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General

The following management’s discussion and analysis (“MD&A”) of the financial condition and results of the operations of Eastmain Resources Inc. (“Eastmain”, the “Company”, “our” or “we”) constitutes management’s review of the factors that affected the Company’s consolidated financial and operating performance for the year ended October 31, 2017. This MD&A has been prepared in compliance with the requirements of National Instrument 51-102 – Continuous Disclosure Obligations. This discussion should be read in conjunction with the Company’s audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended October 31, 2017 together with the notes thereto, which were prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards (“IFRS”). All amounts in the consolidated financial statements and this discussion are expressed in Canadian dollars, unless otherwise stated. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (which consist only of normal recurring adjustments) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. The results for the periods presented are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for any future period. Information contained herein is presented as at January 18, 2018, unless otherwise indicated.

For the purposes of preparing this MD&A, management, in conjunction with the Board of Directors, considers the materiality of information. Information is considered material if: (i) such information results in, or would reasonably be expected to result in, a significant change in the market price or value of Eastmain common shares; (ii) there is a substantial likelihood that a reasonable investor would consider it important in making an investment decision; (iii) it would significantly alter the total mix of information available to investors. Management, in conjunction with the Board of Directors, evaluates materiality with reference to all relevant circumstances, including potential market sensitivity.


All statements, other than historical facts, included herein, including without limitation, statements regarding potential mineralization, resources, exploration results, the ability of the Company to continue as a going concern, and future plans and objectives of the Company are forward-looking statements and involve various risks and uncertainties. There can be no assurance that such statements will prove to be accurate, and actual results and future events could differ materially from those anticipated. Factors which may cause actual results and events to differ materially from those anticipated include, but are not limited to: actual results of current mineral exploration and development; availability of financing; changes in applicable regulations; mineral value; equity market fluctuations; and cost and supply of materials. Other risk factors may include: general business, economic, competitive, political and social uncertainties; reliability of resource estimates; actual results of reclamation activities; conclusions of economic evaluations; fluctuations in the value of Canadian and United States dollars relative to each other; changes in project parameters as plans continue to be refined; changes in labour costs or other costs of production; future prices of gold and other metal prices; possible variations of mineral grade or recovery rates; failure of plant, equipment or processes to operate as anticipated; accidents, labour disputes and other risks of the mining industry, including but not limited to environmental hazards, cave-ins, pit-wall failures, flooding, rock bursts and other acts of God or unfavourable operating conditions and losses; political instability, insurrection or war; delays in obtaining governmental approvals or financing or in the completion of development or construction activities; and the factors discussed in the section entitled “Risk Factors” of the Annual Information Form filed under the Company’s profile on www.sedar.com, as well as “Risks and Uncertainties” below. See “Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements” below.

This MD&A is dated January 18, 2018.

Company Overview

The Company, incorporated under the laws of Ontario, and its wholly-owned subsidiary, Eastmain Mines Inc. are engaged in the exploration of metallic mineral resource properties within Canada. The Company’s primary focus is exploration for precious metals in the Eastmain/Opinaca areas of James Bay, Québec, a relatively under-explored region that comprises several Archean greenstone belts – rock assemblages responsible for production of most of the world’s historic gold supplies. The James Bay region is one of North America’s newest gold districts. Here, the Company holds a 100% interest in two high-grade gold
deposits – Eau Claire and the Eastmain Mine deposit – and holds approximately a 36.72% interest in Éléonore South, a mineral exploration joint venture, located immediately south of Les Mines Opinaca Ltée’s, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Goldcorp Inc. (“Goldcorp”), Éléonore gold mine. The Company also holds several prospective exploration properties covering approximately 1,300 km² of this new and prospective mining district.

**Selected Annual Financial Information**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Year ended October 31, 2017 ($)</th>
<th>Year ended October 31, 2016 ($)</th>
<th>Year ended October 31, 2015 ($)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Revenues</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>275,679</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest and other income</td>
<td>275,679</td>
<td>68,070</td>
<td>162,824</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net loss</td>
<td>(1,605,177)</td>
<td>(6,341,613)</td>
<td>(853,496)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net loss per share - basic</td>
<td>(0.01)</td>
<td>(0.04)</td>
<td>(0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net loss per share - diluted</td>
<td>(0.01)</td>
<td>(0.04)</td>
<td>(0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total assets</td>
<td>84,388,262</td>
<td>78,194,631</td>
<td>62,407,163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total non-current financial liabilities</td>
<td>6,735,115</td>
<td>3,814,739</td>
<td>4,761,126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distribution or cash dividends</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>nil</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- The net loss for the year ended October 31, 2017, consisted primarily of general and administrative expenses of $3,322,666, impairment of exploration and evaluation assets of $132,482, unrealized loss on marketable securities of $43,029 and deferred income tax expense of $2,920,376. This was offset by interest and other income of $275,679 and premium on flow-through shares of $4,537,697.

- The net loss for the year ended October 31, 2016, consisted primarily of general and administrative expenses of $5,428,403, impairment of exploration and evaluation assets of $2,476,563 and realized loss of $82,570. This was offset by interest and other income of $68,070, unrealized gain on marketable securities of $481,866, premium on flow-through shares of $149,600 and deferred income tax recovery of $946,387.

- The net loss for the year ended October 31, 2015, consisted primarily of general and administrative expenses of $1,136,950, impairment of exploration and evaluation assets of $133,239, realized loss of $61,904, unrealized loss on marketable securities of $248,089 and deferred income tax expense of $763,302. This was offset by interest and other income of $162,824 and premium on flow-through shares of $1,327,164.

**Corporate Highlights – Year Ended October 31, 2017**

**General**

- Completed a 75,166 m drilling program at the Clearwater Project. The majority of this work focused on resource definition and infill drilling at the Eau Claire deposit in support of a Mineral Resource Update.

- On September 11th, 2017, Eastmain announced an updated Mineral Resource Estimate for the Eau Claire gold deposit (the “2017 Resource Estimate”), located on its 100%-owned Clearwater Project. The 2017 Resource Estimate describes (i) a measured and indicated (“M&I”) Mineral Resources of 4.17 Mt at an average grade of 6.16 g/t Au containing 826,000 ounces of gold, and (ii) an additional 2.23 Mt at an average grade of 6.49 g/t Au classified as Inferred Mineral Resources, containing
465,000 ounces of gold\(^1\). The Company notes the new resource reflected significant refinements to geological interpretation and stricter parameters compared to past estimations. While the new parameters removed marginal ounces, the new resource reflects significantly increased grade in both the open pit and underground portions of the deposit.

- Since September 2017, drilling has continued at Eau Claire and results will be incorporated into an updated Mineral Resource Estimate to be issued in conjunction with a Preliminary Economic Assessment (PEA) expected in calendar Q2/18.

- Completed 11,788 m of drilling at the Eastmain Mine Project in the fiscal year; announced a discovery at the Julien target and completed a twin hole campaign at Eastmain Mine Gold Deposit in support of a new Mineral Resource estimate. Completed an Induced Polarization survey in a large area around Julien Target and two other target areas. The company initiated review work on the conversion of the historic mineral resource to a new Mineral Resource Estimate in accordance with National Instrument 43-101 (“NI 43-101”), completing this work in December 2017.

- After completing its review work and drilling additional holes to verify historical data in 2017, Eastmain announced a new Mineral Resource Estimate at Eastmain Mine deposit in January 2018. The 2018 Mineral Resource Estimate describes (i) an Indicated Mineral Resource of 0.9 Mt at an average grade of 8.2 g/t Au containing 236,500 ounces of gold and (ii) an 0.57 Mt at an average grade of 7.5 g/t Au for 139,300 gold ounces classified as Inferred Mineral Resources.

- Completed a 5,000 m drill program at the Eleonore South Joint Venture; approved and initiated a new 8,000 m drilling program for calendar H2/17-H2/18. Based on a variety of exploration initiatives including geophysical analysis, surface sampling, mapping, extensive stripping and drilling, the ES JV partners announced the discovery of a large gold system containing high-grade veins in October 2017. Drilling will continue on the Moni Trend and the area surrounding the discovery in Q1/18.

**Corporate Affairs & Finance**

- On January 2, 2017, 740,000 share purchase options with an exercise price of $0.51 and expiry date of January 2, 2022, were issued to certain executives, employees and contractors of the Company. One-third of the options vest immediately, one-third vest on the first anniversary and one-third on the second anniversary.

- The Company held its Annual General Meeting on April 27, 2017. Shareholders voted in favour of existing directors and the appointment of new director, Herve Thiboutot, at the time SVP Exploration at Integra Gold Corp, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Eldorado Gold Corporation as of July 10, 2017. In conjunction with his new role, Mr. Thiboutot was granted 250,000 options on May 15, 2017 with an exercise price of $0.42, an expiry date of May 15, 2022 and are subject to standard vesting provisions.

- On June 8, 2017, the Company completed a private placement with a syndicate of underwriters led by Cormark Securities Inc., pursuant to which the underwriters purchased 10,000,000 common shares at a price of $0.40 per common shares and 7,582,000 flow-through common shares at a price of $0.68. The bought deal, on a private placement basis, raised aggregate gross proceeds to the Company of approximately $9.15 million.

---

\(^1\) Mineral resources are not mineral reserves and as such have not demonstrated economic viability. Mineral resources are only a preliminary estimation, through exploration and sampling, of a concentration of material of intrinsic economic interest, which has been identified in such form, grade, quality and quantity that may have reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction. Mineral resources are the first step in the process to establishing potential economic viability. Both the quality and quantity of mineral resources may subsequently be re-defined and re-estimated, through additional consideration and the application of several de-risking modifying factors during preliminary economic assessment, to potentially mineable mineral resources.
• On June 21, 2017, the Company began trading on the OTCQX under the symbol EANRF.

• During the year ended October 31, 2017, the Company granted 340,000 restricted stock units ("RSU") to certain employees under its RSU Plan. These RSU vest as follows: one-third of the options vest immediately, one-third vest on the first anniversary and one-third on the second anniversary.

• On December 14, 2017, the Company closed a non-brokered offering of 6,000,000 flow-through common shares at a price of $0.38 per flow-through common shares, to raise aggregate gross proceeds of $2,280,000. The net proceeds of the offering are expected to be used to fund exploration of the Company’s mineral concessions in Quebec. All flow-through common shares issued pursuant to the offering are subject to a statutory hold period expiring April 15, 2018.

Exploration and Evaluation Activities

Eastmain owns a 100% interest in 10 mineral properties and a 36.7% interest in one mineral property as summarized below. Over the last 12 months, the Company completed exploration activities on the Clearwater, Eastmain Mine, Éléonore South and Clarkie Properties.

All claims on all properties have been renewed or are otherwise in good standing as of December 31, 2017 except for a portion of the Lac Hudson property which lapsed naturally through early 2017.

Eastmain Resources Properties in good standing in 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Claim units</th>
<th>Hectares</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clearwater (Eau Claire Deposit)</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>20,068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lac Clarkie</td>
<td>597</td>
<td>31,473</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastmain Mine</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>8,014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Éléonore South JV (36.7%)</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>14,760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruby Hill (East and West)</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>14,125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lac Lessard</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>2,476</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reservoir</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>8,098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lac Hudson</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1,939</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lac Elmer</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>10,433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radisson</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>10,698</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lidge</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1,901</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Eastmain owns a 100% interest in the Clearwater Project, host to the Eau Claire deposit, one of five known gold deposits in the James Bay region of Québec. The largest of these deposits is the Éléonore Gold Mine held by Les Mines Opinaca Ltée, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Goldcorp, which is located only 45 km due north of Clearwater and has a 2017 production forecast of 315,000 ounces of gold.

