploration work to qualify for renewal in 2018.

Eastmain Resources Properties in good standing in calendar 2017

Property	Claim units	Hectares
Clearwater (Eau Claire)	385	20,068
Eastmain Mine	152	8,014
Éléonore South JV (36.7%)	282	14,760

Property	Claim units	Hectares
Lac Clarkie	597	31,473
Lac Elmer	198	10,433
Lac Hudson	37	1,939
Lac Lessard	47	2,476
Lidge	36	1,901
Radisson	207	10,698
Reservoir	156	8,098
Ruby Hill (East and West)	268	14,485
Total	2,365	124,345

Following is a summary of the Clearwater Project and other principal properties of the Company.

3.1 CLEARWATER PROJECT

Eastmain owns a 100% interest in the Clearwater Project, host to the Eau Claire gold deposit, one of five known gold deposits in the James Bay region of Québec. The largest of these, the Goldcorp Inc. owned Éléonore Mine, located only 45 km due north of Clearwater, (2017 forecast production of 315,000 ounces of gold).

On September 11, 2017 Eastmain announced an updated Mineral Resource Estimate completed by SGS Geostat (“SGS”) consisting of 826,000 ounces of gold (4.2 million tonnes at 6.16 g/t Au) in the Measured and Indicated category, and 465,000 ounces of gold (2.2 million tonnes at 6.49 g/t Au) in the Inferred category¹. The resource estimate is more fully described herein (see “Mineral Resource Estimates and Exploration” below). This work is being developed around the Company’s consideration of the deposit as a combined shallow open pit and underground mining operation.

Exploration work to update the 2015 Mineral Resource Estimate completed by SRK Consulting (Canada) Inc. (“SRK”) began in 2016, and is based on data from 690 drill holes (274,054 m), and includes 78,150 m of new drill data from 2015 to 2017. Eastmain also completed additional metallurgical studies at Eau Claire and additional surface exploration and drilling at the Clearwater Project.

3.1.1 Property Description and Location

The Clearwater Project is located immediately north of the Eastmain Reservoir, 10 km northeast of Hydro Quebec's EM-1 hydroelectric power facility, 80 km north of the town of Nemaska and approximately 320 km northeast of the town of Matagami and 800 km north of Montreal in the James Bay Region of Québec (UTM NAD 83, Zone 18: 444,000E; 5,785,000N). This property consists of map-designated claims, (CDC’s) totalling approximately 200 km². These claims are held 100% by Eastmain. All claims are currently in good standing through to 2018, with the earliest renewal date being February, 2019. The project is not subject to any historic environmental liabilities. Permits are obtained annually for the Clearwater camp site and as needs dictate for all surface exploration, particularly trenching and drilling, undertaken on the property.

¹ *Mineral resources are not mineral reserves and as such have not demonstrated economic viability. All figures are rounded to reflect the relative accuracy of the estimate. Composites have been capped where appropriate. Mineral Resources are only a preliminary estimation, through exploration and sampling, of a concentration of material of intrinsic economic interest, which has been identified in such form, grade, quality and quantity that may have reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction. Mineral Resources are the first step in the process to establishing potential economic viability. Both the quality and quantity of Mineral Resources may subsequently be re-defined and re-estimated, through additional consideration and the application of several de-risking modifying factors during preliminary economic assessment, to potentially minable mineral resources. Please refer to Scientific and Technical Disclosure for further detail.*

3.1.2 Accessibility, Climate, Local Resources, Infrastructure and Physiography

The project is located, 80 km north of a commercial airport at Nemiscau and less than 10 km northeast of Hydro Québec's EM-1 complex. The Eau Claire gold deposit is situated at the western end of the property 2.5 km from Hydro Québec's nearest service road. The property is accessible by the all-weather Route du Nord from the town of Chibougamau to Hydro Québec's Eastmain One power generation complex (EM-1). Alternatively, the property may be accessed from the town of Amos via Mattagami and the Route de la Baie James and the Route du Nord. The Nemiscau Airport, located 80 km due south of the Property along the Route du Nord which has several commercial flights per week from Montreal.

Road access reaches the southern boundary of the property, five km east of Hydro Québec's principal EM-1 dam, located on the Eastmain River. The base camp is accessible by four-wheel drive truck, ATV or snowmobile.

The area is well known for its extensive hydroelectric complex and associated infrastructure. Hydro-Québec's EM-1 Power Project currently includes a 100-person camp with full amenities and medical support. The principal dam is situated near the junction of the Eastmain and Eau Claire Rivers. The Eastmain reservoir for the EM-1 hydroelectric power facility covers a large area immediately south of the Clearwater Project. Future development of the property will require access and infrastructure improvements near EM-1 requiring consultation with the energy provider. Power production from EM-1 is 6.3 TWh/annum (*Hydro Review*, June 2014).

The region and the property includes many lakes and rivers. The topography is gently rolling to flat-lying with local relief ranging from 250 to 400 m above sea-level. Outcrop exposure is limited. Large, east-west trending outcrop ridges and coarse sand eskers, flanked by lower troughs provide moderate drainage over most of the area. There is an abundance of quaternary deposits and swamps. The area is drained by the Eau Claire River, which in turn drains into the Eastmain River and the Opinaca reservoir. Vegetation is common and includes large areas covered by sparse forest (mainly spruce) and many smaller mostly swampy areas devoid of trees. Forest fires have burnt much of the region in the recent past.

The climate is typical of Northern Canada (temperate to sub-arctic climate) with average summer (June to September) temperatures varying from 10°C to 35°C during the day and 5°C to 15°C during the night. Winters can be cold, ranging from -40°C to -10°C. Precipitation varies during the year, reaching 2 m annually, with snow cover expected from November to May. However, exploration and mining can generally be carried out year-round.

3.1.3 History

The area covered by the current Clearwater Project was previously explored from 1984 to 1990 in a joint venture between Eastmain and Westmin Resources Ltd. ("Westmin"). Previous exploration included airborne and ground geophysical surveys, geochemical surveys, geological mapping, stripping and trenching, sampling and diamond drilling. The Eau Claire gold deposit was discovered in 1987.

In 1995, SOQUEM optioned the property from the joint venture and initiated a multi-disciplined exploration program, which continued until May 2002, when Eastmain took over management of the project. Eastmain acquired an option to earn SOQUEM's remaining ownership in the Clearwater Project during fiscal 2004, in exchange for cash and securities, thus giving the Company 100% ownership of the Clearwater Project. The property was subject to a 2% NSR in favour of SOQUEM which was purchased by Eastmain in March of 2011. The property became the central focus of the Company in 2012. For information regarding historic exploration at Clearwater, prior to 2014, the reader is referred to the Eastmain's 2015 Annual information Form.

3.1.4 Geological Setting

The James Bay region is mainly comprised the La Grande (formerly Eastmain River Greenstone Belt) and Opinaca sub-provinces. The Clearwater Project is underlain by typical Archean greenstone assemblages of the La Grande Group, which are essentially composed of volcanic rocks of basaltic to rhyolitic composition and of related clastic and chemical sedimentary rocks. These rocks have been intruded by an assemblage of mafic to felsic sills, stocks and dykes. Metamorphism ranges from upper greenschist to amphibolite facies in the greenstone assemblages, while higher-grade facies, up to granulite level, typically characterize the Opinaca sub-province. Archean-aged deformation affects all rocks on the property. Near the Eau Claire deposit, the volcano-sedimentary assemblage has been folded, forming a closed antiform plunging gently to the west. Regional rock foliation and lithology are generally east-west in strike with moderate to sub-vertical southerly dips in the vicinity of the gold deposit.

Based on interpretation from regional- and property-scale airborne magnetic data, a crustal scale, east-west trending, D2 structural break has been traced for more than 100 km across the district. Gold mineralization, including that found in the Eau Claire deposit, has been traced via rock and channel sampling for a length of 7.5 km immediately north and parallel to this regional D2 structure. The Eau Claire gold deposit is a structurally-controlled gold deposit, consisting of en-echelon sheeted quartz-tourmaline veins and altered rock coinciding with a mafic volcanic/felsic volcanoclastic contact, along the south limb of an F2 anticlinal fold. At Eau Claire, gold-bearing quartz-tourmaline veins and alteration zones occur sub-parallel to the F2 fold axis, and related to a D2 structural event. The deposit is situated approximately one km north of this major structure along a set of splays extending from this structure. A structural interpretation based on field evaluation and interpretation of high-resolution airborne magnetic surveys flown over the Clearwater Project has defined three major deformation events (D1, D2 and D3) on the property.

Over 90% of the gold-mineralization at Eau Claire occurs within Fe- and Mg-rich tholeiitic basalts. In the hanging wall to the deposit these basalts are intruded by a quartz-feldspar porphyry dyke swarm. A felsic volcanoclastic unit is located in the footwall. The Eau Claire deposit is comprised of two zones (450 West and 850 West) which form a crescent-shaped body extending for a length of 1.8 km. For exploration purposes the limits of the known deposit are defined by a 0.5 g/t Au grade envelope. Portions of the 450 West and 850 West zones outcrop on topographic highs. Along the 450W zone, a thick sequence of porphyry occupies the hanging wall of mineralization and is believed to contribute structurally to the development of the vein system while at the 850W zone quartz-tourmaline veining crosscuts the porphyry intrusions.

3.1.5 Metallurgical Studies

In 2008 and later in 2010, SGS Lakefield Research Limited completed preliminary metallurgical tests on gold-bearing, quartz-tourmaline vein material from the Eau Claire gold deposit. 2010 preliminary test work demonstrated gravity gold recoveries ranging from 37% from within the R Vein Composite to 74% from the S Vein Composite. SGS reported that there is clearly significant potential for gravity recovery of gold at an industrial-plant scale. Comminution test work of four vein composite samples for grindability resulted in Bond Work Index values ranging from 10.2 to 11.1. According to the SGS report, these samples are considered to be soft in terms of ball mill grindability. Acid/Base Accounting (ABA) results and net acid generation test work indicated that the Vein Composite samples will not generate acid and indeed may have significant excess acid neutralisation capacity.

Adding gravity recovery to flotation recovery results indicated overall gold recovery values ranging from approximately 94 to 96% in the primary grind size range of 122 µm – 65 µm (P80).

Three cyanidation tests completed on the gravity tailings yield elevated gold extractions. The finest grind (P80 = 20 µm) gave a leach gold extraction of approximately 98%, which when included with gravity

recovered gold, resulted in a combined overall recovery of 98.8%. The lowest test results, completed at P80 = 121 µm, gave a cyanidation unit gold extraction of 93%. Adding the gold recovered by gravity separation to this extraction resulted in an overall gold recovery of 95.7% for this size fraction in the tailings. Results of these studies were later noted in the 2015 Technical Report and Mineral Resource Estimate by SRK.

In 2017, Eastmain commissioned SGS Lakefield to complete a new series of metallurgical tests. These tests used material from Eastmain's drill core sample reject inventory, selecting Ore (mineralized intercepts), HW-FW (mineralized intercept contact rocks) and blended a Master Composite from this material (80% Ore and 20% HW-FW). Gold grades of 6.56 g/t Au, 0.08 g/t Au, and 4.98 g/t Au, were reported for the Ore, HW-FW, and Master Composite, respectively. Silver reported as <2 g/t in all samples. Sulphide sulphur grades were 0.99%, 0.28%, and 0.84% in the Ore, HW-FW, and Master Composite, respectively.

The 2017 Bond ball mill work index of the Master Composite is reported as 11.2 kWh/t (metric): in the moderately soft range of hardness in terms of ball mill grindability, putting all material tested at the 33rd percentile of hardness or lower, as compared to other tests in SGS's metallurgical test database. An FLSmidth (Knelson) gravity recoverable gold (GRG) test indicated a reasonably high GRG value for the Master Composite at 39%. Batch gravity separation testing on the composite yielded 24% gold recovery.

Overall (gravity + flotation) gold recoveries yielded overall gravity plus flotation gold recoveries of only approximately 80-85%, at the same grind same size range, lower than the 2010 results. This is likely as a result of the lower overall grade of the 2017 composite sample and the introduction of inclusion of contact rocks.

Overall gold recovery by gravity separation + gravity tailing cyanidation yielded results in the 2017 program that compared very well to test work completed in 2010. Gold recovery from the 2010 Master Composite (at a 14.8 g/t Au head grade) was 95.7% with a final tailing grade of 0.66 g/t Au. In the current program, overall gold recovery from a head grade of 4.85 g/t Au was approximately 96%, with a final tailing grade of approximately 0.20 g/t Au.

Pyrrhotite was identified as the primary sulphide, with accompanying lesser amounts of pyrite and much less chalcopyrite. The Ore Composite contained approximately 1.5% pyrrhotite less than 1% pyrite, while the HW-FW Composite had approximately equal masses of pyrrhotite and pyrite, at 0.22% and 0.28%, respectively. Acid mine drainage testing in the 2017 program (acid-base accounting {ABA} and net acid generation {NAG}), indicates Master Composite process tailing is likely not an acid generator. Additional environmental testing will be required.

Results of all three studies were considered in the 2017 Technical Report and Mineral Resource Estimate by SGS Geostat.

3.1.6 2015 Mineral Resource Estimate

In 2015, Eastmain concluded a Mineral Resource Estimate and Technical Report entitled "*Technical Report for the Eau Claire Gold Deposit, Clearwater Project, Quebec Prepared for Eastmain Resources Inc. by SRK Consulting (Canada) Inc. June 11, 2015* (report 3CE010.013)" which was dated and filed on SEDAR June 11, 2015 (the "Technical Report"). The study utilized the Clearwater property-wide database, containing at that time some 690 surface drill holes (203,540 m) completed by various operators from 1976 to the end of 2013, and 451 surface channel samples (1,410 m) from within the Eau Claire deposit. The reader is referred to Eastmain's 2016 AIF for a more detailed description of the 2015, SRK Consultants (Canada) Inc. Mineral Resource Estimate for the Eau Claire deposit and to the Company's website and to its profile SEDAR dated June 11, 2015 for the full Technical Report.

Mineral resources were classified according to the CIM *Definition Standards for Mineral Resources and Mineral Reserves* (May 2014) by Dominic Chartier, P.Geo with the assistance of Dr. Jean-Francois Couture, P.Geo, both independent qualified persons as defined in National Instrument 43-101.

SRK considered that portions of the Eau Claire gold deposit may be amenable to open pit extraction, while deeper parts of the deposit could possibly be extracted using an underground mining method. SRK used a pit optimizer and reasonable mining assumptions to evaluate portions of the block model that could be reasonably expected to be mined from an open pit. The block model quantities and grade estimates were also reviewed to determine the portions of the modelled mineralization that have "reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction". SRK reported as open pit mineral resources those blocks located within the conceptual pit shell and above a cut-off grade of 0.5 g/t Au and; as underground mineral resources, those blocks outside the conceptual pit shell above a cut-off grade of 2.5 g/t Au.

**Mineral Resource Statement⁽¹⁾, Eau Claire Gold Deposit Quebec,
SRK Consulting (Canada) Inc., April 27, 2015 (Effective Date)**

Category	Tonnage (^{'000} t)	Grade		Contained Metal	
		Gold Au (g/t)	Tellurium Te (g/t)	Gold Au (oz)	Tellurium Te (kg)
Open Pit ⁽²⁾ Mineral Resources					
Measured	970	7.29	10.18	227,000	9,900
Indicated	5,827	3.51	4.58	658,000	26,700
Measured & Indicated	6,797	4.05	5.38	885,000	36,600
Inferred	1,098	3.12	3.63	110,000	4,000
Underground ⁽²⁾ Mineral Resources					
Measured	-	-	-	-	-
Indicated	428	4.78	6.07	66,000	2,600
Measured & Indicated	428	4.78	6.07	66,000	2,600
Inferred	3,974	4.09	3.12	523,000	12,400
Combined Mineral Resources					
Measured	970	7.29	10.18	227,000	9,900
Indicated	6,255	3.60	4.68	724,000	29,300
Measured & Indicated	7,225	4.09	5.42	951,000	39,200
Inferred	5,072	3.88	3.23	633,000	16,400

Notes:

- (1) Mineral resources are not mineral reserves and as such have not demonstrated economic viability. All figures are rounded to reflect the relative accuracy of the estimate. Composites have been capped where appropriate.
- (2) Open pit mineral resources are reported at a cut-off grade of 0.5 g/t gold within a conceptual pit shell and underground mineral resources are reported at a cut-off grade of 2.5 g/t gold outside the conceptual pit shell. Cut-off grades are based on a gold price of US\$1300 per ounce, a foreign exchange of US\$0.90 to C\$1.00 and a gold recovery of 95%. The value of tellurium has not been considered.