The Clearwater Project covers about 200 km² of Archean geology similar to that underlying many of the major mining camps within the Canadian Shield. The most prevalent Archean gold deposits are associated with deep-seated regional structural breaks and deformation zones, often localized by the interaction of these structures with large intrusive bodies. Most gold production in these camps is derived from structurally-controlled, vein-hosted deposits in deformed and altered volcanic sequences.

With ready access and nearby infrastructure in the form of permanent roads and power, Clearwater is superbly located for potential future development. The project is situated approximately 800 km north of Montréal, 80 km north of a commercial airport at Nemiscau and less than 20 km northeast of Hydro Québec’s EM-1 hydro-electric complex. The Eau Claire gold deposit is situated at the western end of the Clearwater property, 2.5 km from a Hydro Québec service road. A road accessible exploration base camp is located 5 km from the permanent road network. Drill roads access all areas of the Eau Claire deposit.

The Company’s objective is to establish Eau Claire as a high-grade gold mineral resource that will support a profitable, stand-alone mining operation with a minimum ten-year mine life, based on prevailing metal prices. Over the last few years, Eastmain has focused on expanding and defining a high-grade gold deposit potentially mineable by open pit and underground methods, and the discovery of additional resources elsewhere on the property. To achieve the Company’s objectives, Eastmain has completed a resource definition program in 2017 and drilling will continue in the 400 m – 750 m depth levels of the deposit, below the known Measured and Indicated mineral resources in the 450W zone.
Eau Claire Gold Deposit

Located within the Clearwater Project, the Eau Claire gold deposit is situated approximately one km north of a regional structural break which extends east-west for more than 100 km. Gold mineralization at the Eau Claire Gold Deposit is generally located within approximately EW-trending structurally-controlled, high-grade en-echelon quartz-tourmaline (QT) veins and adjacent altered wall rocks, as well as variable width ESE trending sheared and foliated schist zones, HGS veins, of altered gold-bearing rock. HGS zones are aligned parallel to the host rock foliation and interpreted to parallel the southern, or hanging-wall side of the deposit. Host rocks have been folded and deformed (sheared) through several deformation events. Both gold bearing vein sets may occur with as narrow intervals with tourmaline and develop into thick quartz-tourmaline veins with zoned tourmaline+/actinolite+/biotite+/carbonate alteration halos which can measure up to several metres in thickness.

The deposit’s QT veins occur as two distinctly oriented vein sets known as the 450W and 850W zones which form a crescent-shaped body covering a footprint approximately 100 m wide, extending for about 1.8 km in lateral extent and to a vertical depth in excess of 900 m. The 450W and 850W zones outcrop on topographic highs and surface stripping has exposed several veins within the deposit. The 450W Zone vein set is interpreted to be oriented at N 85°E / 45° to 60°S, plunging steeply to the southeast, sub-parallel to an F2 fold axis. The 850 West Zone vein set is oriented N 60°E, dipping sub-vertically and plunging gently to the southwest.

After publication of the previous Mineral Resource Estimate on June 11, 2015, a 29 hole (12,837 m) drill program was completed at the Eau Claire deposit by year-end. In August 2016, the Company initiated a 63,300 m drill program at Clearwater. 49,500 m of the program was planned to improve mineral resource classification and confidence in the 450W and 850W Zones by: infill drilling at shallow, pit-accessible depths (surface to 150 m depth); infill of deeper veins (150 - 400 m depth); and testing strike extensions of near surface, high-grade veins within the known mineral resource and beyond the deposit’s limits.

In the course of drilling, the Company reported highlight intervals including 7.09 g/t Au over 35.8 m and 15.3 g/t Au over 6.0 m in ER17-776 while testing the continuity of the HGS-02 vein (see press release dated July 27, 2017). Within the same announcement, the Company also released assay results for hole ER17-774 which encountered an HGS vein grading 30.8 g/t over 4.1 m at 529 m depth and located 300 m below and down-dip of the nearest HGS vein. The company believes this could represent an extension or potentially new HGS vein at depth and to the east of main 450W zone mineralization.

On September 11th, 2017, Eastmain announced the completion of a Mineral Resource Estimate which incorporated 690 drill holes (274,054 m) compiled up to August 27, 2017. Of this total, 78,150 m were drilled after the previous 2015 Mineral Resource Estimate. The 2017 Mineral Resource Estimate was prepared by SGS (Canada) Geostat Inc. with an effective date of August 25, 2017, and describes (i) a Measured Mineral Resource of 0.93 Mt at an average grade of 6.67 g/t Au containing 200,000 ozs of gold, (ii) an Indicated Mineral Resource of 3.24 Mt at an average grade of 6.01 g/t Au containing 626,000 ozs of gold and (iii) an additional 2.23 Mt at an average grade of 6.49 g/t Au containing 465,000 ozs of gold classified as Inferred Mineral Resource. The new Mineral Resource Estimate reflects significant refinements to the geological interpretation and stricter parameters compared to past estimations. While the new parameters removed marginal ounces, the new resource reflects significantly increased grade in both the open pit and underground portions of the deposit.

Eastmain has filed an NI 43-101 Technical Report entitled “Updated Mineral Resource Estimate for the Eau Claire Gold Deposit, Clearwater Project, Quebec, Canada” on the Company’s profile on SEDAR and at on our website. Please refer to “Scientific & Technical Disclosure” and “Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements” for further details.

Following the cut-off date for the Technical Report in September, the Company continued with exploration and resource definition at Eau Claire testing deeper (sub-400 m) and lateral targets is
ongoing with 2 rigs on-site. This is consistent with the Company's intentions to shift its exploration efforts towards resource growth with a focus on testing and extending the limits of known mineralization and assessing new targets. The Company intends to accumulate the results of new drilling completed by December 2017 for inclusion in an updated resource estimate in calendar Q2 2018. The Company expects to announce the updated resource in conjunction with a maiden Preliminary Economic Assessment (PEA) for the Eau Claire deposit. The PEA will provide an initial indication of potential project economics and will reflect a combined open pit and underground mining scenario.

**Snake Lake Target**

The Snake Lake Target is located 1.8 km east of the Eau Claire Deposit, within the Clearwater property and along the Clearwater Deformation Zone. Gold mineralization at the Snake Lake occurrence is similar to the Eau Claire deposit. Quartz tourmaline veins are hosted within a thick sequence of basalt flows, tuffs and interbedded metasedimentary rocks which have been intruded by felsic dykes. As at Eau Claire, the entire sequence has been heavily deformed and sheared resulting in development of a deformation zone with strong and extensive foliation and local shearing.

In 2016, 4,512 m drilling (20 holes) at Snake Lake returned encouraging near-surface gold results, with similar quartz-tourmaline related gold mineralization as observed at the Eau Claire deposit. Additionally, significant gold mineralization and high visible sulphide concentrations (pyrrhotite+/-pyrite+/-chalcopyrite+/-arsenopyrite) ranging from 5% to 15% (background content normally less than 1%) have been intersected in several holes. Drilling to date encountered anomalous gold mineralization between 1.0 g/t Au and 12.2 g/t Au in core sampled to a vertical depth of 170 m from surface; the majority of intercepts were located in the first 100 m. Core samples obtained in 2016 have returned gold assays ranging from below detection (<5 ppb Au) to 12.2 g/t Au over 1.5 m.

In 2017, the Company completed 3,685 m of drilling at Snake Lake, investigating volcanic stratigraphy to the south and west the 2016 drilling. Drilling returned narrow core intervals of quartz-tourmaline mineralized veins and shear zones including; Hole ER 17-798 – 6.63 g/t Au over 2.0 m; ER17-796 – 13.2 g/t Au over 2.65 m; ER17- 795 - 3.80 g/t Au over 2.0 m and ER17-799 - 2.22 g/t Au over 3 m. These holes are all located 0 m to 100 m south of the 2016 drilling, testing similar mafic volcanic stratigraphy at moderately greater depth.

The Company’s net investment in the Clearwater Project to October 31, 2017, is $57.5 million.

**Clarkie**

The Clarkie property is located immediately to the east of the Clearwater Project which hosts the Eau Claire deposit. The Clarkie claims represent 31,473 ha and together with Clearwater, the two properties cover 51,614 ha of prospective greenstone belt in the Eastmain/Opinaca district of James Bay, Quebec. Eastmain initially staked the Clarkie claims in July 2016 and recently conducted a grassroots exploration program including a 3,552 line-km helicopter-borne magnetometer survey, till sampling program and prospecting. The preliminary survey delineates 3 distinct geological domains on the property which may host analogues to the Éléonore Gold Mine (Northern Sedimentary Basin), the Éléonore South JV (Central Intrusive Complex) and the Clearwater Deformation Zone (greenstone belt).

In summer 2017, 60-line km of till geochemical survey was conducted concurrent with prospecting and surface sampling. Results from till sampling and initial prospecting yielded limited results. Based on the results returned, the Company intends to re-assess the property and focus on specific targets recommended from interpretation of magnetic data along the Eastmain Deformation zone covered by the airborne survey.

The Company's net investment in the Clarkie Project to October 31, 2017, is $0.4 million.
Eastmain Mine Project

The Eastmain Mine Project is located approximately 375 km NE of the town of Chibougamau and comprises 152 mineral claims. Infrastructure is vital to successful exploration and opportunities for advancement of the Company’s second wholly-owned gold-copper deposit improved significantly in the recent years with construction of a permanent road, Route 167 North. This road plays a key role in connecting the property to Chibougamau, other distant mining centers and the Renard Diamond Mine. Exploration in this frontier region of Québec has increased significantly since the completion of the new road and has allowed for easier access and lower-cost exploration of the Eastmain Mine claims. Given its history as a past-producing mine, the property includes an operational construction & mining camp, fuel farm and airstrip. The deposit was previously accessed via an underground ramp which is currently sealed.

The Eastmain Mine deposit is a high-grade, gold-copper deposit hosted in an extensive volcanic formation (Mine Trend) which extends across the property for over 10 km on a northwest to southeast strike. The Mine Trend is a very distinctive geological horizon, which is comprised of a strongly deformed and altered package of rhyolitic tuffs and mafic to ultramafic flows. The Mine Trend is a sub-planar envelope, striking NW-SE and dipping 35° to 45° to the NE. The gold-bearing horizon of the Mine Trend is represented by mineralized quartz veins and chert, associated with massive to semi-massive sulphide lenses (pyrrhotite, pyrite, chalcopyrite), and silicified zones contained within mafic flows, felsic flows and tuffs, and ultramafic flows; the deposit has been interpreted by some to be a deformed and metamorphosed VMS. The project is in the target-definition and drilling stages of exploration. The Company’s exploration focus at the Eastmain Mine deposit is the conversion of historic resources to current classification standards and the discovery of new and potentially economic mineralization along the regionally extensive Mine Trend.

The Eastmain gold deposit, a copper-gold-silver, sulphide-rich deposit, consists of three high-grade, gold-rich zones known as the, “A”, “B” and “C” Zones. The mine was developed and operated by MSV Resources. MSV mined approximately 100,000 t of ore in 1995, producing 40,000 ozs at an average grade of 10.6 g/t Au. At the time of closure, the Eastmain gold deposit hosted a historic resource of 255,750 ozs of gold at an average grade of 10 g/t Au. This resource is a historic estimate and should not be relied upon as it has not been reviewed for Eastmain by a Qualified Person under National Instrument 43-101 (“NI 43-101”).

The Eastmain Mine Project provides very attractive exploration and discovery opportunities for the Company as well as providing an ideally located camp for the exploration of the Company’s other claim blocks covering complete segments of the regional Upper Eastmain River Greenstone Belt. Examples of recent exploration success include the Julien discovery which was identified in 2016 after following up on surface sampling, trenching results and limited drilling. Following on these results, drilling in late 2016 returned highlight intervals including 42.4 g/t Au, 30.2 g/t Ag and 0.53% Cu over 10.5 m within an altered rhyolite unit hosting a mineralized quartz vein with visible gold, at 15 m vertical depth.