Assumptions Considered for Mineral Resource Reporting:

Parameter	Open Pit
Mining cost (US\$/tonne / C\$/tonne)	\$2.00/\$2.20
General and administration (US\$/tonne / C\$/tonne)	\$2.00/\$2.20
Process cost (US\$/tonne of ore / C\$/tonne of ore)	\$13.00/\$14.45
Gold recovery (%)	95
Mining loss / mining dilution (%)	5 / 5
Gold price (US\$/ounce / C\$/ounce)	\$1,300/\$1,450
Revenue factor	1.00
Pit slope angle	50

Drilling completed at the Eau Claire deposit in late 2015 was not included to the 2015 Technical Report noted above. Eastmain completed 29 drill holes totaling 12,837 m in 2015. Drilling focused on in-filling the known resource with a view to improving resource quality and delivering a more detailed understanding of the deposit. The work was intended to cause confirmation or reinterpretation of vein and swarm domain limits.

3.1.7 2015 Clearwater Exploration

The 2015 field program included definition drilling and infill core sampling within the Eau Claire deposit, and trench mapping and channel sampling in the Snake Lake target area, located two km to the east of Eau Claire. 29 drill holes for a total of 12,837 m were completed within Eau Claire, with the objective of increasing measured and indicated gold resources. 1,438 infill core samples were taken from historical drilling, concentrating on un-sampled near-surface intervals within potential open-pit areas.

Sampling of core at Eau Claire begins above the mineralized envelope of the deposit (hanging wall) and is generally carried out through the mineralized envelope to un-mineralized rock below (foot wall). Core samples obtained within the deposit in 2015 returned gold assays ranging from below detection (<5 ppb Au) over individual intervals of 1.0 m to as high as 98.8 g/t Au over 1.0 m.

Four drill holes intersected copper-gold-silver mineralization in the upper portion of the Eau Claire deposit over widths ranging from 2.0 to 11.5 m. These intervals range in grade from 0.14 g/t Au to 0.60 g/t Au, 7.08g/t Ag to 13.7 g/t Ag and 0.44 % Cu to 1.3% Cu. This copper-gold-silver sulphide zone, which was intersected with comparable grades in previous drilling, comprises a lens of mineralization, up to 9 m thick, which is distinct from Eau Claire veins and associated alteration zones.

3.1.8 2016 Clearwater Exploration

Eau Claire Deposit

In July, 2016, Eastmain announced an \$8.8 million (63,300 m) drilling program at the Clearwater Project.

The proposed drill program in the 450 West Zone was aimed at improving resource confidence using the following:

- 1) Infill drilling at shallow, pit accessible depths (surface to 150 m depth);
- 2) Testing the extensions of high grade veins along strike and parallel to surface exposed system;
- 3) Infill of deeper veins domains (150 m – 400 m depth);
- 4) Identifying and improving vein continuity in widely drilled portions of inferred mineral resource and on the deposit's current limits.

At the 850 Zone, approximately 6,100 m were planned to expand the 850 Zone mineralization via step-out drilling and infilling of inferred domains but was not completed until 2017.

Gold mineralization intersected at the Eau Claire gold deposit to date is generally located within structurally-controlled, high-grade en-echelon quartz-tourmaline veins and adjacent altered rocks. The vein system is predominantly hosted within a thick sequence of massive and pillowed mafic volcanic flows, interbedded with narrow intervals of volcanoclastic sedimentary rocks.

As of December 31st, 2016, Eastmain had completed 27,142 m of drilling at Clearwater including 4,500 m at Snake Lake and the balance at the Eau Claire deposit, collected approximately 18,800 core samples ranging in length from 0.5 m to 1.5 m. Sampling of core at Eau Claire began above the mineralized

envelope of the deposit (hanging wall) and was generally carried out through the mineralized envelope to un-mineralized rock below (foot wall). Core samples obtained within the deposit in 2016 returned gold assays ranging from below detection (<5 ppb Au) over individual intervals of 1.5 m to as high as 96.8 g/t Au over 1.0 m. Mineralized veins and alteration identified in logging form the basis of deposit interpretation and weighted averages of gold assays within the mineralized intervals were incorporated into the 2017 resource estimate.

All of the Eau Claire drilling in the year targeted the 450 West Zone, the largest resource sector of the deposit. The program continued through to early 2017 with a primary focus on completion of the 450 West Zone and 850 West Zone drilling with a view to developing a potential open pit and shallow underground mining scenario.

Selected results are of the drilling program to December 31st, 2016 are presented below. More drill result details are available at the Company's profile on SEDAR in press releases dated October 24, 2016, December 1, 2016 and January 4, 2017, all available on SEDAR at www.sedar.com and the Company's website.

Snake Lake Target

Gold mineralization at the Snake Lake occurrence is similar to the Eau Claire deposit. Quartz tourmaline veins are hosted within a thick sequence of basalt flows, tuffs and interbedded metasedimentary rocks which have been intruded by felsic dykes. As at Eau Claire, the entire sequence has been heavily deformed and sheared resulting in development of a deformation zone with strong and extensive foliation and local shearing. Significant zones of sulphide mineralization of up to 15% (pyrite, pyrrhotite, +/- arsenopyrite +/- chalcopyrite) are also reporting gold mineralization within the deformation zone.

In 2016, drilling returned encouraging near-surface gold results, with similar quartz-tourmaline related gold mineralization as observed at the Eau Claire deposit. Drilling encountered anomalous gold mineralization between 1.0 g/t Au and 12.2 g/t Au in core sampled to a vertical depth of 170 m from surface), with the majority of intercepts being located in first 100 m.

As an early stage exploration target sampling of core at Snake Lake was predicated upon observation by the geologist of alteration or mineralization which is interpreted as favourable to host gold mineralization. Samples are taken from above the identified mineralized zone (hanging wall) and carried out through the mineralized envelope to un-mineralized rock below (foot wall). If several zones are located in a hole, sampling may be undertaken in a continuous manner including intervals that are not visibly mineralized. 20 drill holes were completed in 2016 at the Snake Lake target. Core samples obtained at the Snake Lake Target in 2016 returned gold assays ranging from below detection (<5 ppb Au) over individual intervals of 1.5 m to as high as 12.2 g/t Au over 1.5 m.

A summary of significant intercepts is presented below. More drill result details are available on SEDAR in press releases dated October 24, 2016, December 1, 2016 and January 4, 2017

3.1.9 2017 Mineral Resource Estimate

On September 11, 2017 Eastmain reported an updated Mineral Resource Estimate for the Eau Claire Gold deposit. The new Mineral Resource Estimate was prepared by SGS Geostat ("SGS") and is based on an improved interpretation and understanding of the deposit. The new interpretation reports higher grades and improved continuity of gold mineralization to a depth of 550 m and along strike for 1,100 m within the deposit. Eastmain's development approach to the Eau Claire deposit is to consider the project as a combined open pit and underground mine giving consideration to the potential for resources to be developed below the range of pit exploitation.

The reader is referred to the NI 43-101 Technical Report entitled “*Technical Report on the Updated Mineral Resource Estimate for the Eau Claire Gold Deposit, Clearwater Project, Quebec, Canada*” prepared by SGS Geostat (“SGS”) and posted on the Company’s website at www.eastmain.com and the Company’s profile on SEDAR at www.SEDAR.com on October 26, 2017 for a detailed description of the 2017 SGS Mineral Resource Estimate for the Eau Claire deposit.

The new mineral resource estimate prepared by SGS is based on data from 690 drill holes (274,054 m), with an effective (cut-off) date of August 25, 2017, and includes 78,150 m of new drill data from 2015 to 2017. The focus of the 2016/2017 drill program consisted mainly of infill drilling used to:

- expand the understanding of the mineralizing controls at Eau Claire,
- confirm the current geological interpretation and test the limits and continuity of mineralized envelope, and
- improve drill spacing to show continuity between veins and increase overall confidence

The 2017 Mineral Resource Estimate reports considerably improved grades but also reduces the contained ounces of gold in the deposit by 15% (126,000 oz) in the combined Measured and Indicated categories and by 36% (168,000 oz) in the Inferred category, compared to the 2015 Estimate. These reductions are mainly the result of more conservative drill-supported wire-frame interpretation and the application of smaller search radii for resource category classifications.

Total Mineral Resource Estimate (effective August 25, 2017) SGS Geostat 2017⁽¹⁾

Category	Tonnes	Grade (g/t Au)	Contained Au (oz)
Measured	932,000	6.67	200,000
Indicated	3,238,000	6.01	626,000
Measured & Indicated	4,170,000	6.16	826,000
Inferred	2,227,000	6.49	465,000

Mineral Resources for a Potential Open Pit & Underground Scenario (effective August 25, 2017)⁽¹⁾

Category	Open Pit ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾ (surface to 150 m)			Underground ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾ (150 m – 860 m)		
	Tonnes	Grade (g/t Au)	Contained Au (oz)	Tonnes	Grade (g/t Au)	Contained Au (oz)
Measured	618,000	6.69	133,000	314,000	6.64	67,000
Indicated	610,000	5.10	100,000	2,628,000	6.22	526,000
Measured & Indicated	1,228,000	5.90	233,000	2,942,000	6.26	593,000
Inferred	39,000	4.78	6,000	2,188,000	6.52	459,000

1. Mineral resources which are not mineral reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability. All figures are rounded to reflect the relative accuracy of the estimate. Composites have been capped where appropriate.
2. Open pit mineral resources are reported at a cut-off grade of 0.5 g/t gold within a conceptual pit shell and underground mineral resources are reported at a cut-off grade of 2.5 g/t gold outside the conceptual pit shell. Cut-off grades are based on a gold price of US\$1,250 per ounce, a foreign exchange rate of US\$0.80, and a gold recovery of 95%.
3. The results from the pit optimization are used solely for the purpose of testing the “reasonable prospects for economic extraction” by an open pit and do not represent an attempt to estimate mineral reserves. There are no mineral reserves on the Clearwater Property. The results are used as a guide to assist in the preparation of a mineral resource statement and to select an appropriate resource reporting cut-off grade.

4. Pit optimization parameters and cut-off grades are outlined in Table 3.

Open Pit Resources

Open pit resources were determined with optimization performed using Whittle™ software based on the optimization parameters outlined in the following table. A Whittle pit shell at a revenue factor of 0.5 was selected. The corresponding strip ratio is 11.9:1 generates a pit with an average depth of approximately 150 m. Eastmain believes the selected pit shell allows for an improved and balanced approach for any potential future underground development.

While considering the open pit and underground distribution of resources, Eastmain included a base case Whittle Pit scenario using a Revenue Factor of 1.0 as a comparison to the 2015 Estimate. The resulting pit exhibited a strip ratio of 27.9:1 with a maximum depth of approximately 300 m. In management’s view, this pit was impractical in terms of its sheer size relative to the amount and distribution of gold in the deposit.

Wire Framing Vein Domains

Wire frames were constructed for the E-W striking QT veins and the ESE striking HGS veins (140° to 155°), using a 2 m minimum mining width and internal dilution constraints. All veins dip between 40° to 60° to the south. Eastmain and SGS incorporated a more extensively interpreted model for the HGS veins, based on their identification in the 2016/2017 drilling program, into the updated mineral resource estimate. These veins now account for approximately 16.8% of the total ounces and 23.4% of the Measured and Indicated ounces with the balance of the resources contained in quartz-tourmaline (QT) veins.

Resource Calculation and Categorization

Grades for Au (g/t) were interpolated into blocks by the Inverse Distance Cubed (“ID³”) method. Three passes were used to interpolate grade into all of the blocks in the wire frames (Table 3). For Pass 1, the search ellipse size (in metres) for all vein domains was set at 20 x 20 x 5 in the X, Y, Z direction; for Pass 2, the search ellipse size for each domain was set at 45 x 45 x 15; and for Pass 3, the search ellipse size was set at 100 x 100 x 20. Blocks were classified as Measured if they were populated with grade during Pass 1 and Indicated if they were populated with grade during Pass 2 of the interpolation procedure. Pass 3 search ellipse size was set to assure all remaining blocks within the wire frames were assigned a grade. These blocks were classified as Inferred.

Grades were interpolated into blocks using a minimum of 6 and maximum of 10 composites to generate block grades during Pass 1 and Pass 2 (maximum of 3 samples per drill hole), and a minimum of 3 and maximum of 10 composites to generate block grades during pass 3.

Selected Eau Claire Estimation Parameters for Open Pit and Underground Mineral Resources

Exchange rate	US\$0.80 = C\$1.00
Gold price (per ounce)	US\$1,250 / C\$1,563
Estimation method	ID ³ interpolation
Drill spacing:	
450W outcrop (0 m – 100 m depth)	12.5 m – 25 m
Deposit core (100 m – 400 m)	25 m
Balance of the deposit	>25 m

Block model	5 m x 5 m x 5 m
Composites required:	
Measured	6 composites, 2 drill holes, w/in 20 m x 20 m x 5 m
Indicated	6 composites, 2 drill holes, w/in 45 m x 45 m x 15 m
Inferred	3 composites, 1 drill hole, w/in 100 m x 100 m x 20 m
Open pit cut-off grade	0.5 g/t Au
Underground cut-off grade	2.5 g/t Au
Process recovery	95%
Assumed operating costs	
Open pit mining cost (per tonne mined)	US\$2.80 / C\$3.50
Underground mining cost (per tonne mined)	US\$56.00 / C\$70.00
General and administrative (per tonne processed)	US\$4.00 / C\$5.00
Processing cost (per tonne processed)	US\$16.00 USD / C\$20.00
Mining loss / dilution (open pit)	5% / 5%
Assumed overall pit slope angle	50 degrees
Capped grades:	
450W Zone	120 g/t Au (QT); 45 g/t Au (HGS, NW, WNW, Extra)
850W Zone	40 g/t Au (QT); 10 g/t Au (others)

3.1.10 2017 Exploration Program

As of December 31st, 2017, Eastmain had completed 62,772 m of drilling at Clearwater including 54,264 m at the 450W zone and 5,313 m at the 850W zone of the Eau Claire Deposit. The drilling total also reports 3,195 m to the east of Eau Claire and at Snake Lake. During the drill campaign collecting approximately 59,350 core samples ranging in length from 0.5 m to 1.5 m and inserted 3,849 control samples for Quality Assurance and Quality Control purposes. Further details regarding drilling focused on the Eau Claire deposit and the Snake Lake target are provided in press releases by the Company throughout the year on January 4, February 7, March 29, April 26, May 16, July 13, July 27, August 30 and November 6. Core samples obtained within the deposit in 2017 returned gold assays ranging from below detection (<5 ppb Au) over individual intervals of 1.5 m to as high as 206 g/t Au over 0.5 m. Mineralized veins and alteration identified in logging form the basis of deposit interpretation and weighted averages of gold assays within the mineralized intervals are incorporated into the Mineral Resource Estimate.

In the period from August to December 2017, 19 additional drill holes were completed at the Eau Claire Deposit to test and extend mineralization at vertical depths of the 600 m to 800 m. These holes targeted depths extensions of QT and HGS veins and were drilled to improve understanding of these vein systems at that depth.

Selected results are of the 2017 drilling program to December 31st are presented below. More drill result details are available at the Company's profile on SEDAR in press releases dated October 24, 2016, December 1, 2016 and January 4, 2017, all available on SEDAR at www.sedar.com.

In addition to the Company's focus on resource drilling at Eau Claire, trenching was completed in late 2017 at the Clovis, Beluga and Rosemary targets. 14 trenches totaling 1,575 m were excavated, mapped and sampled and 978 m of saw-cut channel samples were taken. 1,126 samples, incl. 66 QA/QC samples were submitted for analysis.

3.1.11 Project Development Progress and Review

For the coming year, the Company has engaged a mining consultant to complete a Preliminary Economic Assessment of the Eau Claire deposit in early Q2 2018. The PEA will consider all information contained in the 2017 Mineral Resource Estimate and the 19 additional deep holes which were completed by December 31st. Field work will continue at Clearwater in 2018 and is planned to

1. Based on recommendations from the Preliminary Economic Assessment
 - a. Additional metallurgical testing and engineering studies
 - b. Additional resource definition drilling
2. Initial environmental studies with a view to underground bulk sampling
3. Continued property-wide exploration including drilling and expanded trenching and sampling.

3.2 EASTMAIN MINE PROJECT

3.2.1 Description and Location of the Project

The Eastmain Mine Project is centred at roughly 52° 18' N Latitude and 72° 05' W Longitude, within the Upper Eastmain River Greenstone Belt, approximately 320 km north-northeast of Chibougamau and about 800 km north of Montréal, Québec. The property consists of 152 mineral claims and one industrial lease permit. The property covers approximately 8,014 ha and is owned 100% by Eastmain Mines Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company. The former Eastmain Mine, as defined by the perimeter of an historic mining lease, is subject to a production royalty (NSR) of 2.3% through production of the next 250,000 oz produced and 2% thereafter. A package of claims surrounding the mine precinct is subject to a production royalty (NSR) of 2%.

3.2.2 Accessibility, Climate, Infrastructure, and Physical Geography

The property is accessible via Route 167 which was extended in 2013 from Temiscamie through the Eastmain Mine Project to the Renard diamond mine. The road provides permanent all-weather road access to the property. Completion of this route has facilitated access to the property and significantly reduced exploration costs. Road access also allows for evaluation of a wider range of evaluation and development options for the historic Eastmain Mine deposit.

The property can also be accessed from Chibougamau and Temiscamie by float plane (approximately 325 and 150 km southwest respectively), and by wheeled aircraft from Chibougamau to a gravel airstrip located on the property. The airstrip is currently in disrepair but can be refurbished for short-take-off aircraft.

The area around the Eastmain Mine Project is gently rolling to flat lying, with local relief varying in a range of 200 m. The average elevation in the vicinity of the Eastmain Mine camp is about 500 m ASL (Above Sea Level). A dominant feature of the landscape is the Otish Mountains located approximately 15 km south of the property with steep ridge crests and mountains which reach 1000 m ASL in elevation.

3.2.3 History

In 1969, Placer Development Limited discovered the Eastmain Gold Mine, The gold-silver-copper bearing A Zone was intersected while drill-testing an airborne geophysical conductor. Drill testing of airborne conductors in the 1980's defined two additional gold-rich zones known as the B and C zones. In the 1980's Placer Dome Ltd. ("Placer") completed definition drilling on the A, B and C zones of the Eastmain gold deposit.

In 2004, Campbell Resources Inc. ("Campbell") reported a measured and indicated mineral resource of 878,100 tonnes at 10 g/t Au for the Eastmain Mine Gold deposit containing 255,750 oz of gold and 4.1 million lbs of copper, including measured resources of 91,500 tons grading 0.268 oz/t gold and indicated resources of 786,600 tons at 0.294 oz/t gold (Campbell, 2004 Annual Report, available on SEDAR at www.sedar.ca). Several historical reports including a Feasibility Study prepared by MSV in 1990 and a report entitled Reserves/Resources Audit of Mining Property by MetChem in 2001, support Campbell's disclosed estimate. A qualified person has not done sufficient work for Eastmain to classify this historic resource estimate as a current mineral resource as defined by NI 43-101. The stated mineral quantities, grades and mineral resources are historical estimates and should not be relied upon. The resource is considered historic by the Company and relevant only as an indication of potential mineralization on the property.

In February 2007, Eastmain earned a 100% interest in the Eastmain Mine property by issuing \$2.5 million in cash, 1,000,000 Common Shares and 500,000 share-purchase warrants at an exercise price of \$1.00 per share, valid for 12 months, to Campbell. On July 18, 2007 Eastmain issued an additional 1,000,000 Common Shares and 500,000 share-purchase warrants at an exercise price of \$1.50 per share, valid for 12 months, to complete the transaction. Campbell retained a 2.3% NSR on production from the initial 250,000 oz of new production from the historic Eastmain Mine and 2.0% thereafter. Eastmain was granted the option to purchase one-half of the NSR for any production over and above 250,000 ounces of gold for \$1 million.

In September 2012, Eastmain exercised its right of first refusal to purchase the NSR on the Eastmain Mine property over and above an initial production of 250,000 ounces of gold (the "Initial Production Royalty") from CBay Minerals Inc. ("CBay"). Franco Nevada Corporation and Virginia Mines Inc. (now Osisko Gold Royalties) jointly acquired the 2.3% Initial Production Royalty from CBay.

3.2.4 Geological Setting

The property is underlain by the Upper Eastmain River Greenstone Belt, which extends for 100 km in a north-northeast direction. The Upper Eastmain belt consists of several cycles of mafic to felsic metavolcanic and metasedimentary rocks surrounded by granite and granite gneiss. Widespread rock geochemical anomalies in nickel-copper, nickel-chromium, copper-zinc and gold suggest that these rocks are highly prospective for both gold and nickel-copper-platinum deposits.

The property contains a minimum of four interpreted volcanic cycles, each including an ultramafic base, overlain by a mafic volcanic flow pile with interlayers of felsic volcanic rock and terminated (capped) by a silicified and mineralized exhalite horizon. The Eastmain Mine Gold Deposit is interpreted as the mineralized cap to the third cycle which is part of the auriferous mineralization identified as the Mine Trend, a very distinctive marker horizon which can be traced for over 10 km across the Property along a NW-SE strike. The entire lithological sequence in the mine area is interpreted to be overturned.

The Eastmain Mine Gold Deposit consists of three gold-rich zones known as the, "A", "B" and "C" Zones which strike southeast and dip and plunge to the northeast at 45° to 50°. The deposit occurs as massive sulfide lenses, stringers and disseminated sulphides, containing up to 15-20% Pyrrhotite+Pyrite+Chalcopyrite with traces of magnetite, sphalerite and molybdenite. The zones range from 2 m to 7 m in thickness and are associated with deformed (boudinaged) exhalite in a sequence of rhyolitic tuffs, mafic tuffs, basalt and ultramafic rock. Eastmain interprets the deposit as a gold-rich VMS deposit.

3.2.5 Exploration Work, Mineralization and Drilling

For information regarding historic exploration at Eastmain Mine prior to 2013, the reader is referred to the Company's 2015 Annual Information Form.

In 2013 the Company completed a program of geological mapping, prospecting and soil geochemical surveys, to define future drill targets in the key mine horizon northwest of the Eastmain Mine gold deposit. 2013 surface exploration successfully identified four additional high-grade gold targets, coinciding with the 10 km long regional "Mine Trend" extending northwest and southeast from the deposit.

During fiscal 2014, field exploration work confirmed four high-grade target zones northwest of the Eastmain Gold deposit. 249 rock samples were collected as part of the 2014 geological targeting program in search of additional resources along the Mine Trend. Two of the areas ("Hillhouse" and "Julien") coincided directly with the projected Eastmain Mine Trend and two targets ("Michel" and "Suzanna") are located along secondary parallel structures, which may be an immediate or folded repetition of the mine sequence.

In 2015, no field exploration was conducted at the Eastmain Mine Project.

3.2.6 2016 Exploration

In June 2016, Eastmain announced a \$1.3 million exploration program at the Eastmain Mine Project. The announced Eastmain Mine program consisted of mapping, prospecting, and mechanical trenching, followed by 5,000 m of diamond drilling. The project was extended to the end of 2016 to include a total of 8,550 m of drilling. Additional detail regarding trenching and drilling results at Eastmain in 2016 are available in press releases dated November 14th, 2016 and January 19th, 2016.

Mechanical trenching was performed at previously defined high grade gold surface showings and VTEM electromagnetic conductors. Specifically, trenching targeted the outcropping Julien, Suzanna, Michel and Hillhouse targets. As previously described, these zones may represent strike extensions of, or parallel horizons to the Mine Trend, exhibiting similar Au-Ag-Cu mineralization to the Eastmain Mine A, B and C zones.

A total of 3,180 m² of planned trenching was successful in exposing bedrock at the Julien, Suzanna and Hillhouse zones during the 2016 program at Eastmain Mine. Each target reported mineralization and geology which supports continued exploration. The most prospective assay results were obtained from the Julien target. This target was exposed in several trenches extending north-easterly across approximately 400 m of stratigraphy beginning at the interpreted SE trending Mine Trend and cutting parallel stratigraphy.

Trench EM16-J3 is located just east of Julien Lake, and adjacent to a mineralized outcrop with grab values of 30.9 g/t Au, 24.9 g/t Ag and 1.12% Cu. The trench exposed the surface expression of the mineralized zone (subsequently intersected in hole EM16-76 that returned **219 g/t Au, 153 g/t Ag and 2.54% Cu over 2.0 m** (including **752 g/t Au, 464 g/t Ag and 4.47% Cu over 0.5 m**).

At the Suzanna Zone, Trench EM16-S2 targeted a moderate electromagnetic conductor. The exposed rock presents an intensely altered basalt composed of quartz, biotite and fuchsite. When the alteration is associated with disseminated sulphide mineralization (pyrrhotite, chalcopyrite), gold mineralization is also present. The best gold value is from channel S2-20 returning 3.96 g/t Au over 1.0 m including 7.13 g/t Au over 0.5 m.

Hillhouse Zone trenches EM16-H2 and EM16-H3 returned gold values which are spatially aligned along a minor shear and associated with a massive sulfide layer hosted in mineralized chert. Pyrrhotite and

chalcopyrite are the main sulphides present. The assemblage is very similar the Eastmain Mine "Mine Trend" mineralization located in the historic mine. The sulphide-chert horizon in EM16-H2 and EM16-H3 is located at the contact between pillowed basalt and a volcanic breccia.

The 2016 Eastmain Mine Project drilling was designed to identify additional mineralized zones along the mine trend, from the historic high-grade Eastmain Mine deposit. Exploration work prior to 2014 identified satellite targets, which were followed by additional mapping, overburden stripping and channel sampling conducted in mid-2016.

6 exploration targets were tested during the 2016 drill campaign including; Hillhouse, Julien, Suzanna, NW Mine trend, SE Mine trend and the Eastmain Mine. Hillhouse, Julien and Suzanna are located 1.5 km, 2.75 km, and 3 km northwest, respectively, of the Eastmain Mine. The NW and SE Mine Trend targets are the interpreted strike extensions of the mineralized horizon which hosts the Eastmain mine. Hole EM16-91 tested the NW Mine Trend approximately 850 m northwest of the Eastmain Mine and Holes EM16-83 to -86 tested for Mine Trend stratigraphy 1.5 to 3.5 km to the southeast of mine area.

Julien Target

Hole EM16-76 intersected a significant assay of **42.4 g/t Au, 30.2 g/t Ag and 0.53% Cu over 10.5 m** within an altered rhyolite unit hosting a mineralized quartz vein with visible gold, at 9.6 m vertical depth. The unit is 400 m east across dip of the mine trend, in a potential new parallel zone. EM16-76 also intercepted the extension of the mine trend mineralization at 285 m vertical depth.

The drill hole is collared 25 m northeast of a quartz-vein outcrop with values of 30.9 g/t Au, 24.9 g/t Ag and 1.12% Cu (see Fiscal Year 2013 Management's Discussion and Analysis).

Holes EM16-92 to EM16-95 are located in the Julien Target area. The four holes tested the continuity at depth of the mineralization intercepted in hole EM16-76 which returned **42.4 g/t Au, 30.2 g/t Ag and 0.53% Cu over 10.5 m, at 15 m vertical depth.** (Press Release, November 14th, 2016).

EM16-92 (-50°) and EM16-93 (-70°) are collared at the same location, 27 m northeast of, and undercutting, EM16-76. Both holes intercepted the mineralized rhyolite hosting a quartz vein with visible gold at a vertical depth of 25 m and 35 m respectively. **EM16-92 returned 21.1 g/t Au, 25.7 g/t Ag and 1.05% Cu over 9.3 m, including 43.1 g/t Au, 50.6 g/t Ag and 2.04% Cu over 4.3 m,** while **EM16-93 returned 10.6 g/t Au, 20.1 g/t Ag and 1.24% Cu over 15.9 m, including 20.4 g/t Au, 36.8 g/t Ag and 2.29% Cu over 7.6 m.**

EM16-94 and EM16-95 are also collared from a single location, 67 m northeast of and undercutting holes EM16-76, -92, and -93. Both holes intercepted a mineralized quartz vein with visible gold. Two mineralized intervals were identified in EM16-94. The first one, located at a vertical depth of 50 m, returned **7.8 g/t Au, 13.6 g/t Ag and 0.53% Cu over 10.0 m, including 32.7 g/t Au, 14.5 g/t Ag and 1.04% Cu over 1.0 m.** The second one, located at a vertical depth of 63 m, returned **5.67 g/t Au and 1.33 g/t Ag over 8.5 m, including 41.8 g/t Au and 3.8 g/t Ag over 0.6 m.** The first interval is mainly hosted in a mineralized quartz vein injected at the contact between mafic and felsic volcanics and the second one is located at a felsic-mafic contact.

Hillhouse Target

Holes EM16-77 and EM16-78 both intercepted shallow mineralization. Visible gold was observed in EM16-78 and returned **6.85 g/t Au over 2.5 m, including 10.6 g/t Au over 1.5 m** at a vertical depth of 11.8 m. Hole EM16-78 tested continuity from the mineralized lens discovered in Trench EM16-H2, with values ranging from below detection limits to **26.0 g/t Au over 2.2 m including 55.7 g/t Au over 0.7 m** (see press release dated October 5, 2016).

Suzanna Target

Holes EM16-81 is located 105 m north of trench Suzanna trench EM16-S1, which returned **5.33 g/t Au over 1.5 m, including 13.3 g/t Au over 0.5 m** (Press release, October 5th, 2016). The drill hole intersected intensely altered felsic and mafic volcanic sequences returning anomalous mineralization which ranged from trace elements to 338 ppb Au over 11.45 m, including 3.70 g/t Au over 0.5 m.

Eastmain Mine

Holes EM16-87 to EM16-90 were drilled in the vicinity of the Eastmain Mine deposit. EM16-87 did not reach its target depth, intercepting an underground mine working. EM16-88 tested a potential lateral repetition of the mine sequence which is interpreted from a previous magnetic survey to be a parallel ultramafic sequence, located 1 km southwest of the of the Mine Trend. No ultramafic sequences were intercepted, but two mineralized intervals returning 0.56 g/t Au, 5.2 g/t Ag and 0.43% Cu over 0.85 m and 0.52 g/t Au, 8.26 g/t Ag and 1.20% Cu over 0.5 m were intercepted at vertical depths of 303 m and 383 m respectively.

EM16-89 and EM16-90 tested the continuity of the historical deposit (B-Zone) at depth. EM16-89 returned 1.2 g/t Au, 1.9 g/t Ag and 0.11% Cu over 4.85 m, including 3.6 g/t Au, 4.0 g/t Ag and 0.34% Cu over 1.0 m at a vertical depth of 508 m, while EM16-90 returned 3.1 g/t Au, 6.5 g/t Ag and 0.26% Cu over 1.0 m at a vertical depth of 396 m. Both mineralized intervals were from a typical “Mine Trend” assemblage composed of altered felsic and mafic volcanics injected with quartz/silica mineralization located in contact with an ultramafic sequence.