Early in the 2017 exploration program, Eastmain undertook an Induced Polarization (IP) survey covering key portions of the Mine Trend including the Julien and Suzanna targets 3 to 4 km NW of the Eastmain Mine to identify extensions to mineralization exposed in trenching and 2016 drilling.

Follow up of historic drilling in the Mine Trend NW includes EM17-126 which intersected a 2 m interval returning 9.33g/t Au, 23.8g/t Ag and 0.44% Cu at a vertical depth of 220 m at 600 m to the NW of the A Zone Mineral Resource envelope. This intersection is located 100 m NW of historic hole 83CH029 which intersected a 1.5 m interval of 19.2 g/t Au, 7.85 g/t Ag (no Cu analysed) at a depth of 240 m. Hole 83CH029 is located approximately 480 m NW of the current limit of the A Zone resource envelope. The new zone is approximately midway between the A Zone and the Hillhouse target. This area represents a potential new parallel zone at the Eastmain Mine deposit and will be a priority target for further drill evaluation.

Follow up historic drilling in the Eastmain Mine deposit was completed in the 4th quarter in order to substantiate historic drill hole results used in historic resource estimates. These holes returned significant gold mineralization results including: EM17-116 - 23.1 g/t Au over 4.4 m; EM17-118 - 12.9 g/t Au over 7.0 m and EM17-119 - 17.0 g/t Au over 3.0 m.

The Company engaged a P&E Mining Consultants Inc. (“P&E”) to review and assess the historical Eastmain Mine resource and prepare a Mineral Resource Estimate using the NI 43-101 standard. Through review of
historical drill data from previous operators who conducted exploration and mining activities starting in 1981, and the completion of a twin hole program with of historical bore holes during Q3-Q4/2017, the Company and P&E undertook the study and issued a new Mineral Resource Estimate in for the Eastmain Mine Gold Deposit in January 2018. The 2018 Mineral Resource Estimate describes (i) Indicated Mineral Resources of 0.9 Mt at an average grade of 8.2 g/t Au containing 236,500 ounces of gold and (ii) Inferred Mineral Resources of 0.57 Mt at an average grade of 7.5 g/t Au containing 139,300 ounces of gold.

The Company’s net investment (inclusive of acquisition cost) in the Eastmain Mine project to October 31, 2017, is $16.2 million.

**Éléonore South Joint Venture Property**

Éléonore South is an exploration drilling-stage project which lies in the Opinaca geologic Sub-province of James Bay, Québec. The project is immediately south of and contiguous with the Éléonore property held by Les Mines Opinaca Ltée, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Goldcorp, which hosts the multi-million-ounce Roberto gold deposit. The Éléonore South property is also located immediately west of and is contiguous with the Sirios Resources Inc. Cheechoo property.

Jointly held by Eastmain Resources Inc. (36.72%), Azimut Exploration Inc. (“Azimut”) (26.57%), and Les Mines Opinaca Ltée. (36.71%), the property consists of 282 mining claims covering 147 km² of prospective land, contiguous with and underlain by the same rock formations as those found on Goldcorp’s Éléonore mine property. Éléonore mine geology is interpreted to extend on to the Éléonore South property.

In H2/2016-H1/2017, the JV partners agreed to undertake a $2 million work program, including 5,000 m of diamond drilling at the Éléonore South property. The 2016 program began in July 2016 with a mandate to test high-priority gold targets with detailed surface prospecting to increase the sampling density in seven target areas. The second phase of the program took place in early 2017 and included 14 (2,733 m) new drill holes. Assays from this drilling ranged from sub-1 g/t to over 38 g/t and included the highlight intercept of 4.88 g/t Au over 45.0 m (see press release dated March 2, 2017).

For the H2/2017-H1/2018 field season, the JV partners approved a $4 million work program. Eastmain’s share of the program is approximately $1.47 million, of which $0.95 million had been advanced to the JV by quarter-end. This program is double the previous year’s program and reflects the JV partners’ strong interest in further exploring this Éléonore South JV claims. Throughout 2017, a variety of exploration initiatives including geophysics, surface sampling, prospecting, mapping and drilling were completed by the end of the period and the JV partners announced a discovery of a high-grade gold-bearing vein system the fall of 2017 at the Moni Prospect (see press release dated October 17, 2017). This discovery was confirmed at surface by extensive channel sampling which results include 79.6 g/t Au over 4.25 m and 79.5 g/t Au over 5.87 m and boulder samples which returned assays from less than 1 g/t up to 1,500 g/t Au (see press release dated November 16, 2017). Diamond drilling will continue in the winter of 2018 with a continued focus on the area extending from the Moni Prospect to the 101 Prospect located approximately 400 m southwest of Moni.

Due to earlier impairment charges, the Company’s net remaining investment in the project to October 31, 2017, is $1.8 million.

**Exploration Project Pipeline**

**Ruby Hill East and West**

The Company holds a 100% interest in certain mineral properties comprising the Ruby Hill project, located within the Upper Eastmain River Greenstone Belt of Northern Québec. The project, which consists of two separate claim blocks, referred to as the Ruby Hill East and Ruby Hill West blocks, covers approximately 10,600 ha of prospective geology similar to the key Mine Trend horizon at the Eastmain Mine gold-copper deposit.

Ruby Hill West straddles the western limb of the Upper Eastmain River Greenstone Belt approximately 30 km northwest of the Eastmain Mine deposit in a similar volcano-sedimentary setting. Previous exploration
successfully identified several mineralized targets on both Ruby Hill properties, having a similar gold-silver-
copper signature to the Eastmain Mine gold deposit as well as finding mineralization in cherty iron formation
within mafic volcanic units, near an interpreted structural break at Ruby Hill West.

In mid-2016, Eastmain discovered a new surface gold showing located 2 km W-SW of the historic EXCO
showing discovered by the Eastmain Syndicate in 1989 where historic surface sampling values of 3.55 g/t
Au; 17.0 g/t Ag; 0.12% Cu have been recorded. Results from all rock sampling from the property during the
2016 program returned gold values ranging from below detection to as high as 18.2 g/t Au.

The Company’s net investment in the Ruby Hill project as at October 31, 2017, is $nil. In accordance with
IFRS analysis of impairment, expenditures for Ruby Hill have been written-off until such time as economic
conditions permit a reversal of the impairment (Note 9 to the audited consolidated financial statements for
the fiscal year-ended October 31, 2017).

Lac Lessard

The Company holds a 100% interest in the Lac Lessard project. Located 15 km northeast of the Eastmain
Mine project, Lac Lessard consists of 47 claims in one claim block covering 2,475 ha. Airborne VTEM and
magnetic surveys previously completed by the Company indicate that a large portion of the property is
underlain by the Crete-du-Coq ultramafic intrusion, a prospective host to nickel, copper, platinum group
metals and gold (Ni-Cu-PGM-Au).

Nine drill holes intersected the Crete-du-Coq ultramafic intrusion returning values ranging from below
detection to as high as 1.08% Ni and 0.31% Cu over 2.5 m. At this time, there has been insufficient
exploration to define a mineral resource at this target. The Company notes that this project was previously
under option to Darnley Bay (now Pine Point Exploration). The option has since expired as Darnley Bay was
unable to make the necessary expenditures to earn an interest in the property.

The Company’s net investment in the Lac Lessard project to October 31, 2017, is $0.2 million.

Reservoir

The Company holds a 100% interest in the Reservoir property which comprises 156 claims. The property
covers approximately 8,099 ha, located in the Eastmain-Opinaca district of James Bay, Quèbec,
approximately 60 km southwest of the Éléonore property held by Les Mines Opinaca Ltée, a wholly-owned
subsidiary of Goldcorp, and approximately 45 km west of the Eau Claire gold deposit. This project hosts a
large copper-gold occurrence in albite-altered volcanic-sedimentary rocks, similar to those hosting multi-
million-ounce past producing gold mines in Timmins, Ontario.

Reservoir straddles the regional structural/stratigraphic break dividing volcanic and sedimentary domains.
This break represents an important ore localizing event throughout the region. Previous trenching and
drilling confirmed there is a significant kilometric-scale mineralizing system at Reservoir.

The Reservoir property will be evaluated for further exploration in 2018. Due to previous impairment analysis
under IFRS, the Company’s investment in Reservoir as at October 31, 2017 is recorded as $nil.
Expenditures for Reservoir have been written-off until such time as economic conditions permit a reversal of
the impairment (Note 9 to the audited consolidated financial statements for the year-ended October 31,
2017).

Lac Hudson

The Company holds a 100% interest in Lac Hudson. At the beginning of the year the property consisted of
82 claims with an area of 4,315 ha. Over the course of the year Claims were allowed to lapse at the property
as a result of a review of the property’s potential. There are currently 37 claims (1,939 ha). The claims are
located immediately south of the Reservoir Project and 35 km west of Clearwater. Several gold and base
metal occurrences have been detected in an iron formation on the property. Previous drilling intersections
retuned assays of varying amounts ranging from below detection to narrow intervals of highly anomalous
assays including 15.2 g/t Au and 22.3 g/t Ag. The Lac Hudson property will continue to be evaluated in 2018.
The Company’s net investment in the Lac Hudson project as at October 31, 2017, is $nil. In accordance with IFRS analysis of impairment, expenditures for Lac Hudson have been written-off until such time as economic conditions permit a reversal of the impairment (Note 9 to the audited consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year-ended October 31, 2017).

**Lac Elmer**

The Company owns a 100% interest in the Lac Elmer project is located at the western end of the Eastmain Greenstone Belt, approximately 35 km west of the LG2 highway and roughly 80 km west of the Reservoir property. The property consists of 198 claims covering 10,433 ha. Lac Elmer is in the target definition and drilling stages of exploration.

Previous exploration returned high grade silver and gold assays in surface showings. Drill and surface sampling returned assay results ranging from below detection (<5 ppb Au) to as high as 42 g/t Au. Encouraging drill results were obtained previously, including 50 g/t Ag and 0.5 g/t Au across 30 m within felsic volcanic rocks. Management believes the project’s geological characteristics warrant additional exploration and the Lac Elmer property will be evaluated for further exploration in 2018.

The Company’s net investment in the Lac Elmer project as at October 31, 2017, is $nil. In accordance with IFRS analysis of impairment, expenditures for Lac Elmer have been written-off until such time as economic conditions permit a reversal of the impairment (Note 9 to the audited consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year-ended October 31, 2017).

**Radisson**

The Company owns a 100% interest in Radisson which comprises 207 mineral claims covering approximately 10,698 ha located within the La Grande Greenstone Belt district of James Bay, Québec. The property straddles a structural and stratigraphic setting of similar age to the Éléonore property, held by Les Mines Opinaca Ltée, including a significant structural break which separates complex volcanic and sedimentary rocks. The Radisson property will be evaluated for further exploration in 2018.

Due to earlier impairment analysis under IFRS, the Company’s net investment in the project as at October 31, 2017 is $nil. Expenditures for Radisson have been written-off until such time as economic conditions permit a reversal of the impairment (Note 9 to the audited consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2017).

**Lidge**

The Company holds a 100% interest in Lidge, an early-stage exploration property located in a prospective geological regime within the James Bay District of Québec. High-density airborne magnetic surveys flown over Lidge in 2014 delineated several geophysical anomalies. No work is planned at the Lidge project in 2018.

The Company’s net investment in the project to October 31, 2017, is $nil. Under earlier IFRS impairment analysis expenditures for Lidge were written-down (Note 9 to the audited consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2017).