NW Mine Trend

EM16-91 is located 550 m south of the Hillhouse Hill target, half way between Hillhouse Hill and the Eastmain Mine deposit. The hole targeted a moderate geophysical electro-magnetic anomaly. The best values returned were 116 ppb Au and 0.15% Cu over 1.0 m.

SE Mine Trend

Holes EM16-82 to EM16-86 tested the interpreted Southeast extension of the “Mine Package”. Of these, Hole EM16-83 returned the best gold intercept, hosted in a “Mine Trend” -type sequence, composed of altered felsic to mafic volcanics in contact with ultramafic rocks. EM16-83 returned 4.5 g/t Au, 1.0 g/t Ag over 0.5 m at a vertical depth of 118 m adjacent to wider anomalous gold zone that returned 0.26 g/t Au over 18.3 m.

3.2.7 2017 Exploration

In 2017 Eastmain, conducted aggressive exploration of the Eastmain Mine Project including Induced Polarization geophysics, follow up trenching and channel sampling of geophysical anomalies and core drilling along the Mine Trend and in parallel strata to the north and south of the Mine Trend in the vicinity of the Julien and Hillhouse targets. Drilling also targeted the Eastmain Mine Gold deposit as part of a verification program to support an initial Mineral Resource Estimate completed and reported under NI 43-101. Details of exploration have been published by the Company in press releases dated January 19 and August 23, 2017 and posted on SEDAR and the Eastmain website. Exploration activities during 2017 are summarized as follows.

Targets	No. of DDH	Total m	Total core samples	No. of trenches	Total m	Total channel samples
Hill House	3	465	181			
Julien	12	4,204	2,292	5	196	115
Meg	4	798	412	2	125	39
Suzanna	2	594	394	2	126	46
Michel	1	333	166	1		21
David				1	106	33
Zone A	2	525	341			
Zone B	5	989	329			
Zone C	1	609	124			
NW Zone A	3	867	306			
Total	33	9,384	4,545	11	553	254

In winter 2017, A 42.5 line-km OreVision IP Survey was conducted over the Julien, Suzanna and Michel Targets covering a 2-km x 2-km area with 100-m line spacings, with depth penetration of +/- 200 m. The survey identified 7 parallel chargeability trends striking southeast parallel to the Mine Trend, extending to the depth of the survey. The highest priority geophysical trend identified transverses the Julien Target running the entire 2-km length of the grid. The projection of the trend to the SE indicates potential continuation towards the Hillhouse Target located 300 m SE of the IP coverage. A second priority trend extends over the Suzanna Target, also running the length of the existing grid. Several of the strong conductive trends are open at depth and along strike. In addition, the IP results indicate a potential extension of the Mine Trend NW, as well as several other parallel mineralized horizons.

A total of 11 trenches were excavated, mapped and sampled as follow up to the IP survey. Trenches exposed strata bound and structurally controlled mineralization which explained conductive trends identified by geophysics but returned limited results in channel sampling. 33 drill holes were completed in 2017, 26 testing geophysical anomalies, trench exposed targets and following up 201 drill results. 7 holes tested the historic Eastmain Gold Mine mineral resource in Zones A and B as verification holes.

Exploration hole EM17-126 intersected a 2 m interval returning 9.33g/t Au, 23.8g/t Ag and 0.44% Cu at a vertical depth of 220 m along strike on the Mine Trend, 600 m to the NW of the A Zone Mineral Resource envelope. This intersection is located 100 m NW of historic hole 83CH029 which intersected a 1.5 m interval of 19.2 g/t Au, 7.85 g/t Ag (no Cu analysed) at a depth of 240 m. Hole 83CH029 is located approximately 480 m NW of the current limit of the A Zone resource envelope. This area represents a potential new parallel zone at the Eastmain Mine and will be a priority target for further drill evaluation. The new zone identified by EM17-126 is approximately midway between the A Zone and the Hillhouse target. The Company is currently compiling the results of field work along the Mine Trend to establish whether mineralized zones are developed at common spatial intervals so as to optimize drill targeting.

Recent exploration at the Julien target suggests the presence of NW-SE trending exhalite horizons similar to Mine Trend and NE trending sheared and mineralized structural zones which may represent deformed and metamorphosed feeder systems. Further modeling of this information will be completed in advance of target selection for a new phase of drilling.

3.2.8 2018 Mineral Resource Estimate

On January 9, 2018 Eastmain announced the results of its first NI 43-101 Mineral Resource Estimate for the 100%-owned Eastmain Mine Gold Project. The new Mineral Resource Estimate demonstrates the high average gold grade of the Eastmain Mine mineralization and consistency with historic interpretation and Mineral Resource Estimates.

A NI 43-101 Technical Report to be prepared by P&E Mining Consultants Inc. (“P&E”) with an effective date of January 9, 2018, will be posted on www.eastmain.com and the Company’s profile on SEDAR at www.SEDAR.com in February 2018.

The new Mineral Resource Estimate reports an increase in tonnes and contained gold ounces over the historic estimate. Reduction of Mineral Resource cut-off grade due to a higher current gold pricing of US\$1,250/oz and the inclusion of Mineral Resources from the C Zone have added significantly to gold endowment within the deposit.

The new Mineral Resource Estimate prepared by P&E is based on data from 242 drill holes (42,251 m) within the limits of the Mineral Resource domains, with an effective date of January 9, 2018. Total Indicated Resources of 899,000 t at an average grade of 8.19 g/t Au for 236,500 gold ounces and Total Inferred Resources of 579,000 t at an average grade 7.48 g/t Au for 139,300 gold ounces are reported.

Mineral Resource Estimate at 2.5 g/t Au Cut-Off (effective January 9, 2018) ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾

Category	Tonnes (k)	Grade (g/t Au)	Contained Au (oz.)	Grade (g/t Ag)	Contained Ag (k oz.)	Grade (% Cu)	Contained Cu (k lb.)
Zone A							
Indicated	59	10.1	19,300	13.9	27	0.25	325
Inferred	225	9.17	66,400	10.6	77	0.20	992
Zone B							
Indicated	839	8.05	217,200	7.6	205	0.12	2,220
Inferred	183	8.20	48,400	6.3	37	0.11	444
Zone C							
Indicated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Inferred	170	4.49	24,600	6.8	37	0.17	637
All Zones							
Total Indicated	899	8.19	236,500	8.0	232	0.13	2,577
Total Inferred	579	7.48	139,300	8.2	152	0.16	2,042

1. Mineral Resources which are not Mineral Reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability. The estimate of Mineral Resources may be materially affected by environmental, permitting, legal, title, taxation, sociopolitical, marketing, or other relevant issues.
2. The Inferred Mineral Resource in this estimate has a lower level of confidence than that applied to an Indicated Mineral Resource and must not be converted to a Mineral Reserve. It is reasonably expected that the majority of the Inferred Mineral Resource could be upgraded to an Indicated Mineral Resource with continued exploration.
3. The Mineral Resources in this press release were estimated using the Canadian Institute of Mining, Metallurgy and Petroleum (CIM), CIM Standards on Mineral Resources and Reserves, Definitions and Guidelines prepared by the CIM Standing Committee on Reserve Definitions and adopted by CIM Council.
4. The 2.5 g/t Au cut-off grade utilized in the above table was derived from US\$1250/oz Au, \$0.76 US\$ exchange rate, C\$85/tonne mining cost, C\$25/tonne processing cost, C\$15/tonne G&A cost and 95% process recovery.

Selected Resource Estimation Parameters - Eastmain Mine Mineral Resource Estimate January 2018

Exchange rate	US\$0.76 = C\$1.00
Gold price (per ounce)	US\$1,250 / C\$1,645
Estimation method	ID ³ interpolation
Block model (xyz)	2.5 m x 5 m x 2.5 m
Composites required:	
Measured	5 composites, 3 drill holes, within 5 m x 30 m x 20 m
Indicated	3 composites, 2 drill holes, within 10 m x 60 m x 40 m
Inferred	1 composites, 1 drill hole, within 20 m x 120 m x 80 m
Cut-off grade	2.5 g/t Au
Process recovery	95%
SG	2.90 t/m ³
Grade Capping	Domain Au Cap g/t Ag cap g/t Cu Cap % A 100 60 None B 60 40 None C None None None
Estimated operating costs	
Mining cost (per tonne mined)	C\$85
General and administrative (per tonne processed)	C\$15
Processing cost (per tonne processed)	C\$25

Mineral Resource Sensitivity to Cut-Off Grade - Eastmain Mine Mineral Resource Estimate January 2018

Cut-Off (g/t Au)	Tonnes (k)	Grade (g/t Au)	Contained Au (oz)	Grade (g/t Ag)	Contained Ag (k oz)	Grade (% Cu)	Contained Cu (k lb)
Indicated							
5.0	565	10.9	197,700	9.6	175	0.15	1,868
4.0	672	9.86	213,200	9.0	195	0.14	2,074
3.0	814	8.76	229,000	8.4	218	0.14	2,512
2.5	899	8.19	236,500	8.0	232	0.13	2,577
2.0	1,000	7.59	243,700	7.8	249	0.13	2,866
Inferred							
5.0	305	11.2	109,700	9.6	96	0.17	1,143
4.0	363	10.1	118,100	9.2	107	0.17	1,360
3.0	461	8.69	128,900	8.6	128	0.16	1,626
2.5	579	7.48	139,400	8.2	152	0.16	2,042
2.0	689	6.65	147,200	7.8	172	0.16	2,430

All historic and current exploration data in the form of drill holes and assay information were verified and compiled by Eastmain in a Geotoc™ database. Topographic data is sourced from a LIDAR survey completed by Eastmain. Underground mine infrastructure (ramp and workings) are derived from digitization of historic level plans and sections obtained upon acquisition of the Eastmain Mine Property in 2011. All information was subsequently entered into GEMS™, reverified and mineralized domains were wireframed by the Company. Eastmain delivered the Mineral Resource wireframes and all underlying data to P&E for review and editing.

Resource Calculation and Categorization

Grades for Au (g/t) were interpolated into blocks by the inverse distance cubed (“ID³”) method. In the view of both P&E and Eastmain, the conservative methodology provides the best framework for the planning and execution of exploration and potential future development and production of this asset.

Three passes were used to interpolate grade into all of the blocks in the wire frames. For Pass 1, the search ellipse size (m) for all vein domains was set at 5 x 30 x 20 in the X, Y, Z direction; for Pass 2, the search ellipse size for each domain was set at 10 x 60 x 40; and for Pass 3, the search ellipse size was set at 20 x 120 x 80. Blocks were classified as Measured if they were populated with grade during Pass 1 and Indicated if they were populated with grade during Pass 2 of the interpolation procedure. Pass 3 search ellipse size was set to assure all remaining blocks within the wire frames were assigned a grade. These blocks were classified as Inferred. In this estimate no blocks were categorized as Measured.

Grades were interpolated into blocks using a minimum of 5 and maximum of 16 composites in 3 holes to generate block grades during Pass 1; a minimum of 3 and maximum of 16 composites in 2 holes were required for Pass 2. A minimum of 1 and maximum of 16 composites in one hole were used to generate block grades during Pass 3. A block model was constructed in UTM coordinates using a block size of 2.5 m (X) 5.0 (Y) and 2.5 (Z).

As part of the Mineral Resource Estimation, seven drill hole locations were selected to verify results of historic drilling. Two holes were selected as twin holes while five were selected as triangulation points between several near neighbour holes representing a range of several years of historic drilling from 1987 to 1989. The verification holes tested between 3 neighbour holes at distance ranging from 10 m to 25 m to each. The verification work was successful in corroborating the position of the mineralized horizon developed from the historic database and the continuity of mineralization with neighbouring holes. All verification holes report comparable grades to those in neighbouring historic holes.

Results from Verification Drill Program Eastmain Mine Deposit Program (all intervals in core length)

Zone	Hole ID	Mineralization	Historic Drill Hole Validated
A	EM17-116 (Triangulation)	4.4 m @ 23.1 g/t Au	332001: 2.97 m @ 6.15 g/t Au 332003: 4.65 m @ 16.0 g/t Au 332005: 4.45 m @ 4.53 g/t Au
A	EM17-117 (Triangulation)	3.2 m @ 8.18 g/t Au	87CH28: 3.62 M @ 8.48 g/t Au 87CH24: 3.20 m @ 7.13 g/t Au 87CH25: 4.46 m @ 8.35 g/t Au
B	EM17-118 (Twin)	7.0 m @ 12.9 g/t Au	87CH08: 5.36 m @ 8.16 g/t Au
B	EM17-119 (Twin)	3.0 m @ 17.0 g/t Au	332032: 4.88 m @ 40.7 g/t Au
B	EM17-120 (Triangulation)	2.0 m @ 14.7 g/t Au	89CH03: 4.12 m @ 32.6 g/t Au 89CH12: 2.5 m @ 14.1 g/t Au 89CH49: 1.95 m @ 8.85 g/t Au
B	EM17-121 (Triangulation)	10.0 m @ 7.27 g/t Au	332033: 5.3 m @ 1.85 g/t Au 332044: 4.98 m @ 2.56 g/t Au 332045: 8.78 m @ 79.2 g/t Au
B	EM17-122 (Triangulation)	7.0 m @ 10.1 g/t Au	89CH26: 14.45 m @ 2.60 g/t Au EM10-28: 9.5 m @ 12.2 g/t Au 332064: 6.94 m @ 15.0 g/t Au

Future Exploration

In 2018, Eastmain proposes to continue definition of mineralized zones using ground electromagnetic (EM) surveys which will better define the boundaries and size of conductive ore zones. With identification of NE trending mineralized structures in 2017, exploration staff will adapt survey grid

orientation to assist in discriminating these EM sources from Mine Trend targets. Borehole EM surveys are also proposed at an early stage. These surveys can be quickly deployed and used in areas where previous drilling offers a platform. Based on continued evaluation of 2017 exploration results, new EM survey information and potential recommendations from the Resource Estimate, a 5,000 m drill program is proposed.

3.3 ÉLÉONORE SOUTH PROPERTY

The Éléonore South joint venture (“ESJV”) is held by Eastmain (36.72%), Azimut Exploration Inc. (“Azimut”) (26.57%), and Les Mines Opinaca Ltée, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Goldcorp Inc. (36.71%). The ESJV property is an exploration-drilling-stage project consisting of 282 mining claims covering 147 km² of prospective lands. The Éléonore South property is also located contiguously to west and south of the Sirios Resources Inc. (“Sirios”) Cheechoo property and is also contiguous to the north and west with property controlled by Les Mines Opinaca Ltée. The ESJV is located 12 km SE of the Les Mines Opinaca Ltée. Éléonore gold mine. The property is accessible by the all-season gravel road to the Éléonore gold mine and by helicopter from the mine’s airport or from other staging point along the mine road.

The ESJV was formed in 2008. Eastmain is the manager of the joint venture.

The Éléonore gold mine geology is interpreted, particularly certain metasedimentary sequences, to extend on to the ESJV property. The most recent discoveries at the ESJV property described herein are situated in the same tonalitic intrusive complex as Sirios’ Cheechoo deposit. The Sirios discovery occurs near the Éléonore South JV property boundary. This tonalite body extends onto the Éléonore South property, outcropping at the Moni Prospect and extending westward to the JT Zone.

The JT gold occurrence is a km long, stacked horizon of metamorphosed sediments and intrusive rocks, similar to those found at the Éléonore gold mine. Anomalous gold has been identified at the JT Gold Zone in altered sedimentary rocks over a minimum area of 800 m in length and approximately 400 m in width. In 2007, surface trenching results of 5.33 g/t Au across 8.0 m, including an interval grading 20 g/t Au over 2.0 m, and up to 37.8 g/t Au in a metre-long channel sample (Aug 30, 2007 NR) were reported. Gold mineralization in both intrusive and metasedimentary settings at the Éléonore South property is commonly associated with arsenopyrite and bismuth mineralization.

3.3.1 2015 Éléonore South Property Exploration

No exploration work was done in 2015.