**Going Concern**

The Company is in the exploration stage and has not yet determined whether its exploration and evaluation assets contain reserves that are economically recoverable. The continued operations of the Company and the recoverability of amounts shown for its exploration and evaluation assets are dependent upon the ability of the Company to obtain financing to complete exploration of its exploration and evaluation assets, the existence of economically recoverable reserves and future profitable production, or alternatively, upon the Company’s ability to recover its costs through a disposition of its exploration and evaluation assets. The amount shown for exploration and evaluation assets does not necessarily represent present or future value.
Changes in future conditions could require a material change in the amount recorded for the exploration and evaluation assets.

The audited consolidated financial statements are prepared on the basis that the Company will continue as a going concern, which assumes that the Company will be able to continue operating for the foreseeable future and will be able to realize its assets and discharge its liabilities and commitments in the normal course of operations. As an exploration-stage company, the Company does not have any sources of revenue and historically has incurred recurring operating losses. At October 31, 2017, the Company had working capital of $4,999,021 (October 31, 2016 - $11,943,650) and shareholders’ equity of $74,744,936 (October 31, 2016 - $69,317,419). Management has assessed that this working capital is sufficient for the Company to continue as a going concern beyond one year. If the going concern assumption was not appropriate for the audited consolidated financial statements it would be necessary to restate the Company’s assets and liabilities on a liquidation basis.

Results of Operations

The Company does not earn any significant revenue from consolidated operations. Interest income is derived from the investment of funds for the period between the receipt of funds from equity placements and the disbursement of exploration expenditures. From time to time, other income is derived from management fees and charges for the use of Company facilities by third parties.

Three months ended October 31, 2017, compared to the three months ended October 31, 2016:

- Net income for the quarter was $82,153 (2016 – loss of $2,429,032) a variance of $2,511,185.

- General and administrative expenses were $859,268 (2016 - $639,479) a variance of $219,789. The variance is primarily due to an increase in share-based compensation due to the 1,125,000 stock options with an exercise price of $0.355 that were granted in September 2017 to executives, directors and consultants of the Company.

- Impairment of exploration and evaluation assets was $25,094 (2016 - $2,392,308) a variance of $2,367,214. At the end of each reporting period, management reviews carrying amounts of its non-financial assets with finite lives to determine whether there is any indication that those assets have suffered an impairment loss. Where such an indication exists, the recoverable amount is adjusted to the higher of the asset’s fair value less cost to sell or its value in use.

- Interest and other income was $65,786 (2016 - $11,701) a variance of $54,085. The income was recorded during the period for interest earned on cash balances.

- The unrealized loss on marketable securities for the quarter was $54,803 (2016 – loss of $8,212) a variance of $46,591. The loss is attributable to a decrease in market values of the common shares in resource companies held by the Company detailed in Note 6 to the audited consolidated financial statements.

- Premium income from flow-through common shares was $1,842,908 (2016 - $nil) a variance of $1,842,908. The premium on flow-through common shares is calculated as being the difference between the price paid by investors for flow-through common shares and the fair-market price of the common shares. The premium is recorded as a liability and income is derived from premium amortization pro-rata to eligible expenditures incurred.

- Deferred income tax expense of $887,376 (2016 – recovery of $599,266) a variance of $1,486,642. Deferred income tax expense (recovery) is largely affected by the amount of exploration expenditures, flow-through renunciation, and flow-through premium income and impairment charges recorded.
Twelve months ended October 31, 2017, compared to the twelve months ended October 31, 2016:

- Net loss was $1,605,177 (2016 - $6,341,613) a variance of $4,736,436.

- General and administrative expenses were $3,322,666 (2016 - $5,428,403) a variance of $2,105,737. The variance is primarily due to a decrease in corporate and administrative costs such as payroll, legal and professional fees and due to the absence of strategic process and defence costs of approximately $3,122,000 which are described in the variances below. These costs were related to a Proxy Contest in 2016 brought on by a dissident shareholder, are non-recurring in nature and are described in the variances below.

- The costs for the strategic process and defence associated with the Proxy Contest were $920,840 in the comparative period. Severance costs relating to outgoing executives and directors were $1,461,296 during the period. Additional fees paid to six directors were $190,000 and financing costs of $550,000 were incurred during the period. The aggregate cost of the strategic process was approximately $3,122,000 resulting in a significant variance for the twelve months ended October 31, 2017 relative to twelve months ended October 31, 2016 general & administrative expenses.

- Impairment of exploration and evaluation assets was $132,482 (2016 - $2,476,563) a variance of $2,344,081. At the end of each reporting period, management reviews carrying amounts of its non-financial assets with finite lives to determine whether there is any indication that those assets have suffered an impairment loss. Where such an indication exists, the recoverable amount is adjusted to the higher of the asset’s fair value less cost to sell or its value in use.

- Interest and other income was $275,679 (2016 - $68,070) a variance of $207,609. The income was recorded during the period for interest earned on cash balances and rent income derived from third parties renting camp facilities for non-Eastmain exploration.

- The unrealized loss on marketable securities was $43,029 (2016 – gain of $481,866) a variance of $524,895. The loss is attributable to a decrease in market values of the common shares in resource companies held by the Company detailed in Note 6 to the audited consolidated financial statements.

- Premium income from flow-through common shares was $4,537,697 (2016 - $149,600) a variance of $4,388,097. The premium on flow-through common shares is calculated as being the difference between the price paid by investors for flow-through common shares and the fair-market price of the common shares. The premium is recorded as a liability and income is derived from premium amortization pro rata to eligible expenditures incurred.

- Deferred income tax expense of $2,920,376 (2016 – recovery of $946,387) a variance of $3,866,763. Deferred income tax expense is largely affected by the amount of exploration expenditures, flow-through renunciation, and flow-through premium income and impairment charges recorded.

### Summary of Quarterly Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Quarter ended 10/31/2017</th>
<th>Quarter ended 7/31/2017</th>
<th>Quarter ended 4/30/2017</th>
<th>Quarter ended 1/31/2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interest / other income</td>
<td>$65,786</td>
<td>$23,110</td>
<td>$157,010</td>
<td>$29,773</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comprehensive income (loss)</td>
<td>$82,153</td>
<td>$(255,136)</td>
<td>$(338,125)</td>
<td>$(1,094,069)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per share basic</td>
<td>$0.0004</td>
<td>$(0.0014)</td>
<td>$(0.0019)</td>
<td>$(0.0062)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per share diluted</td>
<td>$0.0004</td>
<td>$(0.0014)</td>
<td>$(0.0019)</td>
<td>$(0.0062)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trading range of shares</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>$0.38</td>
<td>$0.49</td>
<td>$0.64</td>
<td>$0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>$0.28</td>
<td>$0.30</td>
<td>$0.44</td>
<td>$0.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Quarter ended  
10/31/2016  Quarter ended  
7/31/2016  Quarter ended  
4/30/2016  Quarter ended  
1/31/2016

Interest / other income  
$ 11,701  
$ 29,422  
$ 7,659  
$ 19,288

Comprehensive loss  
$(2,429,032)  
$(872,807)  
$(2,847,163)  
$(192,611)

Per share basic  
$(0.0139)  
$(0.0054)  
$(0.0211)  
$(0.0204)

Per share diluted  
$(0.0139)  
$(0.0054)  
$(0.0211)  
$(0.0204)

Trading range of shares  
High  
$0.97  
$0.67  
$0.51  
$0.39

Low  
$0.62  
$0.45  
$0.29  
$0.31

Significant charges included in the amounts above are as follows:

10/31/2017  
Comprehensive net income includes: $212,166 for share-based compensation; impairment of exploration and evaluation assets of $25,094; an unrealized loss on marketable securities of $54,803; a premium on flow-through shares of $1,842,908; and a deferred income tax expense of $887,376.

7/31/2017  
Comprehensive net loss includes: $120,067 for share-based compensation; impairment of exploration and evaluation assets of $13,526; an unrealized loss on marketable securities of $126,053; a premium on flow-through shares of $1,065,997; and a deferred income tax expense of $668,000.

4/30/2017  
Comprehensive net loss includes: $118,375 for share-based compensation; impairment of exploration and evaluation assets of $36,133; an unrealized loss on marketable securities of $164,436; a premium on flow-through shares of $1,598,347; and a deferred income tax expense of $1,119,000.

1/31/2017  
Comprehensive net loss includes: $174,933 for share-based compensation; impairment of exploration and evaluation assets of $57,729; an unrealized gain on marketable securities of $302,263; a premium on flow-through shares of $30,445; and a deferred income tax expense of $246,000.

10/31/2016  
Comprehensive net loss includes: $17,299 for share-based compensation; impairment of exploration and evaluation assets of $2,392,308; an unrealized loss on marketable securities of $8,212; and a deferred income tax recovery of $599,266.

07/31/2016  
Comprehensive net loss includes: $347,786 for share-based compensation and; an unrealized gain on marketable securities of $192,937.

04/30/2016  
Comprehensive net loss includes: $2,935,000 for strategic process costs; $167,501 for share-based compensation; a deferred income tax recovery of $386,765; a realized loss on marketable securities of $83,118 and; an unrealized gain on investment revaluation of $206,358.

01/31/2016  
Comprehensive net loss includes: flow-through common share premium income of $149,600; a charge of $53,250 for share-based compensation; deferred income taxes of $39,644 and; an unrealized gain on investment revaluation of $90,783.

Risks and Uncertainties

The exploration, development and mining of mineral resources are highly speculative in nature and are subject to significant risks. In addition to the usual risks associated with an investment in a business at an early stage of development, management and the directors of the Company believe that, in particular, the
following risk factors should be considered by prospective investors. It should be noted that this list is not exhaustive and that other risk factors may apply. An investment in the Company may not be suitable for all investors.

**Capital Requirements**

Eastmain will require significant capital in order to fund its operating costs, to service future indebtedness and to explore and develop any project. Eastmain has no revenues and is wholly reliant upon external financing to fund all of its capital requirements. Eastmain will require additional financing from external sources to meet such requirements. There can be no assurance that such financing will be available to Eastmain or, if it is, that it will be offered on acceptable terms. If additional financing is raised through the issuance of equity or convertible debt securities of Eastmain, the interests of shareholders in the net assets of Eastmain may be diluted. Any failure of Eastmain to obtain financing on acceptable terms could have a material adverse effect on Eastmain’s financial condition, prospects, results of operations and liquidity and require Eastmain to cancel or postpone planned capital investments.

**Dependence on Mineral Exploration Projects**

Any adverse development affecting the progress of Eastmain’s exploration projects such as, but not limited to, obtaining financing on commercially suitable terms, hiring suitable personnel and contractors, or securing supply agreements on commercially suitable terms, may have a material adverse effect on Eastmain and its business or prospects.

**Metal Prices and Exchange Rates**

The development and success of any project of Eastmain will be primarily dependent on the future price of gold and other metals. Gold and base metal prices are subject to significant fluctuation and are affected by a number of factors, which are beyond the control of Eastmain. Such factors include, but are not limited to, interest rates, exchange rates, inflation or deflation, fluctuation in the value of the United States dollar and foreign currencies, global and regional supply and demand, and the political and economic conditions of major gold-producing countries throughout the world. The price of gold and other precious and base metals has fluctuated widely in recent years, and future serious price declines could cause any future development of and commercial production from Eastmain's properties to be impracticable. Depending on the price of gold and other metals, projected cash flow from planned mining operations may not be sufficient and Eastmain could be forced to discontinue any development and may lose its interest in, or may be forced to sell, some of its properties. Future production from Eastmain's mining properties is dependent on gold and base metal prices that are adequate to make these properties economic.

Furthermore, reserve calculations and life-of-mine plans using significantly lower gold and other metal prices could result in material write-downs of Eastmain's investment in mining properties and increased amortization, reclamation and closure charges.