3.3.2 2016 Éléonore South Property Exploration

In 2016, the ESJV partners agreed to undertake a \$2 million work program, including 5,000 m of diamond drilling at the Éléonore South property. The operator of the program was Azimut. The 2016 program tested high-priority gold targets with detailed surface prospecting to increase the sampling density in seven target areas.

On August 29, 2016, Azimut reported a total of 404 rock samples, mostly from outcrops, were collected on the property during a 14-day program. Grab samples are selective by nature and unlikely to represent average grades. The results are summarized as follows:

- High-grade samples collected over a 30 m by 20 m outcrop at the Moni Prospect include results of: **142.0 g/t Au, 102.5 g/t Au, 51.3 g/t Au, 39.3 g/t Au, 36.5 g/t Au, 34.2 g/t Au, 23.3 g/t Au, 21.6 g/t Au, 19.1 g/t Au, 12.5 g/t Au and 11.7 g/t Au.**

- 114 samples in the prospecting program returned grades higher than 0.1 g/t Au, including 53 samples with grades above 0.5 g/t Au, of which 30 samples reported grades above 1.0 g/t Au.
- Mineralization is mostly related to a strongly altered tonalite rock, mineralized with disseminated arsenopyrite and a network of quartz veinlets. A large alteration envelope surrounds most of the known prospects and can be used as an exploration guide.

In November 2016, Azimut reported drill results for the project in 2 press releases dated November 3rd and November 21st. Hole ES16-48 (151 m) was drilled to test the Moni Prospect. The intercept of **8.88 g/t Au over 2.5 m** was encountered in a quartz-albite pegmatite with visible gold and traces of sulphides, hosted in strongly altered tonalite. This intercept may correspond to the mineralized pegmatite at the Moni outcrop.

Hole ES16-49 (201 m) was drilled to extend the geological section of Hole ES16-48. The anomalous sections of **0.5 g/t Au over 36 m** and **0.51 g/t Au over 14 m** correspond to strongly altered tonalite with albite, biotite, actinolite and minor sulphides.

Holes ES16-50 to ES16-52 were drilled to test the possible strike extension of the Cheechoo discovery over a 600 m distance along the tonalite-metasediment contact (see the Company's press release of September 21, 2016 on SEDAR at www.sedar.com).

Holes ES16-53 to ES16-57 targeted the tonalite complex intersecting gold mineralization associated to pegmatite emplacement, quartz veins and veinlets and variable amounts of biotite, albite and actinolite alteration along with low levels of disseminated pyrite (py), pyrrhotite (po) and arsenopyrite (aspy). This type of alteration and sulphide mineralization is related to several gold deposits in the James Bay region including the nearby Éléonore gold mine.

3.3.3 Summary of Gold Mineralization

Numerous indicators suggest this tonalite-hosted corridor corresponds to a large-scale late-magmatic hydrothermal system. These indicators include the presence of hydrothermal breccia, sheeted veins, extensive pervasive alteration, no specific deformation zone related to alteration/mineralization, etc.

Several sections with visible gold returned relatively weak gold grades (most notably in holes ES16-50, ES16-54 and ES16-55). This may reflect an issue with the current analytical protocol if coarse gold is present. Appropriate control analysis will be performed on a set of samples to assess this possibility.

3.3.4 2017 Éléonore South Property Exploration

In 2017 the ESJV completed 2 phases of exploration drilling targeting the prospective corridor in the tonalite intrusive hosting the Moni and Trench Prospects, a helicopter-borne magnetic survey, soil geochemistry, prospecting, limited trenching and channel sampling. At the property scale the ESJV completed a lake sediment sampling survey and helicopter prospecting in the southern and western sectors of the property. Exploration activities by the ESJV are reported in press releases posted to SEDAR and Eastmain's website on March 1, May 2, May 30, July 7, August 8, October 17, November 16 and December 12, 2017.

The primary focus of ESJV diamond drilling exploration is an area extending approximately 2 km, within a 4 km by 0.5 km prospective corridor, southwest from the Sirios/ESJV boundary. Specifically, drilling targeted the Moni and Trench Prospect areas within a tonalite intrusion, and the SW-NE tonalite contact with metasediments further to the southeast. This large gold-bearing system is interpreted as a late-stage hydrothermal-magmatic phase directly related to the tonalitic intrusion. The best drill intercept in the spring phase of drill returned **4.88 g/t Au over 45.0 m**, including **37.9 g/t Au over 3.0 m** in hole ES17-64, approximately 150 m southwest of the Cheechoo-ESJV claim boundary.

A significant feature of the mineralized tonalite is the wide intervals of highly anomalous gold mineralization that have been intersected in 2017. Most significant gold-bearing intervals are found within, or near, large continuous gold-mineralized envelopes with gold values ranging from 50 ppb to 900 ppb Au in core samples and averaging between 0.25 g/t Au and 0.50 g/t Au along drill hole intercepts.

These drill results suggest sub-horizontal gold-bearing lens-like envelopes, 35 m to 50 m thick, whose upper limits range in depth from 70 m to approximately 150 m vertically. Drilling has identified three (3) tonalite-hosted mineralized lenses or zones, along a 1.4 km northeast-southwest strike, close to the tonalite-metasediment contact.

Within these envelopes the geometry of higher grade sections is still undefined with respect to strike and extent but correspond to strongly silicified tonalite and quartz-biotite stockwork, arsenopyrite and native gold. Native gold has been found in 15 of 18 reported holes. The prospective envelopes appear to be open laterally along the SW-NE trending tonalite-metasediment contact.

At the scale of the intrusion, drilling along the southern limit at or near the metasedimentary contact has confirmed gold potential over a distance of approximately 4 km, from hole ES17-62 in the east to the JT Prospect in the west. The tonalite-hosted gold-bearing system, from the high-grade Moni Prospect to the southern limit of the intrusion, has a prospective width of 500 m.

In the fall of 2017 the ESJV expanded the Moni Prospect, discovering an extensive high-grade gold vein system. The discovery was confirmed by extensive channel sampling prospect mapping, sampling and overburden stripping, and at depth by drill hole ES16-48 (**8.88 g/t Au over 2.50 m**, see press release dated November 21, 2016).

The 2017 channel sampling results were obtained on the Moni Prospect following mechanical stripping that significantly enlarged previous exposures (see press release dated November 3, 2016). 17 regularly spaced channels (13 new and two 2016 channels expanded) were cut across vein strike. 82 samples with a cumulative length of 64.95 m were obtained from newly exposed outcrop. True widths may range from 70% to 100% of apparent widths at surface. Reported gold values are uncut.

A 6 m wide vein system was exposed along a 36 m NE-SW strike length. The system is as a quartz-feldspar, intrusion-hosted high-grade gold-bearing vein system. From NE to SW, channel sampling highlights include:

Channel C05-05':	24.2 g/t Au over 3.80 m
Channel C01 (2016):	79.5 g/t Au over 5.87 m
Channel C07:	51.4 g/t Au over 5.30 m
Channel C08:	53.8 g/t Au over 4.55 m
Channel C08':	40.0 g/t Au over 1.85 m
Channel C09:	13.5 g/t Au over 4.80 m
Channel C10:	79.6 g/t Au over 4.25 m
Channel C11:	28.1 g/t Au over 2.95 m

A similar vein, located about 15 m SE from the vein system described above, returned the following composite intervals:

Channel C16:	47.1 g/t Au over 7.70 m
Channel C17:	35.6 g/t Au over 1.35 m

101 and Trench Prospects

The 101 Prospect is located 400 m SW of the high-grade Moni Prospect. Mineralization is related to a network of NE-SW trending, sub-vertically dipping quartz-feldspar pegmatitic veins and veinlets with native gold, hosted in strongly altered tonalite. Previous outcrop sampling in the prospect area returned up to 101 g/t Au.

Results from prospecting in mid-2017, west along the tonalite intrusion from the Moni Prospect identified a discovery at the 101 Prospect, returning 101.0 g/t Au in a grab sample. Preliminary field observations indicate tonalite-hosted grey to black quartz centimetre-scale veins with feldspar and visible gold striking NE-SW. The showing is located 400 m southwest of the Moni Prospect and may represent its strike extension.

The Trench Prospect is located 650 m SW of the Moni Prospect (250 m SW from the 101 Prospect). The very high-grade values were obtained from samples of angular boulders of quartz-feldspar-(biotite) pegmatitic veins with native gold. These samples may correspond to a larger dismantled boulder. Mineralized tonalite boulders with arsenopyrite are also found in close proximity. Previous prospecting returned 247 g/t Au from a grab sample in the same area. The area is also marked by a strong gold-arsenic soil anomaly. It is believed these mineralized boulders come from a nearby source.

During fall 2017 prospecting phase, 20 grab samples were collected in two areas (**101 Prospect, Trench Prospect**). Grab samples are selective by nature and unlikely to represent average grades. Rock sampling returned 11 samples with grades higher than 1.0, g/t Au, including 8 samples with grades above 15.0 g/t Au. Selected results are presented below:

Grade	Location	Sample Type
15.2 g/t Au	101 Prospect	Subcrop
26.1 g/t Au	101 Prospect	Outcrop
23.5 g/t Au	101 Prospect	Outcrop
67.2 g/t Au	101 Prospect	Boulder
833 g/t Au	Trench Prospect	Boulder
952 g/t Au	Trench Prospect	Boulder
1,500 g/t Au	Trench Prospect	Boulder
992 g/t Au	Trench Prospect	Boulder

3.3.5 Exploration in 2018

Exploration work since mid-2016 has focused on an NE-SW trending, 4 km long by 0.5 km wide gold-bearing prospective corridor related to a hydrothermally-altered tonalite intrusion and its contact with metasediments. At Éléonore South, the primary targets are interpreted to be part of a late-magmatic hydrothermal system related to the tonalite intrusion. In this geological environment, the surrounding metasediments may also constitute potential targets depending on favourable lithological and structural settings. Specifically, the two tonalite hosted gold mineralization types are interpreted as:

- Tonalite-hosted high-grade gold-bearing quartz and quartzo-feldspathic vein system ("Moni-type")
- Tonalite-hosted kilometre-scale zones of considerable width characterized quartz stockworks with a variable density of quartz-albite veinlets, small amounts of disseminated sulphides (arsenopyrite, pyrite, and pyrrhotite) and frequent visible gold grains.

In 2018, the ESJV will undertake 2 exploration campaigns at Éléonore South. A 4,400 m winter drill program will explore the new vein discoveries at the Moni and 101 prospects. Results from this program will be compiled with all 2016-2017 information and a \$4 million drilling and trenching campaign will be proposed for the summer and fall to target and expand mineralized in the tonalite intrusion. Eastmain's joint venture contribution in 2018 has yet to be determined by the joint venture partners but is planned at \$1.4-2.0 million.

4.0 SECURITY OF SAMPLES

Eastmain manages its exploration samples from their collection points. For drilling, the foreman or driller transports drill core in closed and secured core boxes from the drill to the onsite core-logging facility, where they are received by a geologist or a geological technician. The core boxes were arranged in numerical order, opened, measured and inspected for any drill site numbering or measurement areas. Prior to storage boxes are tagged with aluminum labels.

Samples are systematically hand oriented in the core box and end matched where possible, the orientation is based on oriented drill core measurements obtained using a Reflex ACTIII tool at the drill site if the device is used or with respect to rock foliation before being marked for cutting.

While core is logged, mineralized sections are described, measured and marked for sampling with assay tags placed at the end of each sample. A technician selects the interval and saws it in half lengthwise either along the core axis perpendicular to core foliation or along the vertical orientation line derived from Reflex ACTIII. Core is replaced in position in the core box and the 'top' half of the sawn sample interval was placed in a plastic sample bag along with a copy of the assay tag and sealed with a plastic tie. The remaining half-core interval is left in the core box and stored as a permanent record or for further sampling and review.

Samples are placed in woven bags clearly marked with a shipping label, sealed with tape and stored for shipment. Samples were shipped by transport from the base camp to an accredited assay laboratory. Most recently, ALS Chemex Laboratories is the initial assayer. Each sample batch is logged into a master manifest listing the sample shipment and a sample shipping list is attached to the first bag of the shipment. All parties handling the samples are required to confirm that the number of physical samples received at any way point in sample transport sign-off at every staging point from camp to the final destination.

4.1 Sampling and Analytical Procedure and Quality Control and Assurance

Since 2002, Eastmain has an established Analytical Quality Assurance Program to control and assure the analytical quality of assays in its exploration programs. This protocol includes the systematic addition of blank samples and certified standards to each batch of samples sent for analysis at commercial laboratories. Blank samples are used to check for possible contamination in laboratories, while certified standards determine the analytical accuracy and precision of the laboratory procedure. Generally, check sample inserts approximate 5% of sample flow from project sites. For 2016, approximately 10% of the sample stream delivered to the assay laboratories for the Clearwater Project are for QA/QC. At the Eastmain Mine Project approximately 5% of samples in the sample stream are for QA/QC purposes.

Pulp (inline split of 100-150 g) and coarse reject (inline split of 250-500 g) lab duplicates are also acquired by the primary lab at a rate of 2 each per hundred samples submitted and shipped to a second independent lab for further sample QA/QC.

The Company's main assay contractor is ALS Chemex. Once received by ALS, samples were weighed, dried and finely crushed to better than 70% passing 2 mm (Tyler 10 mesh). A split of 1,000 grams was

taken using a riffle splitter and pulverized to better than 85% passing a 75 micron (Tyler 200 mesh) screen (package PREP-31B).

All samples were initially assayed for gold using a conventional fire assay procedure with and inductively coupled plasma – atomic emission spectrometry (ICP-AES) finish on 50-gram sub-samples (package code Au-ICP22). The detection limits of this method are 1 parts per billion (ppb) to 10 grams gold per tonne (g/t Au). Samples containing more than 500 ppb Au are re-assayed using a second 50-gram aliquot by fire assay with an atomic absorption spectroscopy (AAS) finish on (package code Au-AA24). The detection limits of this method are 5 ppb to 10 g/t Au.

Samples containing more than 5.0 g/t gold are re-assayed twice using a fire assay with a gravimetric finish (package code Au-GRA22) with detection limits of 50 ppb to 1,000 g/t gold.

All samples are also analyzed for a suite of 47 trace elements using inductively coupled plasma (ICP) methods. The element suite includes, among others; silver, bismuth, copper, cadmium, cobalt, lead, nickel, zinc, arsenic, antimony, manganese, molybdenum, tellurium, vanadium and barium. Base metal concentrations that exceed detection limits (usually > 1%) and silver are re-analysed via dilution and re-analysed by inductively coupled plasma - mass spectrometry (ICP-MS). Results were corrected for spectral inter-element interference.

4.2 Scientific and Technical Disclosure

Potential quantity and grade is conceptual in nature. There has been insufficient exploration to define a Mineral Resource on Éléonore South property, and it is uncertain if further exploration will result in any such target being delineated as a Mineral Resource.

All scientific and technical information related to the preparation and completion of Technical Reports as prescribed by National Instrument 43-101 under the heading “2015 Mineral Resource Estimate”, and “2017 Mineral Resource Estimate” for the Clearwater Property and “2018 Mineral Resource Estimate” for the Eastmain Property have been prepared by independent Qualified Persons for Eastmain as described in Section 3 and their disclosure are summarized herein by William McGuinty, P. Geo., Eastmain’s VP Exploration, a Qualified Person within the meaning of NI 43-101. All other scientific and technical information contained in this AIF has been prepared by or under the supervision of, and verified by, William McGuinty, P. Geo., Eastmain’s VP Exploration, a Qualified Person within the meaning of NI 43-101.

5.0 DIVIDENDS

Since its incorporation, Eastmain has not paid any cash dividends on its outstanding Common Shares. Any future dividend payment will be made at the discretion of the Board of Directors, and will depend on the Company’s financial needs to fund its exploration programs and its future financial growth as well as other factors that the Board deems necessary to consider under such circumstances.