In addition to adversely affecting Eastmain’s possible future reserve estimates and its financial condition, declining commodity prices may impact operations by requiring a reassessment of the feasibility of a particular project. Such a reassessment may be the result of a management decision or may be required under financing arrangements related to a particular project. Even if the project is ultimately determined to be economically viable, the need to conduct such a reassessment may cause substantial delays or may interrupt operations until the reassessment can be completed.

The Company’s functional currency is the Canadian dollar and major purchases are transacted in Canadian dollars. The Company funds certain administrative expenses in the United States on a cash-call basis using US dollar currency converted from its Canadian dollar bank account held in Canada. Management believes the foreign currency risk derived from currency conversions is manageable and therefore, does not hedge its foreign currency risk.
Government Regulation, Permits and Licences

Eastmain’s mineral exploration and potential development activities are subject to various laws governing prospecting, mining, development, production, taxes, labor standards and occupational health, mine safety, toxic substances, land use, water use, land claims of local people and other matters. No assurance can be given that new rules and regulations will not be enacted or that existing rules and regulations will not be applied in a manner which could limit or curtail exploration, development or production. Many of the mineral rights and interests of Eastmain are subject to government approvals, licenses and permits. Such approvals, licenses and permits are, as a practical matter, subject to the discretion of the applicable governments or governmental officials. No assurance can be given that Eastmain will be successful in maintaining any or all of the various approvals, licenses and permits in full force and effect without modification or revocation. To the extent such approvals are required and not obtained; Eastmain’s activities may be curtailed or prohibited from continuing or proceeding with planned exploration or development of mineral properties.

Where required, obtaining necessary permits and licenses can be a complex, time consuming process and Eastmain cannot assure that required permits will be obtainable on acceptable terms, in a timely manner or at all. The costs and delays associated with obtaining necessary permits and complying with these permits and applicable laws and regulations could stop or materially delay or restrict Eastmain from proceeding with the development of an exploration project or the operation or further development of a mine. Any failure to comply with applicable laws and regulations or permits, even if inadvertent, could result in interruption or closure of exploration, development or mining operations or material fines, penalties or other liabilities. Failure to comply with applicable laws, regulations and permitting requirements may result in enforcement actions thereunder, including orders issued by regulatory or judicial authorities causing operations to cease or be curtailed, and may include corrective measures requiring capital expenditures, installation of additional equipment, or remedial actions. Parties engaged in mining operations or in the exploration or development of mineral properties may be required to compensate those suffering loss or damage by reason of such mining activities, and may have civil or criminal fines or penalties imposed for violations of applicable laws or regulations.

Amendments to current laws and regulations governing operations or more stringent implementation thereof could have a substantial adverse impact on Eastmain and cause increases in exploration expenses, capital expenditures or production costs or reduction in levels of production at producing properties or require abandonment or delays in development of new mining properties.

Competition

The mining industry is competitive in all of its phases. Eastmain faces strong competition from other exploration and mining companies in connection with the acquisition of properties producing or capable of producing, precious and base metals. Many of these companies have greater financial resources, operational experience and technical capabilities than Eastmain. As a result of this competition, Eastmain may be unable to maintain or acquire attractive mining properties on terms it considers acceptable or at all. Consequently, the financial condition and any future revenues and operations of Eastmain could be materially adversely affected.

Exploration, Development and Operational Risk

The exploration for, and development of, mineral deposits involves significant risks that even a combination of careful evaluation, experience and knowledge may not eliminate. While the discovery of an ore body may result in substantial rewards, few properties which are explored are ultimately developed into producing mines. Major expenses may be required to locate and establish mineral reserves, to develop metallurgical processes and to construct mining and processing facilities at a particular site. Whether a mineral deposit will be commercially viable depends on a number of factors, some of which are the particular attributes of the deposit, such as size, grade and proximity to infrastructure, metal prices which are highly cyclical, and government regulations including regulations relating to prices, taxes, royalties, land tenure, land use, importing and exporting of minerals and environmental protection. The exact effect of these factors cannot be accurately predicted, but the combination of these factors may result in Eastmain not receiving an adequate return on invested capital.
Eastmain does not currently operate a mine on any of its properties. There is no certainty that the expenditures made by Eastmain towards the search for, and evaluation of, mineral deposits will result in discoveries of commercial quantities of ore.

Mining operations generally involve a high degree of risk. Such operations are subject to all the hazards and risks normally encountered in the exploration for, and development and production of, gold and other precious or base metals. Such hazards and risks include unusual and unexpected geologic formations, seismic activity, rock bursts, cave-ins, flooding and other conditions involved in the drilling and removal of material, any of which could result in damage to, or destruction of mines and other producing facilities, damage to life or property, environmental damage and possible legal liability. Milling operations are subject to hazards such as equipment failure or failure of retaining dams around tailings disposal areas which may result in environmental pollution and consequent liability.

**Joint Venture Strategy**

Eastmain’s business strategy includes continuing to seek new joint venture opportunities to develop the company’s existing properties and/or obtain new properties. In pursuit of such opportunities, Eastmain may fail to select appropriate joint venture partners or negotiate acceptable arrangements, including arrangements to finance such opportunities or, where necessary, integrate the acquired businesses and their personnel into Eastmain's operations. Eastmain cannot assure that it can complete any business arrangement that it pursues on favorable terms, or that any business arrangements completed will ultimately benefit Eastmain's business.

**Reliance on Management and Key Employees**

The success of the operations and activities of Eastmain is dependent to a significant extent on the efforts and abilities of its management, a relatively small number of key employees, outside contractors, experts and other advisors. Investors must be willing to rely to a significant extent on management’s discretion and judgment, as well as the expertise and competence of its key employees, outside contractors, experts and other advisors. Eastmain does not have in place formal programs for succession of management and training of management nor does it have key person insurance on its key employees. The loss of one or more of these persons, if not replaced, could adversely affect Eastmain's operations and financial performance.

**No Assurance of Titles, Boundaries or Approvals**

Titles to Eastmain’s properties may be challenged or impugned by government action or other agencies, and title insurance is generally not available. Eastmain’s mineral properties may be subject to prior unregistered agreements, transfers or claims, and title may be affected by, among other things, undetected defects. In addition, Eastmain may be unable to operate its properties as permitted or to enforce its rights with respect to its properties. Eastmain cannot assure that it will receive the necessary approval or permits to exploit any or all of its mineral projects in the future. The failure to obtain such permits could adversely affect Eastmain’s operations.

**Environmental Risks and Hazards**

All phases of Eastmain's operations are subject to environmental regulation in the jurisdiction in which it operates. These regulations mandate, among other things, the maintenance of air and water quality standards and land reclamation. They also set forth limitations on the generation, transportation, storage and disposal of solid and hazardous waste. Environmental legislation is evolving in a manner which will require stricter standards and enforcement, increased fines and penalties for non-compliance, more stringent environmental assessments of proposed projects and a heightened degree of responsibility for companies and their officers, directors and employees. There is no assurance that future changes in environmental regulation, if any, will not adversely affect Eastmain's operations. Environmental hazards may exist on the properties in which Eastmain holds interests which are unknown to Eastmain at present and which have been caused by previous or existing owners or operators of the properties.
Uninsured Risks

Eastmain’s business is subject to a number of risks and hazards generally, including adverse environmental conditions, industrial accidents, labor disputes, unusual or unexpected geological conditions, ground or slope failures, cave-ins, changes in the regulatory environment and natural phenomena such as inclement weather conditions, floods and earthquakes. Such occurrences could result in damage to mineral properties or production facilities, personal injury or death, environmental damage to Eastmain’s properties or the properties of others, delays in development or mining, monetary losses and possible legal liability.

Although Eastmain maintains insurance to protect against certain risks in such amounts as it considers commercially reasonable, its insurance will not cover all of the potential risks associated with its operations. Eastmain may also be unable to maintain insurance to cover these risks at economically feasible premiums. Insurance coverage may not continue to be available or may not be adequate to cover any resulting liability. Moreover, insurance against risks such as environmental pollution or other hazards as a result of exploration is not generally available to Eastmain on affordable and acceptable terms. Eastmain might also become subject to liability for pollution or other hazards which may not be insured against or which Eastmain may elect not to insure against because of premium costs or other reasons. Losses from these events may cause Eastmain to incur significant costs that could have a material adverse effect upon its financial condition and results of operations.

Exploration and Evaluation Assets

The cost of exploration and evaluation is recorded on a property-by-property basis and deferred in the Company’s accounts, pending recovery, based on the discovery and/or extraction of economically recoverable reserves. When it is determined that there is little prospect of minerals being economically extracted from a property, the deferred costs associated with that property are charged to operations. The Company has an impairment policy, described in Note 3 to the annual audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended October 31, 2017, whereby the carrying amounts of exploration properties are reviewed for events or changes in circumstances that suggest that the carrying amount may not be recoverable. As at October 31, 2017, the Company’s carrying value of exploration and evaluation assets, net of recoveries and impairment charges was $76,062,242 (October 31, 2016 - $60,709,890).

Project expenditures for the year ended October 31, 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Drilling &amp; assay $</th>
<th>Technical surveys $</th>
<th>Project acquisition &amp; maintenance $</th>
<th>Gross expenditures $</th>
<th>Income tax credits $</th>
<th>2017 net expenditures $</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cleanwater</td>
<td>8,863,661</td>
<td>3,343,247</td>
<td>84,440</td>
<td>12,291,348</td>
<td>(21,915)</td>
<td>12,269,433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastmain Mine</td>
<td>916,772</td>
<td>624,883</td>
<td>31,640</td>
<td>1,573,295</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>1,573,295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eleonore South</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>1,218,394</td>
<td>18,889</td>
<td>1,237,283</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>1,237,283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruby Hill</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>37,609</td>
<td>22,131</td>
<td>59,740</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>59,740</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lac Hudson</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lac Elmer</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>6,275</td>
<td>6,275</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>6,275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radisson</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>22,118</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>22,118</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>22,118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lac Clarkie</td>
<td>27,976</td>
<td>244,365</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>272,341</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>272,341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>41,349</td>
<td>41,349</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>41,349</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>9,808,409</td>
<td>5,490,616</td>
<td>207,724</td>
<td>15,506,749</td>
<td>(21,915)</td>
<td>15,484,834</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Cumulative acquisition, exploration and evaluation expenditures as at October 31, 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Balance October 31, 2016 $</th>
<th>2017 net expenditures $</th>
<th>Write-down $</th>
<th>Balance October 31, 2017 $</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clearwater</td>
<td>45,232,040</td>
<td>12,269,433</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>57,501,473</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastmain Mine</td>
<td>14,606,643</td>
<td>1,573,295</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>16,179,938</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eléonore South</td>
<td>518,066</td>
<td>1,237,283</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>1,755,349</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruby Hill</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>59,740</td>
<td>(59,740)</td>
<td>nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lac Hudson</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>(3,000)</td>
<td>nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lac Elmer</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>6,275</td>
<td>(6,275)</td>
<td>nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radisson</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>22,118</td>
<td>(22,118)</td>
<td>nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lac Lessard</td>
<td>230,482</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>230,482</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lac Clarkie</td>
<td>122,659</td>
<td>272,341</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>395,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>41,349</td>
<td>(41,349)</td>
<td>nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>60,709,890</td>
<td>15,484,834</td>
<td>(132,482)</td>
<td>76,062,242</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Project expenditures for the year ended October 31, 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Drilling &amp; assay $</th>
<th>Technical surveys $</th>
<th>Project acquisition &amp; maintenance $</th>
<th>Gross expenditures $</th>
<th>Income tax credits $</th>
<th>2016 net expenditures $</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clearwater</td>
<td>3,239,571</td>
<td>871,958</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>4,111,586</td>
<td>(259,003)</td>
<td>3,852,583</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastmain Mine</td>
<td>637,391</td>
<td>376,047</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>1,013,438</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>1,013,438</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eléonore South</td>
<td>2,355</td>
<td>493,217</td>
<td>22,494</td>
<td>518,066</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>518,066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruby Hill</td>
<td>19,994</td>
<td>61,647</td>
<td>11,372</td>
<td>93,013</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>93,013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reservoir</td>
<td>39,310</td>
<td>630</td>
<td>4,117</td>
<td>44,057</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>44,057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lac Hudson</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>14,607</td>
<td>14,607</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>14,607</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lac Elmer</td>
<td>864</td>
<td>211,083</td>
<td>122,587</td>
<td>212,587</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>212,587</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radisson</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>6,336</td>
<td>6,336</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>6,336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road King</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lac Lessard</td>
<td>11,142</td>
<td>2,700</td>
<td>7,442</td>
<td>21,284</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>21,284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lac Clarkie</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>122,659</td>
<td>122,659</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>122,659</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>(7,950)</td>
<td>34,092</td>
<td>26,142</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>26,142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3,950,627</td>
<td>1,798,889</td>
<td>434,259</td>
<td>6,183,775</td>
<td>(259,003)</td>
<td>5,924,772</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Cumulative acquisition, exploration and evaluation expenditures as at October 31, 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clearwater</td>
<td>41,379,457</td>
<td>3,852,583</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>45,232,040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastmain Mine</td>
<td>13,593,205</td>
<td>1,013,438</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>14,606,643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eléonore South</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>518,066</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>518,066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruby Hill</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>93,013</td>
<td>(93,013)</td>
<td>nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reservoir</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>44,057</td>
<td>(44,057)</td>
<td>nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lac Hudson</td>
<td>951,171</td>
<td>14,607</td>
<td>(965,778)</td>
<td>nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lac Elmer</td>
<td>826,871</td>
<td>212,587</td>
<td>(1,039,458)</td>
<td>nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radisson</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>6,336</td>
<td>(6,336)</td>
<td>nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road King</td>
<td>301,779</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>(301,779)</td>
<td>nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lac Lessard</td>
<td>209,198</td>
<td>21,284</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>230,482</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lac Clarkie</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>122,659</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>122,659</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>26,142</td>
<td>(26,142)</td>
<td>nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>57,261,681</td>
<td>5,924,772</td>
<td>(2,476,563)</td>
<td>60,709,890</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Liquidity**

Working capital is a measure of both a company’s efficiency and its short-term financial health, which is calculated as current assets less current liabilities. The working capital ratio of current assets to current liabilities indicates whether a company has enough short-term assets to cover its short-term debt.

At October 31, 2017, the Company had current assets of $7,907,232 and current liabilities of $2,908,211 yielding a working capital of $4,999,021. The Company notes that the Flow-through share premium liability which represents $914,377 of current liabilities balance is not settled through cash payment. Instead, this balance is amortized against qualifying flow-through expenditures which are required to be incurred before December 31, 2017 and December 31, 2018. The Company maintains a high liquidity by holding cash balances in interest-bearing Canadian bank accounts. The high working capital ratio is a reflection of the Company’s operating cycle, which consists of obtaining funds through the issuance of shares, before engaging in exploration activities. In June 2017, the Company announced and completed a $9.156 million dollar private placement resulting in the issuance of a combined 17,582,000 common and flow-through shares.

The Company has no short or long-term debt.

At October 31, 2017, the Company held equity investments of $378,788 valued at fair market with initial maturities extending beyond one year. Funds-on-hand for future exploration costs are invested from time-to-time in money market funds, term deposits, and bonds or certificates of deposit with maturities matching the Company’s cash-flow requirements, which in management’s opinion, yield the greatest return with the least risk. Apart from certain securities received as a result of past company dealings, the Company’s policy is to maintain its investment portfolio in very low-risk liquid securities, which are selected and managed under advice from independent professional advisors.

Prepaid and sundry receivables at October 31, 2017, were $901,912 which included recoverable sales taxes paid of $645,090 which are subject to verification and normally refunded within 60 to 90 days of the claim. Refunds of taxes are not considered a financial instrument since governments are not obligated to make
these payments. Other accounts receivable and advances were $256,822 which included advance made to the Eleonore South joint venture of $150,000 to fund future exploration.

At October 31, 2017, amounts payable and accrued liabilities were $1,993,834. Trade accounts are normally settled within 30 days. Flow-through premiums are amortized to income in proportion to eligible Canadian exploration expenditures incurred.

During the year ended October 31, 2017, the Company received net proceeds $8,634,343 from the private placement completed in June 2017 and net proceeds of $9,500 from the exercise of stock options. During the same period, the Company spent $15,506,749 on claim acquisition, claim maintenance, and exploration and evaluation of mineral resource properties. Exploration expenditures are discretionary except for the flow-through commitments described below. As such, management believes the Company will have sufficient funds available to meet all of its flow-through obligations and cover its ongoing administrative and overhead costs for the foreseeable future.

The Company is reliant on equity markets over the long term to raise capital to fund its exploration activities. In the past, the Company has been successful in raising funds through equity offerings, and while there is no guarantee that this will continue, there is no reason either to believe that this capacity will diminish.

**Commitments**

The Company issued common flow-through shares during the year ended October 31, 2016, which require the Company to incur flow-through eligible expenditures of $1.5 million before December 31, 2017. The Company issued common flow-through shares during June 2017, which require the Company to incur flow-through eligible expenditures of $5.2 million before December 31, 2018. As disclosed to investors, the funds raised are being used to conduct the Company’s 2017 and 2018 exploration and infill drill programs. The Company fully intends to satisfy its current flow-through spending obligations by December 31, 2017 and December 31, 2018, respectively.

**Capital Resources**

The Company, as is typical of junior exploration companies, has only a small investment in capital resources, which is comprised of $74,112 in computer equipment and field equipment of $403,396. The net book value at October 31, 2017, was $40,000.

**Income Taxes**

For tax year-ends after December 31, 2006, non-capital losses can be carried forward and used to offset future gains for a period of twenty years, after which they expire (ten years for losses in tax years ending prior to December 31, 2006). To the extent that loss carry-forwards could be used to reduce future tax liabilities, they are a financial resource that can be managed. The Company, by its nature as a mineral exploration business, generates non-capital tax losses, which are not recognized on the income statement because, at this point in time, it is not certain that they will be used to offset tax liabilities within their carry-forward life.

As at October 31, 2017, the Company has non-capital losses available for deduction of $17,973,542 which begin to expire in 2027, and unused capital losses of $523,692 which have no expiry date. In addition, the Company has Canadian exploration and development expenditures available to reduce future years’ taxable income of approximately $29,800,000. The tax benefit of these amounts may be carried forward indefinitely.

Income taxes on interim results have been estimated and apportioned at a reasonable approximation of the deferred income tax liability that will be applicable to the operating results for the full fiscal year.

**Off-Balance-Sheet Arrangements**

The Company has no off-balance-sheet arrangements.
Transactions with Related Parties

A number of transactions with related parties ceased on April 29, 2016. Previously, related party transactions included $18,900 per month salary and $1,000 per month premises rent paid to the former President and Chief Executive Officer (“CEO”) of the Company. In addition, management services fees of $900 per day plus out of pocket expenditures were paid to Shawonis Explorations and Enterprises Ltd. (“Shawonis”). The president of Shawonis is related to the former President and CEO of Eastmain. Financial consulting service fees in respect of the services of the former Chief Financial Officer (“CFO”) of the Company in the amount of $130 per hour plus out-of-pocket costs were paid to QB 2000 Inc. The former CFO of Eastmain is the president of QB 2000 Inc. The value of related party transactions for the year ended October 31, 2017, was $nil (2016 - $260,346).

The Vice President Exploration of Eastmain is the President of OTD Exploration Services Inc. (“OTD”). During the year ended October 31, 2017, $233,230 was paid to OTD as related to professional geological exploration and management services (2016 - $90,461). At October 31, 2017, the amount due to OTD was $55,436 (October 31, 2016 - $23,504) related to a) his function as the Vice President, Exploration of Eastmain and to b) reimburse operating and exploration expenses incurred by OTD on behalf of the Company.

In addition, Eastmain signed a mobile equipment rental agreement with OTD in April 2017 for a period of 12 months at a monthly rate of $1,076 per month.

Remuneration of directors and key management personnel, other than consulting fees, of the Company was as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Year ended October 31, 2017 ($)</th>
<th>Year ended October 31, 2016 ($)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Salaries and benefits</td>
<td>750,500</td>
<td>621,515</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share-based compensation</td>
<td>463,236</td>
<td>482,051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,213,736</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,103,566</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Company considers its key management personnel to be the CEO and CFO.

Certain previous officers had employment or service contracts with the Company which triggered termination payments on April 28, 2016. Directors do not have any employment or service contracts but received remuneration as a result of their work under the mandate of the Special Committee. Officers and directors are entitled to share-based compensation and cash remuneration for their services.

At October 31, 2017, the amount due to officers was $25,263 (October 31, 2016 - $31,948) and the amount due to directors was $125 (October 31, 2016 - $18,240).

A director of the Company purchased 14,285 units and 40,000 flow-through shares in the April 2016 private placement.

Officers and directors of the Company purchased 1,250,000 shares in the July 2016 financing.

Officers and directors of the Company purchased 800,000 shares in the June 2017 financing.

The Company has a diversified base of investors. To the Company’s knowledge, no shareholder holds more than 10% of the Company’s common shares as at October 31, 2017.
Section 3: Management’s Discussion & Analysis

Year Ended October 31, 2017

Discussion Dated January 18, 2018

In this section, we discuss the company's financial performance, results of operations, and financial position for the year ended October 31, 2017. We also provide an outlook for the next fiscal year.

Share Capital

The authorized capital of the Company consists of an unlimited number of common shares of which, as of January 18, 2018, there are 199,125,146 common shares outstanding; 10,760,000 options outstanding with a weighted average exercise price of $0.63, which would generate proceeds of $6,809,875, if exercised; and 6,899,999 warrants outstanding at an exercise price of $0.50, which if exercised would generate proceeds of $3,450,000. The Company notes the common share figures are inclusive of a private placement of 6,000,000 shares completed in December 2017 for net proceeds of $2,280,000.

Critical Accounting Estimates

The preparation of these unaudited condensed interim consolidated financial statements under IFRS requires management to make certain estimates, judgements and assumptions about future events that affect the amounts reported in the audited consolidated financial statements and related notes. Although these estimates are based on management's best knowledge of the amounts, events or actions, actual results may differ from those estimates and these differences could be material.

a) Significant judgements in applying accounting policies

The areas which require management to make significant judgements in determining carrying values include, but are not limited to:

**Exploration and evaluation assets**

In estimating the recoverability of capitalized exploration and evaluation assets, management is required to apply judgement in determining whether technical feasibility and commercial viability can be demonstrated for its mineral properties. Once technical feasibility and commercial viability of a property can be demonstrated, it is reclassified from exploration and evaluation assets to property and equipment, and subject to different accounting treatment. As at October 31, 2017, management deemed that no reclassification of exploration and evaluation assets was required.

**Income taxes and recoverability of potential deferred tax assets**

In assessing the probability of realizing income tax assets recognized, management makes estimates related to expectations of future taxable income, applicable tax planning opportunities, expected timing of reversals of existing temporary differences, and the likelihood that tax positions taken will be sustained upon examination by applicable tax authorities. In making its assessments, management gives additional weight to both positive and negative evidence that can be objectively verified. Estimates of future taxable income are based on forecasted cash flows from operations and the application of existing tax laws in each jurisdiction. The Company considers whether relevant tax planning opportunities are within the Company's control, are feasible, and are within management's ability to implement.