6.0 CAPITAL STRUCTURE

The Company’s authorized capital stock consists of an unlimited number of Common Shares without par value. As at January 25, 2018, there were 199,125,146 Common Shares issued and outstanding. Each Common Share confers upon the holder the right to one vote at all shareholders’ meetings, to receive all dividends associated with this class of shares as declared by the Company, and upon the dissolution of the Company, the holder is entitled to receive, along with other shareholders, a share of the Company’s assets, proportional to his/her holdings. The company also had 10,760,001 options and 6,899,999 warrants outstanding.

7.0 MARKET FOR THE TRADING OF SHARES

The Common Shares of the Company have been listed on the Toronto Stock Exchange under the symbol “ER” since November 1, 1996. The Common shares of the Company have been listed on the OTCQX under the symbol “EANRF” since June 21, 2017.

Trading Price and Volume

The table below sets forth the high and low values and volume with regard to trading activity of the Common Shares on The Toronto Stock Exchange, presented on a monthly basis for each month during fiscal 2017:

2016	Low (\$)	High (\$)	Volume (#)
November	0.47	0.74	8,578,004
December	0.38	0.56	7,371,563
2017			
January	0.46	0.58	8,685,832
February	0.47	0.64	9,455,287
March	0.45	0.52	6,503,631
April	0.44	0.50	9,887,937
May	0.39	0.49	10,837,954
June	0.30	0.42	12,521,243
July	0.30	0.36	6,576,348
August	0.30	0.36	4,682,577
September	0.30	0.38	13,286,569
October	0.28	0.35	10,849,983

The following table sets forth the security transactions of the Company that are outstanding but not listed or quoted on a marketplace as issued during the most recently completed financial year:

Date	Number/Type of Securities	Exercise Price Per Security
January 2017	740,000 Options ⁽¹⁾	\$0.51
May 2017	250,000 Options ⁽²⁾	\$0.42
September 2017	1,125,000 Options ⁽³⁾	\$0.355

Notes:

(1) These options were issued to executives, employees and certain contractors of the Company. Each option is exercisable at a price of \$0.51 per Common Share until January 2022.

(2) These options were issued to a director of the Company. Each option is exercisable at a price of \$0.42 per Common Share until May 2022.

(3) These options were issued to executives, employees and certain contractors of the Company. Each option is exercisable at a price of \$0.355 per Common Share until September 2022.

8.0 RISK FACTORS

8.1 Exploration and Development

The exploration and development of mineral deposits involves significant risks and while the discovery of an ore body may result in substantial rewards, few properties that are explored are ultimately developed into producing mines. All of the Company’s properties are in the exploration stage; the Company is presently not exploiting any of its properties and its future success will depend on its capacity to generate revenues from an exploited property.

The discovery of mineral deposits depends on a number of factors. Exploration of greenfield terranes using geological and geophysical information requires application of field sampling programs via prospecting, mapping and drilling to identify a volume of mineralization that can be quantified and developed into a mineral resource. Not all exploration programs successfully identify mineral deposits or mineral deposits that merit further exploration due to mineral content or size.

Whether a mineral deposit will be commercially viable depends on factors, which are the particular attributes and location of the deposit, such as size, grade and proximity to infrastructure, as well as metal prices, which are highly cyclical, government regulations including regulations relating to prices, taxes, royalties, land tenure, land use, importing and exporting of minerals and environmental protection. In the event that the Company wishes to commercially exploit one of its properties, the exact effect of any one of these factors cannot be accurately predicted, but the combination of these factors may result in the Company not receiving an adequate return on invested capital. The Company's operations will be subject to all the hazards and risks normally encountered in the exploration and development of mineral deposits. Mining operations generally involve a high degree of risk, including but not limited to, unusual and unexpected geologic formations, possible cave-ins, unexpected labour disputes and changes in commodity prices.

There can be no guarantee that sufficient quantities of minerals will be discovered or that one of the Company's properties will reach the commercial production stage. Few properties that are explored are ultimately developed into producing mines. If the Company discovers profitable mineralization, there is no guarantee the Company will be able to obtain sufficient financial means to bring a pre-producing mine into operation. Considering that the Company has no properties with proven reserves and considering the aforementioned risk factors, it is unlikely that the Company will develop a profitable commercial operation in the near future.

8.2 Regulatory Matters

The Company's mining activities are subject to governmental regulation. These activities can be affected at various levels by governmental regulation governing mineral title, prospecting and development, price control, taxes, labour standards and occupational health, expropriation, mine safety, toxic substances, environmental protection, restrictions on exports and other matters.

Exploration and commercialization are subject to various federal, provincial and local laws and regulations relating to the protection of the environment. These laws impose high standards on the mining industry to monitor the discharge of wastewater and report the results of such monitoring to regulatory authorities, to reduce or eliminate certain effects on or in land, water or air, to progressively rehabilitate mine properties, to manage hazardous wastes and materials and to reduce the risk of worker accidents. A violation of these laws may result in the imposition of substantial fines and other penalties.

8.3 Reliability of Resource Estimates

There is no certainty that any of the mineral resources on the Clearwater Project, Eastmain Mine or any other project with mineral resources will be developed into a mining project. Until a deposit is actually mined and processed, the quantity of mineral resources and grades must be considered as estimates only. In addition, the quantity of mineral resources may vary. Any material change in quantity of mineral resources, grade, stripping ratio or environmental characteristics may affect the economic viability of any project undertaken by the Company. In addition, there can be no assurance that metal values obtained in drilling are fully representative of the deposit or that metal recoveries determined in small-scale laboratory tests will be duplicated in a larger-bulk scale test under on-site conditions or during production.

Fluctuations in gold and base or other precious metals prices, results of drilling, metallurgical testing and production and the evaluation of studies, reports and plans subsequent to the date of any estimate may

require revision of such an estimate. Any material reductions in estimates of mineral resources could have a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations and financial condition.

8.4 No History of Mineral Production

The Company has never had an interest in a mineral property while in production. There is no assurance that commercial quantities of minerals will be discovered at any of the properties of the Company or any future properties, nor is there any assurance that the exploration programs of the Company thereon will yield any positive results. Even if commercial quantities of minerals are discovered, there can be no assurance that any property of the Company will ever be brought to a stage where mineral resources can profitably be produced thereon. Factors which may limit the ability of the Company to produce mineral resources from its properties include, but are not limited to, the price of the mineral commodities which are currently being explored for, availability of additional capital and financing and the technical characteristics of the mineral deposits.

8.5 Permits, Licenses and Approvals

The operations of the Company require licenses and permits from various governmental authorities. The Company believes it holds or is in the process of obtaining all necessary licenses and permits to carry on the activities, which it is currently conducting under applicable laws and regulations. Such licenses and permits are subject to changes in regulations and in various operating circumstances. There can be no guarantee that the Company will be able to obtain all necessary licenses and permits that may be required to maintain its mining activities, construct mines or milling facilities and commence operations of any of its exploration properties. In addition, if the Company proceeds to production on any exploration property, it must obtain and comply with permits and licenses which may contain specific conditions concerning operating procedures, water use, the discharge of various materials into or on land, air or water, waste disposal, spills, environmental studies, abandonment and restoration plans and financial assurances. There can be no assurance that the Company will be able to obtain such permits and licenses or that it will be able to comply with any such conditions.

8.6 Title to Property

Although the Company has taken reasonable measures to ensure proper title to its properties, there is no guarantee that title to any of its properties will not be challenged or disputed. Third parties may have valid claims underlying portions of the Company's interests in its properties.

Eastmain's primary area of operations is in the Province of Quebec. Eastmain's mineral holdings are predominantly held as mining claims which are acquired and managed through an online portal called GESTIM, operated by the Ministère d'énergie et Ressources naturelles ("MERN"). MERN is also the regulator for the Mining Act.

In Quebec, available mining lands are defined as geo-referenced polygons which can be applied for by holders of Quebec prospecting licenses through an online portal. The person identifies the claim ('clicking') and pays the required fee online. In the case of mining claims that are expiring or to be cancelled, these lands are made available for acquisition at a designated future date and time, allowing for all interested parties to become aware when these lands are available. In the case of open lands or re-opened lands, the first person to complete the transaction receives the mineral tenure. Funds to for transactions with MERN such as claim acquisition and renewal may be deposited in advance in a dedicated account with the Ministry.

Once acquired, mineral rights are renewable bi-annually on the anniversary of acquisition. To meet the criteria to be renewed the claimholder must provide evidence that a sufficient value of current and historic exploration work was completed on the claim or nearby claims held by the claimholder or a partner.

Exploration work is submitted in reports to MERN and the value of said work is banked against the claims where the work was performed. Renewals can use banked credits to support the renewal of a claim where the work was performed or for nearby adjacent claims. The claim under renewal must be located within a radius of 4.5 km from the centre of the claim from which the banked work credits will be taken.

Eastmain conducts exploration in work units such as geological mapping, diamond drilling and geophysical surveys to develop our properties and to comply with renewal requirements. To complete these units of work in a manner appropriate to good exploration practices the Company frequently incurs more than the minimum bi-annual work requirements for claim renewal. This gives the Company flexibility to bank and distribute excess work credits and thereby manage distribution of annual exploration budgets among properties over 2 to 4 year periods, expanding the number of acquisitions and properties that can be managed in the Company's portfolio. As a result, exploration on any one or several Eastmain properties may not be budgeted in a given year other than required renewal fees.

Eastmain works diligently to manage its claims; using is banked work credits where possible and within the context of preserving credits for core property claim renewals; avoiding the un-timely loss of banked credits through expiry of claims which hold these credits and; avoiding late filing fees or situations where cash-in-lieu might occur.

The annual planning exercise for the any one of the Company's properties is subject to change during the year based on conditions such as:

- improved exploration funding during the year;
- a transaction whereby the purchaser of an Eastmain property assumes renewal responsibility;
- improved outlook for mineral potential in the vicinity of the property; and
- continued poor performance of commodity prices

These changes may cause the Company to allocate new funds or re-allocate saved funds to other projects, reduce a project's budget or bring about a decision to allow a property or some of its claims to lapse.

As a result of the acquisition of mining claims over a number of years and across the calendar, Eastmain's management of its properties' claims renewal process operates throughout the year. Eastmain employs a land manager to coordinate, renewals and submission of work reports from which work credits used for renewals are obtained. Company geologists work with the land manager to ensure that exploration work is properly located and costs are properly captured.

The Company intends to manage the portfolio annually to address property development objectives while maintaining all properties in good standing.

8.7 Competition

The Company's activities are directed towards the exploration, evaluation and development of mineral deposits. There is no certainty that the expenditures to be made by the Company will result in discoveries of commercial quantities of mineral deposits. There is aggressive competition within the mining industry for the discovery and acquisition of properties considered to have commercial potential. The Company will compete with other interests, many of which have greater financial resources than it will have, for the opportunity to participate in promising projects. Significant capital investment is required to achieve commercial production from successful exploration efforts.

8.8 Additional Funding

Additional funds will be required for future exploration and development. The source of future funds available to the Company is through the sale of additional equity capital or borrowing of funds. There is no assurance that such funding will be available to the Company. Furthermore, even if such financing is successfully completed, there can be no assurance that it will be obtained on terms favourable to the Company or will provide the Company with sufficient funds to meet its objectives, which may adversely affect the Company's business and financial position.

Raising additional funding, could cause a dilution of the value of the investment of the current shareholders of the Company. The recuperation value of mineral resource properties indicated in the balance sheet depends on the discovery of mineralization that can be profitably exploited and on the Company's capacity to obtain additional funds in order to realize these programs.

The Company's exploration activities can therefore be interrupted or suspended at any moment if the Company is incapable of obtaining the necessary funds in order to continue any additional activities that are necessary.

8.9 Dependence on Management

Management of the Company rests with a few key people, in particular the CEO and President, the CFO and the Vice President Exploration as officers. The loss of any could have a detrimental effect on the Company's operations.

Management is overseen and guided by the Board of Directors who are individuals with extensive and varied experience in the minerals sector and working with corporations within the equities markets.

8.10 Conflicts of Interest

Certain directors and officers of the Company also serve as directors and officers of other companies involved in natural resource exploration and development; consequently, there is a possibility that such directors and officers will be in a position of conflict of interest. Any decision made by such directors and officers involving the Company will be made in accordance with their duties and obligations to deal fairly and in good faith with the Company and such other companies. In addition, such directors and officers will declare, and refrain from voting on, any matter in which such directors and officers may have a material conflict of interest.

8.11 Commercialization

The commercialization of minerals depends on a number of factors that are independent from the Company's desire to proceed with said commercialization. These factors include market fluctuations and governmental regulations concerning prices, taxes, fees, authorized production, imports and exports. The exact effect of these factors cannot be accurately evaluated.

8.12 Uninsured Hazards

The Company could be held responsible for certain risks including environmental pollution, cave-ins or other hazards against which a corporation such as Eastmain cannot insure against or which it may elect not to insure, taking into consideration the importance of the premiums or other reasons. The payment of amounts relating to liability of the aforementioned hazards could cause the loss of the Company's assets.

8.13 Land Claims

All of the properties in the Company's portfolio are located within the James Bay region which is the subject to a modern treaty with the Cree Nation. The treaty identifies land use categories across the region and communities of interest within the Cree Nations which will be consulted with during development of mineral projects. At the present time, none of the properties in which the Company has an interest or an option to acquire an interest is the subject of a specific aboriginal land claim. However, no assurance can be provided that such will not be the case in the future.

9.0 DIRECTORS AND OFFICERS

The following table lists the Company's directors, officers and key advisors and certain related information as the date hereof. Each director holds office until the next annual meeting of the Company or until his successor is appointed or elected. As of January, 26 2018, the directors and officers of the Company collectively hold, directly or indirectly, or exercise control or direction over, 4,002,784 Common Shares, representing approximately 2% of the Company's Common Shares issued and outstanding as of such date.

Name and residence	Position with the Company	Director since
Claude Lemasson ⁽⁴⁾ Ontario, Canada	President, Chief Executive Officer and Director	November 2015
Joseph Fazzini Ontario, Canada	Chief Financial Officer and Vice President, Corporate Development	N/A
Laurie Curtis ⁽³⁾ Ontario, Canada	Chairman and Director	September 2015
Michael Hoffman ^(1,2,4) Ontario, Canada	Director	March 2016
Blair Schultz ^(1,2,3) Ontario, Canada	Director	April 2016
Herve Thiboutot ^(2,4) , Quebec, Canada	Director	April 2017
Tamara Brown ^(1,3,4) Ontario, Canada	Director	January 2018

(1) Member of the Audit Committee

(2) Member of the Compensation and Nominating Committee

(3) Member of the Governance Committee

(4) Member of the Technical, Health and Safety Committee

Claude Lemasson, P.Eng, MBA, became a director of Eastmain in 2015 before being appointed President and Chief Executive Officer in April 2016. Throughout his career, Mr. Lemasson has been responsible for the design, construction, implementation, management and supervision of multiple aspects of both open-pit and underground gold mining operations. Claude previously held senior mine development and operational roles with Goldcorp Inc., where as Mine General Manager for the Red Lake Mine, he was largely responsible for production growth to 600,000 ounces per year through the development of the mine's high-grade zone. Later, as Goldcorp Inc.'s General Manager of Projects for Canada and the U.S., Claude was responsible for the management and advancement of the Éléonore Project. Claude served as President, Chief Operating Officer and Director of Guyana Goldfields Inc., where as a key member of the leadership team, he was directly involved in the strategic direction of transitioning from an exploration company to a developer, moving a core asset from an early resource-

estimation stage to the pre-development phase. Claude is also currently a Director of Premier Gold Mines Limited.