Examination by applicable tax authorities is based on individual facts and circumstances of the relevant tax position examined in light of all available evidence. Where applicable tax laws and regulations are either unclear or subject to ongoing varying interpretations, it is reasonably possible that changes in these estimates can occur that materially affect the amounts of income tax assets recognized. Also, future changes in tax laws could limit the Company from realizing the tax benefits from deferred tax assets. The Company reassesses unrecognized income tax assets at each reporting period.

b) Significant accounting estimates and assumptions

The areas which require management to make significant estimates and assumptions in determining carrying values include, but are not limited to:
Impairment of exploration and evaluation assets

When there are indications that an asset may be impaired, the Company is required to estimate the asset's recoverable amount. The recoverable amount is the greater of value in use and fair value less costs to sell. Determining the value requires the Company to estimate future cash flows associated with the assets and a suitable discount rate in order to calculate the present value. During the year ended October 31, 2017, the Company’s exploration and evaluation assets were written down by $132,482 (2016 - $2,476,563).

Where an impairment loss subsequently reverses, the carrying value of the asset (or cash generating unit) is increased to the revised estimate of its recoverable amount, unless that amount exceeds the carrying value recorded prior to the recognition of the impairment loss, in which case the carrying value would be re-instated to its pre-impairment loss carrying value. A reversal of an impairment loss is recognized immediately in the audited consolidated statement of loss and comprehensive loss.

Management estimates of mineral prices, recoverable reserves, operating capital and restoration costs are subject to certain risks and uncertainties that may affect the recoverability of exploration and evaluation assets. Although management has made its best estimate of these factors, it is possible that changes could occur in the near term that could adversely affect management’s estimate of net cash flow to be generated from its projects.

Share-based payments

The amount expensed for share-based payments is derived from the application of the Black-Scholes option pricing model, which is highly dependent on the expected volatility of the Company’s shares and the expected life of the options. The Company uses an expected volatility rate for its shares based on past trading data. Actual volatility may be significantly different. While the estimate of share-based payments can have a material impact on the operating results reported by the Company, it is a non-cash charge and as such has no impact on the Company's cash position or future cash flows.

Estimates and underlying assumptions are reviewed on an ongoing basis. Revisions to estimates are recognized in the period in which the estimates are revised and in any future periods affected.

Depreciation and impairment of property and equipment

The determination of the useful life of property and equipment is based on management estimates. Indicators of impairment are also subject to management’s estimates.

Estimation of restoration, rehabilitation and environmental obligations

Restoration, rehabilitation and environmental liabilities are estimated based on the Company’s interpretation of current regulatory requirements and constructive obligations. These estimates are measured at fair value, which is determined by the net present value of estimated future cash expenditures for the settlement of restoration, rehabilitation and environmental liabilities that may occur upon ceasing exploration and evaluation activities. Such estimates are subject to change based on changes in laws and regulations and negotiations with regulatory authorities. Management’s determination that there are currently no provisions required for site restoration is based on facts and circumstances that existed during the period.

Impairment Analysis

Each reporting period, management reviews the general and economic conditions and mining industry trends that influence recoverability of the carrying value of its exploration and evaluation expenditures. As at October 31, 2017, no further impairment charges were deemed necessary, however, during the period ongoing exploration and evaluation expenditures of $132,482 incurred on properties previously determined to be impaired were written down. An impairment analysis performed in 2014, identified impairment
characteristics on some of the Company’s properties which lead to an impairment write off totaling $10,434,944 in that year. The properties affected were: Éléonore South; Ruby Hill; Reservoir; Radisson; Dyna; and Lidge. Ongoing exploration and claims maintenance expenditures on these properties are being written off as incurred until such time as economic conditions permit a reversal of the impairment charges.

**Restricted Stock Unit Plan**

Under the Restricted Stock Unit Plan (the “RSU Plan”), selected employees are granted RSUs where each RSU has a value equal to one Eastmain common share. RSUs are measured at fair value on the grant date. The fair value of RSUs are recognized as a charge to salaries and benefits over the vesting period with a corresponding increase in equity. RSUs expected to settle in cash are reclassified as a liability and valued at market. During the year ended October 31, 2017, the Company granted 340,000 RSU to certain employees under its RSU Plan.

**Standard Issued but not yet Effective**

IFRS 9 – Financial Instruments (“IFRS 9”), issued by the International Accounting Standards Board in October 2010 is intended to entirely replace IAS 39 - Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement (“IAS 39”). IAS 39, using a single approach to determine whether a financial asset is measured at amortized cost or fair value, thereby reducing the complexity of the multiple rules in IAS 39. The approach in IFRS 9 is based on how an entity manages its financial instruments in the context of its business model and the contractual cash-flow characteristics of financial assets. Most of the requirements in IAS 39 for the classification and measurement of financial liabilities were carried forward unchanged to IFRS 9. The new standard also requires the use of a single method of impairment determination, which replaces the multiple methods available under IAS 39. The standard will be effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2018. The Company is currently evaluating the impact this final standard is expected to have on its consolidated financial statements.

**Use of Financial Instruments**

The Company has not entered into any specialized financial agreements to minimize its investment risk, currency risk or commodity risk and the Company does not hold any asset-backed commercial paper. The Company’s financial instruments are exposed to certain financial risks, including currency risk, credit risk, liquidity risk, interest-rate risk and commodity-price risk. The Company’s exposure to risk factors and their impact on the Company’s financial instruments are summarized below:

a) **Fair value**

Fair value represents the amount at which a financial instrument could be exchanged between willing parties, based on current markets for instruments with the same risk, principal and remaining maturity. Fair-value estimates are based on quoted market values and other valuation methods.

b) **Credit risk**

Credit risk is the risk of an unexpected loss if a customer or third party to a financial instrument fails to meet its contractual obligations. The Company’s credit risk is primarily attributable to cash and cash equivalents, marketable securities, and receivables included in prepaid and sundry receivables. The Company has no significant concentration of credit risk arising from operations. Cash and cash equivalents are held with the Royal Bank of Canada, from which management believes the risk of loss to be minimal. Financial instruments included in prepaid and sundry receivables consist of other receivables. Management believes that its concentration of credit risk, with respect to financial instruments included in prepaid and sundry receivables, is minimal.

c) **Liquidity risk**

Liquidity risk is the risk that the Company will not be able to meet its financial obligations as they fall due. The Company’s approach to managing liquidity risk is to ensure that it will have sufficient liquidity to meet
liabilities when due. As at October 31, 2017, the Company had current assets of $7,907,232 to settle current liabilities of $2,908,211. The Company notes that the Flow-through share premium liability which represents $914,377 of current liabilities balance is not settled through cash payment. Instead, this balance is amortized against qualifying flow-through expenditures which are required to be incurred before December 31, 2017 and December 31, 2018. All of the Company’s financial liabilities have contractual maturities of 30 days or less except flow-through liabilities which continue until December 31, 2017 and December 31, 2018.

During the year ended October 31, 2017, the Company raised net proceeds $8,634,343 from the private placement completed in June 2017 and net proceeds of $9,500 through the exercise of options. The Company also completed a private placement subsequent to year-end for net proceeds of $2,280,000. In management's opinion, there are sufficient funds to support the ongoing operating costs and discharge the Company’s financial commitments for the foreseeable future.

d) Market risk

Market risk is the risk of loss that may arise from changes in market factors such as interest rates, foreign exchange rates, and commodity and equity prices.

*Interest rate risk*

The Company has cash balances, interest-bearing bank accounts and no interest-bearing debt. The Company’s current policy is to invest excess cash in investment-grade bonds, treasury bills, bankers' acceptances and money market funds. Due to the short-term nature of these financial instruments, fluctuations in market rates do not have a significant impact on the estimated fair value as at October 31, 2017.

*Foreign currency risk*

The Company’s functional currency is the Canadian dollar and major purchases are transacted in Canadian dollars. The Company funds certain administrative expenses in the United States on a cash-call basis using US dollar currency converted from its Canadian dollar bank account held in Canada. Management believes the foreign currency risk derived from currency conversions is manageable and therefore, does not hedge its foreign currency risk.

*Sensitivity analysis*

Based on management’s knowledge and experience of the financial markets, the Company believes the following movements are reasonably possible over a twelve month period:

i. Cash and cash equivalents are subject to floating interest rates. The Company has no variable debt and receives low interest rates on its cash and cash equivalent balances. As such, the Company does not have significant interest rate risk.

ii. As at October 31, 2017, all of the Company’s $378,788 investment in marketable securities is subject to market fluctuations. If the fair value of the Company’s investment in marketable securities had increased or decreased by 25%, with all other variables held constant, the comprehensive loss and equity for the year ended October 31, 2017 would have been approximately $95,000 higher or lower.
Contingencies

(i) The Company is subject to legal proceedings and claims which arise in the ordinary course of business including matters related to contracts, taxes, employment and workers’ compensation claims and other matters. A claim has been filed against the Company whereby in the opinion of management, the amount of ultimate liability with respect to this action will not materially affect the financial position of the Company and no provision has been made in these financial statements in respect of this action.

(ii) Subsequent to the year end, the Company received a notice of reassessment from the Canada Revenue Agency regarding a routine audit with respect to flow-through spending in 2013 and 2014. The Company is in the process of reviewing the notification submitted by the Canada Revenue Agency. Given the nature of the process, the Company retains the ability to object, appeal and challenge any reassessment. At this time, it is not yet possible for the Company to make any realistic prediction regarding the outcome of the assessment or an amount that will be payable. Accordingly, no amount has been recorded as a liability in these consolidated financial statements.

Future Outlook

Eastmain has spent the last year and a half advancing a variety of initiatives across its project portfolio with the intention of unlocking shareholder value. While the process has been challenging due to volatile capital market conditions and a fluctuating gold price, the Company is beginning to realize the benefits of its efforts, with more to come in 2018. With key near-term catalysts, the Company remains well-funded with over $6.5 million in cash on hand at the end of calendar 2017. A summary of key project developments follows.

At its flagship Clearwater Project, Eastmain completed its 2017 infill drill program by mid-summer and followed up on various targets including a deep extension drill program at the 450W Zone of the Eau Claire Deposit. This is consistent with the Company’s intentions to shift its exploration efforts towards resource growth in 2018 with a focus on extending the limits of known mineralization. While additional drilling continues on-site, the Company announced a new Resource Estimate for the Eau Claire deposit, in September 2017. See “Exploration and Evaluation Activities - Key Projects – Clearwater Project – Eau Claire Gold Deposit” for further details. With the new resource in hand, Eastmain has commenced work on a Preliminary Economic Assessment for the Eau Claire deposit, due in Q2 2018.

At the Eastmain Mine Project, the Company recently issued, in January 2018, a new NI 43-101 Technical Report and Resource Estimate, based on the historically-mined A, B and C zones. See “Exploration and Evaluation Activities - Key Projects – Eastmain Mine Project – Eastmain Mine Deposit” for further details. Underpinned by data from a historical resource and records for historical mining, the new resource combines historic drill and underground exploration information with additional drilling, including drilling verification and twin holes and confirms the high grade nature of the deposit and a significant increase in contained gold ounces. An exploration program focused on testing a variety of targets to the North-West of the resource along the Mine Trend will continue later in 2018.

At the Éléonore South JV, the $4-million dollar work program by the joint venture partners for the H2/2017-H1/2018 season is in progress and well advanced. Eastmain’s share of the program is approximately $1.47 million, of which ~$0.8 million had been spent by the JV by year-end. This program is double the previous year’s program and reflects the JV partners’ strong interest in further exploring this Éléonore South JV claims. Based on a variety of exploration initiatives including airborne geophysical surveys, surface sampling, mapping, extensive stripping and drilling, the ES JV partners announced a large gold system discovery with high-grade veins, in October 2017. Drilling will continue along the Moni Trend including the area surrounding the discovery. A new exploration program for the second half of 2018 will be announced in the spring.
Subsequent Events

(i) On December 14, 2017, the Company closed a non-brokered offering (the “Offering”) of 6,000,000 flow-through common shares at a price of $0.38 per flow-through common share, to raise aggregate gross proceeds of $2,280,000.

The net proceeds of the offering are expected to be used to fund exploration and development of the Company’s mineral concessions in Quebec. All flow-through common shares issued pursuant to the offering are subject to a statutory hold period expiring April 15, 2018.

(ii) Subsequent to year end, the Company’s investment in Pine Point Exploration (formerly Darnley Bay) (“Pine Point”) was subject to a friendly acquisition by Osisko Metals Inc. (“Osisko Metals”). Under the terms of the agreement, holders of Pine Point common shares will be entitled to receive, for each share held immediately prior to the arrangement: (a) 0.271 of a common share of Osisko Metals; (b) 0.0677 of a common share purchase warrant of Osisko Metals, with each Osisko Metals consideration warrant entitling the holder thereof to acquire one Osisko Metals share at an exercise price of $1.50 per Osisko Metals share for a period of 12 months from the closing of the arrangement; and (c) one common share of Spinco, which will be consolidated on a 10:1 basis under the arrangement.

Scientific and Technical Disclosure

All disclosure of a scientific or technical nature herein concerning the 2017 Eau Claire Mineral Resource Estimate has been prepared under the supervision of Allan Armitage and Ph.D, P.Geo and Sabry Abdel Hafez, Ph.D, P.Eng of SGS (Canada) Geostat Inc. Allan Armitage and Ph.D, P.Geo and Sabry Abdel Hafez, Ph.D, P.Eng are each “qualified persons” within the meaning of NI 43-101, and have verified the data underlying the statements contained herein concerning the 2017 Resource Estimate. Further information concerning the 2017 Resource Estimate is contained in the press release of the Company entitled “Eastmain De-risks the Eau Claire Deposit with Measured and Indicated Gold Grade Increasing 51% to 6.2 g/t at the Clearwater Project” dated September 11, 2017 and posted to the Company’s profile on SEDAR at [www.sedar.com](http://www.sedar.com) and on the Company’s website (www.eastmain.com). The full report “Updated Mineral Resource Estimate for the Eau Claire Gold Deposit, Clearwater Project, Quebec, Canada”, prepared by SGS Canada Inc. (“SGS”), can also be viewed on the SEDAR and on the Company’s website.

All disclosure of a scientific or technical nature herein concerning the 2018 Eastmain Mine Mineral Resource Estimate has been reviewed by Eugene Puritch, P.Eng, FEC, CET of P&E. Eugene Puritch is a “Qualified Person” within the meaning of NI 43-101, and has verified the data underlying the statements contained herein concerning the 2018 Eastmain Mine Resource Estimate. Further information concerning the 2017 Eastmain Mine Mineral Resource Estimate is contained in the press release of the Company entitled “Eastmain Announces Mineral Resource Estimate at Eastmain Mine Project; Reports Increase in Contained Ounces over Historic Estimate”, dated January 9, 2018, available on SEDAR and the Company’s website. The full Technical Report will be published before February 23, 2018.

All other scientific and technical information contained herein has been prepared under the supervision of, and verified by, Bill McGuinty, Vice President Exploration of the Company. Mr. McGuinty is a “Qualified Person” within the meaning of NI 43-101.

Potential quantity and grade is conceptual in nature. There has been insufficient exploration to define a mineral resource at any Eastmain property other than the Clearwater and Eastmain Mine Projects, and it is uncertain if further exploration will result in any mineral resources being delineated as a mineral resource at these projects.
Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Company’s management, with the participation of its President and CEO, CFO and Corporate Secretary, have evaluated the effectiveness of the Company’s disclosure controls and procedures. Based upon the results of that evaluation, the certifying officers have concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this report, the disclosure controls and procedures effectively provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed, in reports the Company is required to file or submit under Canadian securities laws, was recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the appropriate time periods specified by those laws. The Company's certifying officers, being the President and CEO and the CFO have evaluated the effectiveness of the Company’s disclosure controls and procedures. The certifying officers also concluded that material information was accumulated and communicated to management of the Company, including the President and CEO and the CFO, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding disclosure.

Internal Controls over Financial Reporting

The Company's President and CEO and the CFO, are responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Under the supervision of the President and CEO and the CFO, the Company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of audited consolidated financial statements for external purposes, in accordance with IFRS. The Company's internal control over financial reporting includes policies that:

a) pertain to the maintenance of records that accurately and fairly reflect, in reasonable detail, the transactions and dispositions of assets of the Company;

b) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of audited consolidated financial statements in accordance with IFRS and that the Company’s receipts and disbursements are made only in accordance with authorizations of management and the Company's Directors; and

c) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the Company's assets that could have a material effect on the Company's audited consolidated financial statements.

The Company’s management believes that its policies and procedures provide the best controls achievable under the constraints described above, subject to the limitations below.

Limitation of Controls and Procedures

The Company’s management including the President and CEO and the CFO believe that any disclosure controls and procedures or internal control over financial reporting, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. The design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefit of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, they cannot provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Company have been prevented or detected. The inherent limitations include the realities that judgements in decision-making can be faulty, and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more individuals or by unauthorized override of the control. The design of any control system is also based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and therefore there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions. Accordingly, because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected.
Accounting Responsibilities, Procedures and Policies

The Board of Directors, which among other things is responsible for the audited consolidated financial statements of the Company, delegates to management the responsibility for the preparation of the audited consolidated financial statements. Responsibility for their review rests with the Audit Committee. Each year the shareholders appoint independent auditors to audit and report directly to them on the audited consolidated financial statements.

The Audit Committee is appointed by the Board of Directors and all of its members are non-management directors. The Audit Committee meets periodically with management and the external auditors to discuss internal controls, auditing matters and financial reporting issues, and to confirm that all administrative duties and responsibilities are properly discharged. The Audit Committee also reviews the audited consolidated financial statements and MD&A and considers the engagement or reappointment of external auditors. The Audit Committee reports its findings to the Board of Directors for its consideration when approving the audited consolidated financial statements for issuance to the shareholders. The external auditors have full and free access to the Audit Committee.

Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

This MD&A contains certain “forward-looking information” as defined in applicable securities laws (collectively referred to herein as “forward-looking statements”). These statements relate to future events or the Company’s future performance. All statements other than statements of historical fact are forward-looking statements. Often, but not always, forward-looking statements can be identified by the use of words such as “plans”, “expects”, “budgeted”, “scheduled”, “estimates”, “continues”, “forecasts”, “projects”, “predicts”, “intends”, “anticipates” or “believes”, or variations of, or the negatives of, such words and phrases, or statements that certain actions, events or results “may”, “could”, “would”, “should”, “might” or “will” be taken, occur or be achieved. The forward-looking statements in this MD&A speak only as of the date of this MD&A or as of the date specified in such statements. The following table outlines certain significant forward-looking statements contained in this MD&A and provides the material assumptions used to develop such forward-looking statements and material risk factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from the forward-looking statements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forward-looking statements</th>
<th>Assumptions</th>
<th>Risk factors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regardless of whether the Company discovers a significant gold deposit, its working capital of $4,999,021 at October 31, 2017 is anticipated to be adequate for it to continue operations for the twelve-month period ending October 31, 2018, and to meet all of its flow-through obligations (see “Going Concern” and “Liquidity”)</td>
<td>The operating and exploration activities of the Company for the twelve-month period ending October 31, 2018, and the costs associated therewith, will be consistent with the Company's current expectations; and equity markets, exchange and interest rates and other applicable economic conditions will be favourable to the Company</td>
<td>Unforeseen costs to the Company will arise; any particular operating cost increase or decrease from the date of the estimation; changes in operating and exploration activities; changes in economic conditions; timing of expenditures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Company’s projects may contain economic deposits of minerals (see “Company Overview”, “Exploration and Evaluation Activities” and “Future Outlook”)</td>
<td>The actual results of the Company’s exploration and development activities will be favourable; operating, exploration and development costs will not exceed the Company’s expectations; all requisite regulatory and governmental approvals for exploration projects and other operations will be received on a timely basis upon terms acceptable to the Company, and applicable political and economic conditions are favourable to the Company</td>
<td>Commodity price volatility; uncertainties involved in interpreting geological data and confirming title to acquired properties; inability to secure necessary property rights; the possibility that future exploration results will not be consistent with the Company’s expectations; risk factors set forth in</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forward-looking statements</td>
<td>Assumptions</td>
<td>Risk factors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the Company; the quantities and grades of mineral resource estimates, and the assumptions underlying such estimates, are accurate; the price of applicable commodities and applicable interest and exchange rates will be favourable to the Company; no title disputes exist or will arise with respect to the Company’s projects; and the Company has or will obtain adequate property rights to support its exploration and development activities</td>
<td>technical reports prepared in respect of the Company’s properties from time to time; increases in costs; environmental compliance and changes in environmental and other applicable legislation and regulation; interest rate and exchange rate fluctuations; changes in economic and political conditions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Company’s anticipated business plans, including costs and timing for future exploration on its projects and acquisitions of additional projects (see “Exploration and Evaluation Activities”, “Corporate Highlights - Year Ended October 31, 2017” and “Future Outlook”) The exploration activities of the Company and the costs associated therewith, will be consistent with the Company’s current expectations; the PEA will be prepared by early 2018 as currently anticipated; equity markets, exchange and interest rates and other applicable economic conditions will be favourable to the Company; the quantities and grades of mineral resource estimates, and the assumptions underlying such estimates, are accurate; financing will be available for the Company’s exploration and development activities on favourable terms; the Company will be able to retain and attract skilled staff; all applicable regulatory and governmental approvals for exploration projects and other operations will be received on a timely basis upon terms acceptable to the Company; the Company will not be adversely affected by market competition; the price of applicable commodities will be favourable to the Company; no title disputes exist or will arise with respect to the Company’s properties; the Company has or will obtain adequate property rights to support its exploration and development activities; and the Company will be able to successfully identify and negotiate new acquisition opportunities Commodity price volatility; changes in the condition of debt and equity markets; timing and availability of external financing on acceptable terms may not be as anticipated; the uncertainties involved in interpreting geological data and confirming title to acquired properties; risk that the PEA may not be prepared as currently anticipated, on a timely basis or at all; inability to secure necessary property rights; the possibility that future exploration results will not be consistent with the Company’s expectations; risk factors set forth in technical reports prepared in respect of the Company’s properties from time to time; increases in costs; environmental compliance and changes in environmental and other applicable legislation and regulation; interest rate and exchange rate fluctuations; changes in economic and political conditions; the Company may be unable to retain and attract skilled staff; receipt of applicable permits is subject to governmental and/or regulatory approvals; the Company does not have control over the actions of
Inherent in forward-looking statements are risks, uncertainties and other factors beyond the Company’s ability to predict or control. Please also make reference to those risk factors identified or otherwise indirectly referenced in the “Risks and Uncertainties” section above. Readers are cautioned that the above chart does not contain an exhaustive list of the factors or assumptions that may affect the forward-looking statements contained in this MD&A, and that the assumptions underlying such statements may prove to be incorrect. Actual results and developments are likely to differ, and may differ materially, from those expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements contained in this MD&A.

Forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause the Company’s actual results, performance or achievements to be materially different from any of its future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by forward-looking statements. All forward-looking statements herein are qualified by this cautionary note. Accordingly, readers should not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements. The Company undertakes no obligation to update publicly or otherwise revise any forward-looking statements whether as a result of new information or future events or otherwise, except as may be required by law. If the Company does update one or more forward-looking statements, no inference should be drawn that it will make additional updates with respect to those or other forward-looking statements, unless required by law.

### Additional Information

Additional information relating to the Company, including any published Annual Information Forms, can be found on SEDAR at [www.sedar.com](http://www.sedar.com).