Joseph Fazzini, CPA, CA, CFA, was appointed to the role of Chief Financial Officer and Vice-President, Corporate Development in May 2016. Prior to joining Eastmain, Mr. Fazzini worked as a sell-side equity analyst covering global mining entities at Dundee Capital Markets. With an emphasis on precious metals, he modeled, analyzed and advised global institutional investors as well as a variety of mining companies ranging from junior gold explorers to intermediate producers. Through his work as a publishing mining analyst, Mr. Fazzini brings deep relationships across the global capital markets community as well as an in-depth background in financial statement analysis, project finance and investment valuation. Prior to joining Dundee, Mr. Fazzini spent the first half of his career in PwC's Toronto Audit practice, overseeing global audit and advisory engagements. More specifically, his responsibilities entailed key risk assessment via impairment analysis, financial covenant testing and reviewing consolidated audit work with an emphasis on high-risk Canadian and U.S.-listed mining issuers. Mr. Fazzini graduated from the University of Toronto's Rotman School of Management with a Bachelor of Commerce and followed up his education earning both the Chartered Accountant and Chartered Financial Analyst designations. Mr. Fazzini is also currently the Chief Financial Officer of Toachi Mining Inc.

Laurence (Laurie) Curtis, Ph.D., P.Geo, a director of Eastmain since September 2015, held positions of CEO, COO and director of Intrepid Minerals, which transitioned through merger and acquisition to become a gold producer and developer. He was actively involved as director on boards of several junior developers with producing mines on several continents, including Wheaton River Minerals Ltd., High River Gold Mines Ltd., Breakwater Resources and Buryatzoloto. During the past five years Laurie's career shifted into the financial sector where he was Research Analyst Mining for Clarus Securities then subsequently was Vice President, Senior Analyst Global Resources for Dundee Capital Markets. Most recently he has become Technical Advisor, Capital Markets for a number of funds, including the Tribeca Global Resources Fund. Laurie is also currently a Director of Toachi Mining Inc.

Michael (Mike) Hoffman, P.Eng. a director of Eastmain since March 2016, is an experienced mining executive with over 30 years of practice in the mining industry including engineering, mine operations, corporate development, contracting, consulting, projects and construction. He has direct experience in a number of commodities including coal, precious metals, base metals, uranium and potash. Mr. Hoffman started his career in the Canadian mining industry, working his way through engineering and mine operating roles for a number of mines across Canada, including the Arctic. Mr. Hoffman's northern Canadian experience includes operating roles, contracting experience and construction experience in the Yukon, Northwest Territories, Nunavut, northern Manitoba and northern Ontario. In addition to being a director of Eastmain, he is also a director and Chair of Trevali Mining Corporation. He has experience serving on audit committees, sustainability committees and compensation committees. Mr. Hoffman holds a Bachelor of Applied Science, Mining Engineering from Queen's University and is a Professional Engineer in the province of Ontario.

Tamara Brown, a director since January 2018, is a mining industry professional with over 20 years of experience in the mining and financial sectors. She is currently the Vice President of Corporate Development for Primero Mining Corp. and sits on the Board of Superior Gold Inc. Ms. Brown was previously Vice President, Investor Relations for Primero from 2010 to 2015 and Director of Investor Relations for IAMGOLD Corp. from 2009 to 2010. Formerly, Ms. Brown was an investor relations consultant for several junior exploration companies, partner of a boutique investment banking firm and a professional engineer in the mining industry. She graduated with a Bachelor of Engineering degree from Curtin University in Australia and has completed the Chartered Business Valuator course at York University.

Herve Thiboutot, a director since April 2017, has been a major contributor to the co-discovery of more than 20 million ounces of gold during his 34-year career working for major, mid-tier, and junior mining companies. He brings to Eastmain Resources, a wealth of experience in all aspects of exploration from generating, negotiating and managing projects, to corporate, community and governmental relations, and in liaising with project and mine development engineers. He was most recently Senior Vice President at Integra Gold, where he led the team that discovered the multi-million ounce Triangle and No. 4 Plug gold deposits in the heart of the Val-d'Or district. While Alamos Gold's Vice President of Exploration in Mexico and Turkey, he was instrumental in doubling the global gold resources and reserves to more than five million ounces of gold in less than three years. He was also the Project Manager for Goldcorp's Éléonore Mine. He was responsible for overall project management and geological portion of the feasibility study of Goldcorp's Éléonore Project in northern Québec. While at Placer Dome, he held various senior positions including Project Geologist, Project Manager, Country Manager and Exploration Manager; worked on multiple advanced stage projects in diverse countries such as Canada, USA, Tanzania, Niger, Venezuela, and Mexico, amongst others during his 24 years with the company. Mr. Thiboutot is a geological engineer graduating from Laval University, Québec City, QC, and is a member in good standing with the Ordre des Ingénieurs du Québec.

Blair Schultz, a director since April 2016, brings over 17 years of experience in financial, operational, project finance and capital markets experience. From October 2016 to September 2017, Blair became interim President and CEO of Langhaus Financial, a firm that designs customized wealth and capital planning structures and lends capital through debt and private equity for Canada's leading mid-market entrepreneurs. Mr. Schultz currently serves on the Board of Directors for Klondex Mines Ltd. (since June 2012), OK2 Minerals (since August 2016), Ring the Bell Capital Corp (CPC launched February 2018) and formerly for VMS Ventures Inc. (from July 2015 to April 2016). His board duties have included Chairman, Audit Committee Chair, Special Committee Chair and members of Compensation, Governance, Health and Safety and Nomination committees. He served as Chairman of Klondex from June 2012 to September 2014. As Chairman, Mr. Schultz played a vital role in restructuring the Company and later took a temporary role with the executive at Klondex from September 2014 to August 2015. Prior to his time at Klondex, Mr. Schultz spent 13 years from 2001 to 2014 with K2 and Associates Investment Management Inc. He was Vice President and held various positions most notably, Head of Special Situations, Portfolio Management and Trading. Mr. Schultz holds an Honours Bachelor of Mathematics degree from the University of Waterloo with a Business Administration option from Wilfred Laurier University.

9.1.1 Conflicts of Interest

To the knowledge of the Company as of January 29, 2018, no material existing or potential conflicts of interest exist between the Company and any of its officers or directors other than as set forth below or as otherwise set out in this Annual Information Form.

In connection with the foregoing, any decisions made by such directors and officers who may be in a position of conflict involving the Company have been and will be made in accordance with their duties and obligations to deal fairly and in good faith with the Company and any other applicable companies. In addition, such directors and officers have declared and refrained from voting on any matter in which such directors and officers may have a material conflict of interest involving the Company. See "Risk Factors – Conflicts of Interest".

9.2 Audit Committee

9.2.1 The Audit Committee Charter

A copy of the Audit Committee Charter is attached to this Annual Information Form as Schedule A.

9.2.2 Composition of the Audit Committee

The members of the Audit Committee are Blair Schultz (Chair), Tamara Brown and Mike Hoffman. The members of the Audit Committee are financially literate and independent within the meaning of applicable securities laws.

9.2.3 Relevant Education Experience and Pre-Approval Policies / Procedures

Mr. Blair Schultz, Chair of the Audit Committee, has operated, managed and directed numerous companies requiring him to oversee the financial reporting functions. Blair currently serves on the Board of Directors for Klondex Mines Ltd. (since June 2012) and previously served as Chairman of Klondex Mines from June 2012 to September 2014. Since 2015, Mr. Schultz is a director and chair of the audit committee for VMS Ventures. Mr. Schultz previously spent 13 years in the financial sector as Vice-President of K2 and Associates Investments where he conducted financial statement analysis, investment analysis and portfolio management.

Ms. Tamara Brown has managed numerous companies requiring her to oversee corporate and financial reporting functions, thereby providing her with relevant experience to be a member of the Audit Committee. Ms. Brown has extensive experience in the financial sector given her previous role as partner in a boutique investing banking firm and her director roles within the global mining sector.

Mr. Mike Hoffman has held Director, senior management and advisory positions at various publicly-listed Canadian mineral exploration and development companies. Through his various roles, including Audit Committee positions, Mr. Hoffman has been actively involved in quarterly and annual financial preparation and reporting for numerous companies across the global mining sector.

As set out in Schedule A of the Company's Audit Committee charter, the Company shall not engage the Company's external auditors to perform certain non-audit tasks. Additionally, in the event that the Company wishes to retain the services of the Company's external auditors for tax compliance, tax advice or tax planning, the Chief Financial Officer of the Company shall consult with the Chair of the Audit Committee, who shall have the authority to approve or disapprove on behalf of the Audit Committee, such non-audit services. All other non-audit services shall be approved or disapproved by the Audit Committee as a whole. The Chief Financial Officer of the Company shall maintain a record of non-audit services approved by the Chair of the Audit Committee or the Audit Committee for each fiscal year and provide a report to the Audit Committee no less frequently than on a quarterly basis.

9.2.4 Audit Fees

The following table sets forth the fees paid to Stern & Lovrics LLP, Chartered Accountants, the Company's external auditors for services rendered for fiscal 2016 and fiscal 2017.

Services	2016	2017
Audit fees	\$23,000	\$23,000
Audit-related fees	\$0	\$0
Tax fees	\$2,500	\$2,500
All other fees	\$0	\$0
TOTAL	\$25,500	\$25,500

10.0 LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The Company is subject to legal proceedings and claims which arise in the ordinary course of business including matters related to contracts, taxes, employment and workers' compensation claims and other matters. A claim has been filed against the Company whereby in the opinion of management, the amount of ultimate liability with respect to this action will not materially affect the financial position of the Company and no provision has been made in respect of this action.

11.0 INTEREST OF MANAGEMENT AND OTHERS IN MATERIAL TRANSACTIONS

As of January 29, 2018, no director, executive officer or significant shareholder of the Company, or any associate or affiliate thereof, has had any material interest, direct or indirect, in any transaction within the three most recently completed financial years or during the current financial year of the Company that has materially affected or that is reasonably expected to materially affect the Company.

11.1 Registrar and Transfer Agent

The Company has retained the services of TSX Trust Company as its registrar and transfer agent. The TSX Trust Company is located at 200 University Avenue, Suite 300, Toronto, Ontario, Canada M5H 4H1.

11.2 Material Contracts

The Company did not enter into any material contracts during the fiscal year ended October 31, 2017 (or prior thereto which contracts are still in effect), other than in the normal course of business.

12.0 EXPERTS

12.1.1 Names of Experts

Certain information of an economic (including economic analysis), scientific or technical nature in respect of the Company's mineral projects and properties, as well as financial information, all as contained or referenced in a filing made under National Instrument 51-102 during or related to the Company's most recently completed financial year has been based upon information prepared or certified by the following:

- 1) Stern & Lovrics LLP (regarding the financial statements for fiscal 2015, 2016 and 2017 and the auditor's report thereon); and
- 2) Mr. Allan Armitage, Ph.D, P.Geo, and Sabry Abdel Hafez, Ph.D, P.Eng, (regarding the Eau Claire Mineral Resource estimate).
- 3) Mr. Eugene Puritch, P.Eng, FEC, CET (regarding the Eastmain Mine Mineral Resource Estimate)

12.1.2 Interests of Experts

Stern & Lovrics has advised the Company that it is independent within the meaning of the Rules of Professional Conduct of the Chartered Professional Accountants of Ontario.

Mr. Allan Armitage, Dr. Sabry Abdel Hafez and Mr. Eugene Puritch have advised the Company that at no relevant time were they the registered and/or beneficial owners, directly or indirectly, of Common Shares of the Company.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Additional information, including directors and officers' remuneration and indebtedness, principal holders of the Company's securities and options to purchase securities, where applicable, is set forth in the Company's Management Information Circular dated March 16, 2017 for the Annual and Special General Meeting of Shareholders held on April 27, 2017. Additional financial information can be found in the Company's comparative audited consolidated financial statements and management's discussion and analysis for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2017. Additional information relating to the Company and its activities may also be found on the SEDAR website at www.sedar.com.

“SCHEDULE A”

EASTMAIN RESOURCES INC.

Charter of the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors

1. PURPOSE OF THIS CHARTER

The Audit Committee (the “**Committee**”) is appointed by the Board of Directors (the “**Board**”) of Eastmain Resources Inc. (the “**Corporation**”) to assist the Board in fulfilling its oversight responsibilities relating to financial accounting and reporting process and internal controls for the Corporation. The Committee’s primary duties and responsibilities are to:

- a) conduct such reviews and discussions with management and the external auditors relating to the audit and financial reporting as are deemed appropriate by the Committee;
- b) assess the integrity of internal controls and financial reporting procedures of the Corporation and ensure implementation of such controls and procedures;
- c) ensure that there is an appropriate standard of corporate conduct for senior financial personnel and employees including, if necessary, adopting a corporate code of ethics;
- d) review the quarterly and annual financial statements and management’s discussion and analysis of the Corporation's financial position and operating results and, in the case of the annual financial statements and related management’s discussion and analysis, report thereon to the Board for approval of same;
- e) select and monitor the independence and performance of the Corporation’s external auditors, attending private meetings with the external auditors, and reviewing and approving all renewals or dismissals of the external auditors and their remuneration; and
- f) provide oversight of all disclosure relating to, and information derived from, financial statements, management’s discussion and analysis and other information.

The Committee has the authority to conduct any investigation appropriate to its responsibilities, and it may request the external auditors, as well as any officer of the Corporation, or outside counsel for the Corporation, to attend a meeting of the Committee or to meet with any members of, or advisors to, the Committee. The Committee shall have unrestricted access to the books and records of the Corporation and has the authority to retain, at the expense of the Corporation, special legal, accounting, or other consultants or experts to assist in the performance of the Committee’s duties.

The Committee shall review and assess the adequacy of this Charter annually and submit any proposed revisions to the Board for approval.

In fulfilling its responsibilities, the Committee will carry out the specific duties set out in Part 4 of this Charter.

2. AUTHORITY OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE

The Committee shall have the authority to:

- (a) engage independent counsel and other advisors as it determines necessary to carry out its duties;
- (b) set and pay the compensation for advisors employed by the Committee; and
- (c) communicate directly with the internal and external auditors.

3. COMPOSITION AND MEETINGS

The Committee and its membership shall meet all applicable legal, regulatory and listing requirements, including, without limitation, those of the Ontario Securities Commission (“OSC”), the Toronto Stock Exchange, the *Business Corporations Act* (Ontario) and all applicable securities regulatory authorities.

- a) The Committee shall be composed of three or more directors as shall be designated by the Board from time to time. The members of the Committee shall appoint from amongst themselves a member who shall serve as Chair. The position, description and responsibilities of the Chair are set out in Schedule “A” attached hereto.
- b) Each member of the Committee shall be “independent” and “financially literate”. An “independent” director is a director who has no direct or indirect material relationship with the Corporation. A “material relationship” is a relationship which, in the view of the Board of Directors of the Corporation, could be reasonably expected to interfere with the exercise of the director’s independent judgement or a relationship deemed to be a material relationship pursuant to Sections 1.4 and 1.5 of NI 52-110, as set out in Schedule “B” hereto. A “financially literate” director is a director who has the ability to read and understand a set of financial instruments that present a breadth and level of complexity of accounting issues that are generally comparable to the breadth and complexity of the accounting issues that can be reasonably expected to be raised in the Corporation’s financial statements.
- c) Each member of the Committee shall sit at the appointment of the Board of Directors, and in any event, only so long as he or she shall be independent. The Committee shall report to the Board of Directors.
- d) The Committee shall meet at least quarterly, at the discretion of the Chair or a majority of its members, as circumstances dictate or as may be required by applicable legal or listing requirements. A minimum of two and at least 50% of the members of the Committee present, either in person or by telephone, shall constitute a quorum.
- e) If within one hour of the time appointed for a meeting of the Committee, a quorum is not present, the meeting shall stand adjourned to the same hour on the next business day following the date of such meeting at the same place. If at the adjourned meeting a quorum as hereinbefore specified is not present within one hour of the time appointed for such adjourned meeting, such meeting shall stand adjourned to the same hour on the second business day following the date of such meeting at the same place. If at the second adjourned meeting a quorum as hereinbefore specified is not present, the quorum for the adjourned meeting shall consist of the members then present.
- f) If and whenever a vacancy shall exist, the remaining members of the Committee may exercise all of its powers and responsibilities so long as a quorum remains in office.

- g) The time and place at which meetings of the Committee shall be held, and procedures at such meetings, shall be determined from time to time by the Committee. A meeting of the Committee may be called by letter, telephone, facsimile, email or other communication equipment, by giving at least 48 hours' notice, provided that no notice of a meeting shall be necessary if all of the members are present either in person or by means of conference telephone or if those absent have waived notice or otherwise signified their consent to the holding of such meeting.
- h) Any member of the Committee may participate in the meeting of the Committee by means of conference telephone or other communication equipment, and the member participating in a meeting pursuant to this paragraph shall be deemed, for purposes hereof, to be present in person at the meeting.
- i) The Committee shall keep minutes of its meetings which shall be submitted to the Board. The Committee may, from time to time, appoint any person who need not be a member, to act as a secretary at any meeting.
- j) The Committee may invite such officers, directors and employees of the Corporation and its subsidiaries as the Committee may see fit, from time to time, to attend at meetings of the Committee.
- k) Any matters to be determined by the Committee shall be decided by a majority of votes cast at a meeting of the Committee called for such purpose. Actions of the Committee may be taken by an instrument or instruments in writing signed by all of the members of the Committee, and such actions shall be effective as though they had been decided by a majority of votes cast at a meeting of the Committee called for such purpose. The Committee shall report its determinations to the Board at the next scheduled meeting of the Board, or earlier as the Committee deems necessary. All decisions or recommendations of the Committee shall require the approval of the Board prior to implementation, other than those relating to non-audit services and annual audit fees which do not require the approval of the Board.
- l) The Committee members will be elected annually at the first meeting of the Board following the annual general meeting of shareholders.
- m) The Board may at any time amend or rescind any of the provisions hereof, or cancel them entirely, with or without substitution.

4. RESPONSIBILITIES

a) Financial Accounting and Reporting Process and Internal Controls

1. The Committee shall review the annual audited and interim non-audited financial statements and related management's discussion and analysis before the Corporation publicly discloses this information to satisfy itself that the financial statements are presented in accordance with applicable accounting principles. In the case of the annual audited financial statements and related management's discussion and analysis, report thereon and recommend to the Board whether or not same should be approved prior to their being filed with the appropriate regulatory authorities. With respect to the annual audited financial statements, the Committee shall discuss significant issues regarding accounting principles, practices, and judgements of management with management and the external auditors, as and when the Committee deems it appropriate to do so. The Committee shall satisfy itself that the information contained in the annual audited financial statements is not significantly erroneous, misleading or incomplete and that the audit function has been effectively carried out.
2. The Committee shall review any internal control reports prepared by management and the evaluation of such report by the external auditors, together with management's response.
3. The Committee shall be satisfied that adequate procedures are in place for the review of the Corporation's public disclosure of financial information extracted or derived from the Corporation's financial statements, management's discussion and analysis and annual and interim earnings press releases, and periodically assess the adequacy of these procedures.
4. The Committee shall review any press releases containing disclosure regarding financial information that are required to be reviewed by the Committee under any applicable laws or by one of the other Charters before the Corporation publicly discloses this information.
5. The Committee shall meet no less than annually with the external auditors and the Chief Financial Officer or, in the absence of a Chief Financial Officer, with the officer of the Corporation in charge of financial matters, to review accounting practices, internal controls and such other matters as the Committee, Chief Financial Officer or, in the absence of a Chief Financial Officer, the officer of the Corporation in charge of financial matters, deem appropriate.
6. The Committee shall inquire of management and the external auditors about significant risks or exposures, both internal and external, to which the Corporation may be subject, and assess the steps management has taken to minimize such risks.
7. The Committee shall review the post-audit or management letter containing the recommendations of the external auditors and management's response and subsequent follow-up to any identified weaknesses.
8. The Committee shall ensure that there is an appropriate standard of corporate conduct including, if necessary, adopting a corporate code of ethics for senior financial personnel and all employees.
9. The Committee shall follow procedures established as set out in Schedule "C" attached hereto, for:

- i. the receipt, retention and treatment of complaints received by the Corporation regarding accounting, internal accounting controls or auditing matters; and
 - ii. the confidential, anonymous submission by employees of the Corporation of concerns regarding questionable accounting or auditing matters.
10. The Committee shall provide oversight to related party transactions entered into by the Corporation.
11. The Committee shall establish the budget process, which shall include the setting of spending limits and authorizations, as well as periodic reports from the Chief Financial Officer comparing actual spending to the budget.
12. The Committee shall have the authority to adopt such policies and procedures as it deems appropriate to operate effectively.

b) Independent Auditors

1. The Committee shall recommend to the Board the external auditors to be nominated for the purpose of preparing or issuing an auditors' report or performing other audit, review or attest services for the Corporation, shall set the compensation for the external auditors, provide oversight of the external auditors and shall ensure that the external auditors' report directly to the Committee.
2. The Committee shall be directly responsible for overseeing the work of the external auditors, including the resolution of disagreements between management and the external auditors regarding financial reporting.
3. The pre-approval of the Committee shall be required as further set out in Schedule "D" prior to the undertaking of any non-audit services not prohibited by law to be provided by the external auditors in accordance with this Charter.
4. The Committee shall monitor and assess the relationship between management and the external auditors and monitor, support and assure the independence and objectivity of the external auditors.
5. The Committee shall review the external auditors' audit plan, including the scope, procedures and timing of the audit.
6. The Committee shall review the results of the annual audit with the external auditors, including matters related to the conduct of the audit.
7. The Committee shall obtain timely reports from the external auditors describing critical accounting policies and practices, alternative treatments of information within IFRS that were discussed with management, their ramifications, and the external auditors' preferred treatment and material written communications between the Corporation and the external auditors.
8. The Committee shall review fees paid by the Corporation to the external auditors and other professionals in respect of audit and non-audit services on an annual basis.

9. The Committee shall review and approve the Corporation's hiring policies regarding partners, employees and former partners and employees of the present and former auditors of the Corporation.
10. The Committee shall monitor and assess the relationship between management and the external auditors and monitor and support the independence and objectivity of the external auditors.
11. The Committee shall have the authority to engage the external auditors to perform a review of the interim financial statements.

c) Other Responsibilities

The Committee shall perform any other activities consistent with this Charter and governing law, as the Committee or the Board deems necessary or appropriate.

SCHEDULE “B”

EASTMAIN RESOURCES INC.

Position Description for the Chairman of the Audit Committee

I. Purpose

The Chairman of the Audit Committee of the Board shall be an independent director who is elected by the Board to act as the leader of the Committee in assisting the Board in fulfilling its financial reporting and control responsibilities to the shareholders of the Corporation.

II. Who may be Chairman

The Chairman will be selected from amongst the independent directors of the Corporation who have a sufficient level of financial sophistication and experience in dealing with financial issues to ensure the leadership and effectiveness of the Committee.

The Chairman will be selected annually at the first meeting of the Board following the annual general meeting of shareholders.

III. Responsibilities

The following are the primary responsibilities of the Chairman:

- chairing all meetings of the Committee in a manner that promotes meaningful discussion;
- ensuring adherence to the Committee’s Charter and that the adequacy of the Committee’s Charter is reviewed annually;
- providing leadership to the Committee to enhance the Committee’s effectiveness, including:
 - providing the information to the Board relative to the Committee’s issues and initiatives and reviewing and submitting to the Board an appraisal of the Corporation’s independent auditors and internal auditing functions;
 - ensuring that the Committee works as a cohesive team with open communication, as well as ensuring open lines of communication among the independent auditors, financial and senior management and the Board of Directors for financial and control matters;
 - ensuring that the resources available to the Committee are adequate to support its work and to resolve issues in a timely manner;
 - ensuring that the Committee serves as an independent and objective party to monitor the Corporation’s financial reporting process and internal control systems, as well as to monitor the relationship between the Corporation and the independent auditors to ensure independence;
 - ensuring that procedures are in place to assess the audit activities of the independent auditors and the internal audit functions;

- ensuring that procedures are in place to review the Corporation's public disclosure of financial information and assess the adequacy of such procedures periodically, in consultation with the Disclosure Committee;
 - ensuring that clear hiring policies are put in place for partners and employees of the auditors; and
 - ensuring that procedures are in place for dealing with complaints received by the Corporation regarding accounting, internal controls and auditing matters, and for employees to submit confidential anonymous concerns regarding questionable accounting or auditing matters.
- managing the Committee, including:
 - adopting procedures to ensure that the Committee can conduct its work effectively and efficiently, including committee structure and composition, scheduling, and management of meetings;
 - preparing the agenda of the Committee meetings and ensuring pre-meeting material is distributed in a timely manner and is appropriate in terms of relevance, efficient format and detail;
 - ensuring meetings are appropriate in terms of frequency, length and content;
 - obtaining and reviewing with the Committee an annual report from the independent auditors, and arranging meetings with the auditors and financial management to review the scope of the proposed audit for the current year, its staffing and the audit procedures to be used;
 - overseeing the Committee's participation in the Corporation's accounting and financial reporting process and the audits of its financial statements;
 - ensuring that the auditor's report directly to the Committee, as representatives of the Corporation's shareholders; and
 - annually reviewing with the Committee its own performance.

SCHEDULE “C”**EASTMAIN RESOURCES INC.****National Instrument 52-110 *Audit Committees* (“NI 52-110”)****Section 1.4 - Meaning of Independence**

- (1) An audit committee member is independent if he or she has no direct or indirect material relationship with the issuer.
- (2) For the purposes of subsection (1), a “material relationship” is a relationship which could, in the view of the issuer’s board of directors, be reasonably expected to interfere with the exercise of a member’s independent judgement.
- (3) Despite subsection (2), the following individuals are considered to have a material relationship with an issuer:
 - (a) an individual who is, or has been within the last three years, an employee or executive officer of the issuer;
 - (b) an individual whose immediate family member is, or has been within the last three years, an executive officer of the issuer;
 - (c) an individual who:
 - (i) is a partner of a firm that is the issuer’s internal or external auditor,
 - (ii) is an employee of that firm, or
 - (iii) was within the last three years a partner or employee of that firm and personally worked on the issuer's audit within that time;
 - (d) an individual whose spouse, minor child or stepchild, or child or stepchild who shares a home with the individual:
 - (i) is a partner of a firm that is the issuer’s internal or external auditor,
 - (ii) is an employee of that firm and participates in its audit, assurance or tax compliance (but not tax planning) practice, or
 - (iii) was within the last three years a partner or employee of that firm and personally worked on the issuer’s audit within that time;
 - (e) an individual who, or whose immediate family member, is or has been within the last three years, an executive officer of an entity if any of the issuer’s current executive officers serves or served at that same time on the entity’s compensation committee; and
 - (f) an individual who received, or whose immediate family member who is employed as an executive officer of the issuer received, more than \$75,000 in direct compensation from the issuer during any 12 month period within the last three years.

- (4) Despite subsection (3), an individual will not be considered to have a material relationship with the issuer solely because
- (a) he or she had a relationship identified in subsection (3) if that relationship ended before March 30, 2004; or
 - (b) he or she had a relationship identified in subsection (3) by virtue of subsection (8) if that relationship ended before June 30, 2005.
- (5) For the purposes of clauses (3)(c) and (3)(d), a partner does not include a fixed income partner whose interest in the firm that is the internal or external auditor is limited to the receipt of fixed amounts of compensation (including deferred compensation) for prior service with that firm if the compensation is not contingent in any way on continued service.
- (6) For the purposes of clause (3)(f), direct compensation does not include:
- (a) remuneration for acting as a member of the board of directors or of any board committee of the issuer; and
 - (b) the receipt of fixed amounts of compensation under a retirement plan (including deferred compensation) for prior service with the issuer if the compensation is not contingent in any way on continued service.
- (7) Despite subsection (3), an individual will not be considered to have a material relationship with the issuer solely because the individual or his or her immediate family member
- (a) has previously acted as an interim chief executive officer of the issuer, or
 - (b) acts, or has previously acted, as a chair or vice-chair of the board of directors or of any board committee of the issuer on a part-time basis.
- (8) For the purpose of section 1.4, an issuer includes a subsidiary entity of the issuer and a parent of the issuer.

Section 1.5 - Additional Independence Requirements for Audit Committee Members

- (1) Despite any determination made under section 1.4 of NI 52-110, an individual who
- (a) accepts, directly or indirectly, any consulting, advisory or other compensatory fee from the issuer or any subsidiary entity of the issuer, other than as remuneration for acting in his or her capacity as a member of the board of directors or any board committee, or as a part-time chair or vice-chair of the board or any board committee; or
 - (b) is an affiliated entity of the issuer or any of its subsidiary entities,
- is considered to have a material relationship with the issuer.
- (2) For the purposes of subsection (1), the indirect acceptance by an individual of any consulting, advisory or other compensatory fee includes acceptance of a fee by

- (a) an individual's spouse, minor child or stepchild, or a child or stepchild who shares the individual's home; or
 - (b) an entity in which such individual is a partner, member, an officer such as a managing director occupying a comparable position or executive officer, or occupies a similar position (except limited partners, non-managing members and those occupying similar positions who, in each case, have no active role in providing services to the entity) and which provides accounting, consulting, legal, investment banking or financial advisory services to the issuer or any subsidiary entity of the issuer.
- (3) For the purposes of subsection (1), compensatory fees do not include the receipt of fixed amounts of compensation under a retirement plan (including deferred compensation) for prior service with the issuer if the compensation is not contingent in any way on continued service.

SCHEDULE “D”

EASTMAIN RESOURCES INC.

Procedures for Receipt of Complaints and Submissions

Relating to Accounting Matters

1. The Corporation shall inform employees on the Corporation’s intranet, if there is one, or via a newsletter or e-mail that is disseminated to all employees at least annually, of the officer (the “**Complaints Officer**”) designated from time to time by the Committee to whom complaints and submissions can be made regarding accounting, internal accounting controls or auditing matters or issues of concern regarding questionable accounting or auditing matters. If no officer is designated by the Corporation, the Chairman of the Audit Committee shall be designated the Complaints Officer.
2. The Complaints Officer shall be informed that any complaints or submissions so received must be kept confidential and that the identity of employees making complaints or submissions shall be kept confidential and shall only be communicated to the Committee or the Chair of the Committee.
3. The Complaints Officer shall be informed that he or she must report to the Committee as frequently as such Complaints Officer deems appropriate, but in any event no less frequently than on a quarterly basis prior to the quarterly meeting of the Committee called to approve interim and annual financial statements of the Corporation.
4. Upon receipt of a report from the Complaints Officer, the Committee shall discuss the report and take such steps as the Committee may deem appropriate.
5. The Complaints Officer shall retain a record of a complaint or submission received for a period of six years following resolution of the complaint or submission.

SCHEDULE “E”

EASTMAIN RESOURCES INC.

Procedures for Approval of Non-Audit Services

1. The Corporation’s external auditors shall be prohibited from performing for the Corporation the following categories of non-audit services:
 - (1) bookkeeping or other services related to the Corporation’s accounting records or financial statements;
 - (2) appraisal or valuation services, fairness opinion or contributions-in-kind reports;
 - (3) actuarial services;
 - (4) internal audit outsourcing services;
 - (5) management functions;
 - (6) human resources;
 - (7) broker or dealer, investment adviser or investment banking services;
 - (8) legal services; and
 - (9) any other service that the Canadian Public Accountability Board or International Accounting Standards Board or other analogous board which may govern the Corporation’s accounting standards, from time to time determines is impermissible.
2. In the event that the Corporation wishes to retain the services of the Corporation’s external auditors for tax compliance, tax advice or tax planning, the Chief Financial Officer of the Corporation shall consult with the Chair of the Committee, who shall have the authority to approve or disapprove on behalf of the Committee, such non-audit services. All other non-audit services shall be approved or disapproved by the Committee as a whole.
3. The Chief Financial Officer of the Corporation shall maintain a record of non-audit services approved by the Chair of the Committee or the Committee for each fiscal year and provide a report to the Committee no less frequently than on a quarterly basis.